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**Abstract:** During the last decade, the metal hyperaccumulating plants have attracted considerable attention because of their potential use in decontamination of heavy metal contaminated soils. However, in most species, little is known regarding the function, the ecological and the evolutionary significances of hyperaccumulation. In our study, we investigated the parameters influencing the Cd concentration in plants as well as the biological implications of Cd hyperaccumulation in nine natural populations of *Thlaspi caerulescens*. First, we showed that Cd concentration in the plant was positively correlated with plant Zn, Fe, and Cu concentrations. This suggested that the physiological and/or molecular mechanisms for uptake, transport and/or accumulation of these four heavy metals interact with each other. Second, we specified a measure of Cd hyperaccumulation capacity by populations and showed that *T. caerulescens* plants originating from populations with high Cd hyperaccumulation capacity had better growth, by developing more and bigger leaves, taller stems, and produced more fruits and heavier seeds. These results suggest a tolerance/disposal role of Cd hyperaccumulation in this species.
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**Introduction**

Cadmium (Cd) is a widespread heavy metal released into the environment by anthropogenic activities and is a source of serious environmental and health hazards. Particularly, its presence in the soil is problematic for all organisms as it will be taken up by plants and accumulated along the food chain (Sanita di Toppi and Gabbrielli, 1999). In recent years, the reclamation of metal contaminated soils through remediation measures has attracted considerable attention. In this context, hyperaccumulator plants can be used for phytoremediation of heavy metal polluted soils, as they are able to extract and concentrate metals in their upper parts that can be harvested (Chaney, 1983; Brooks, 1998). Numerous studies have focused on the identification of hyperaccumulator plants and their growth, tolerance, and hyperaccumulation on highly contaminated soils (Baker et al., 1994; Assunção et al., 2003; Roosens et al., 2003). Few have focused on soils with naturally occurring elements (Meerts and Van Isacker, 1997; Escarré et al., 2000; Molitor et al., 2005). These soils can present a large range of metal concentrations, low as well as high (in serpentine soils). Many heavy metals, like Zn and Cu, have physiological functions in plants but become toxic at high concentrations (Blaylock and Huang, 2000). Others, such as Pb and Cd, are toxic to plants even in small amounts (Shaw et al., 2004). The genetic basis, the physiological pathways – in particular for Cd – and the adaptive significance of metal hyperaccumulation are not yet well understood (Pollard et al., 2002; Roosens et al., 2003). Four main hypotheses have been postulated to explain the function of metal hyperaccumulation: 1) drought hypothesis, 2) interference hypothesis, 3) defence hypothesis, and 4) tolerance/disposal hypothesis (Boyd, 2004). The first hypothesis states that hyperaccumulated metal may help hyperaccumulators withstand drought by reducing cuticular transpiration (Severne, 1974) or by increasing osmolarity within the cell (Baker and Walker, 1989). Indeed, many hyperaccumulator species can be found in arid Mediterranean climates and/or on soils with negative water potential (Whiting et al., 2003). However, facts bearing on this hypothesis are scarce and Whiting et al. (2003) found no evidence that hyperaccumulation provide increased drought resistance. The second hypothesis proposes that perennial hyperaccumulator plants enrich the surface soil under their canopies by producing litter high in metals to prevent establishment of less metal tolerant plants (e.g., Baker and Brooks, 1989; Wilson and Agnew, 1992). Although Boyd and Jaffré (2002) showed that foliar Zn and Cd concentrations did not affect the feeding choices of snails (*Helix aspersa*). However, there was some evidence that Cd hyperaccumulation deter thrips (*Frankliniella occidentalis*) from feeding on plant leaves (Jiang et al., 2005). The tolerance/disposal hypothesis suggests that hyperaccumulation is a mechanism for increased tolerance that allows sequestration of metals in tissues (tolerance) or...
their elimination from the plant body by shedding (disposal) (e.g., Antonovics et al., 1971; Baker, 1981). The mechanism of hyperaccumulation would enable plants to tolerate metals through internal detoxification. There is little evidence linking hyperaccumulation with metal tolerance/disposal (Jiang et al., 2005). However, in controlled conditions, Roosens et al. (2003) and Cosio (2004) have found a decrease in hyperaccumulator biomass production with increasing Cd concentrations in solution and shoots.

*Thlaspi caerulescens* J. and C. Presl (Brassicaceae) is a facultative metallophyte of Central and Western Europe that grows on soils with a large range of Zn and Cd concentrations (Reeves and Brooks, 1983). It is known to have large variations in metal hyperaccumulation capacity among populations (Baker et al., 1983; Zhao et al., 2003), but the biology of natural populations remains poorly explored. In particular, the role and the evolutionary significance of Cd hyperaccumulation in this species are largely unknown. Jiang et al. (2005) have provided some evidence for a protective role of Cd hyperaccumulation in *T. caerulescens* against feeding damage by a generalist herbivore. On the other hand, the effects of Cd hyperaccumulation on reproductive traits have never been measured, either in natural populations or under controlled conditions.

The aim of this study was to determine the relationship between Cd hyperaccumulation and reproductive/vegetative traits in natural populations of *T. caerulescens*. We analysed Cd hyperaccumulation in nine Swiss Jura populations of *T. caerulescens*, covering a broad range of heavy metal concentrations of natural geogenic origin (Atteia et al., 1995; Liebig and Dubois, 1997; Benitez, 1999). First, we determined the parameters influencing the plant Cd concentration within populations. The parameters investigated, Cd, Zn, Pb, and Cu soil concentrations, pH, heavy metals concentrations in plants (here Zn, Fe, Cu, and Pb), environmental parameters (direct solar radiation, days of frost, topographic wetness index), and population parameters, such as size and density (intraspecific competition), are known as putatively to affect Cd concentration in plants (Zhao et al., 2003; Evangelou et al., 2004) and/or are likely to influence plant functioning. Second, we specified a measure of Cd hyperaccumulation capacity and analysed its relationship with reproductive and shoot traits.

**Materials and Methods**

**Site description and characterisation of populations**

The selection of sites for sampling was based on the distribution map of *T. caerulescens* in Switzerland (Welten and Sutter, 1982). This work focused on nine Swiss Jura populations (coded for further convenience as J1 to J3, J8 to J11, and J14 to J15). J1 is located near “St. Cergues”, J2 and J3 on the “Col du Marchairuz”, J8 and J9 near “Ste. Croix”, J10 and J11 on the “Chasseral”, and J14 and J15 on “Mont d’Amin”. All populations are located between 1100 and 1450 m (Table 1). They are discontinuous and isolated by forests or ridges. *Thlaspi caerulescens*, an early flowering plant, grows in the Jura in meadows and mesotrophic pastures characterised by small size species. It is found mainly on stony soils and rocky outcrops. Based on the geological map (GT-CH, OFS GEOSTAT, 1993), all the Jura populations are on limestone with marl deposits, except for J11, which is on a schist and marl substratum.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Density (number of individuals per m²)</th>
<th>Solar radiation (kJ day⁻¹)</th>
<th>Wetness index (unitless)</th>
<th>Mean and standard deviation of cadmium (Cd), zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), copper (Cu), and lead (Pb) HNO₃ extractable concentrations in soils (as S[Cd], S[Zn], S[Fe], S[Cu], and S[Pb] in mg kg⁻¹), pH and mean and standard deviation of cadmium (Cd), zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), copper (Cu), and lead (Pb) concentrations in plants (as P[Cd], P[Zn], P[Fe], P[Cu], and P[Pb] in mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>ns</th>
<th>S[Cd]</th>
<th>S[Zn]</th>
<th>S[Fe]</th>
<th>S[Cu]</th>
<th>S[Pb]</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>np</th>
<th>P[Cd]</th>
<th>P[Zn]</th>
<th>P[Fe]</th>
<th>P[Cu]</th>
<th>P[Pb]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J1</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>15763</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.6, 62.3 ± 7.8, 5.4 ± 0.0, 4, 59.3 ± 36, 2.564 ± 1.141, 1.064 ± 2.51, 2.7 ± 0.3, 3.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1, 51.0 ± 5.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>62.3 ± 7.8</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59.3 ± 36, 2.564 ± 1.141, 1.064 ± 2.51, 2.7 ± 0.3, 3.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2</td>
<td>1,334</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>17510</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.5, 43.2 ± 1.4, 5.8 ± 0.3, 5, 39.0 ± 6, 3.192 ± 0.944, 1.672 ± 0.85, 2.1 ± 0.2, 3.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.0, 51.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>43.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>39.0 ± 6, 3.192 ± 0.944, 1.672 ± 0.85, 2.1 ± 0.2, 3.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J3</td>
<td>1,348</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>16700</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.8 ± 2.4, 50.3 ± 6.7, 6.4 ± 0.4, 4, 27.1 ± 11, 3.118 ± 1.30, 1.477 ± 1.14, 2.2 ± 0.1, 3.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1, 90.4 ± 9.1</td>
<td>13.8 ± 2.4</td>
<td>50.3 ± 6.7</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27.1 ± 11, 3.118 ± 1.30, 1.477 ± 1.14, 2.2 ± 0.1, 3.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J8</td>
<td>1,453</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>10,188</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.2, 48.7 ± 9.1, 5.6 ± 0.1, 1</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>0.1 ± 1</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>48.7 ± 9.1</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J9</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>16,968</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>32.6 ± 6.0, 5.4 ± 0.7, 3.2 ± 0.1, 4</td>
<td>352 ± 161</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>352 ± 161</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J10</td>
<td>1,733</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>17999</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>43.4 ± 5.1, 8.3 ± 1.8, 6.3 ± 1.1, 13</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>0.0 ± 1</td>
<td>43.4 ± 5.1</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J11</td>
<td>1,543</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>17,943</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2,1 ± 0.1, 66.2 ± 11.5, 9.4 ± 1.3, 3</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>0.0 ± 1</td>
<td>2,1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>66.2 ± 11.5</td>
<td>9.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>9.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J14</td>
<td>1,312</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>16,622</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3,7 ± 0.7, 119.5 ± 33.3, 50.4 ± 9.1, 6</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>0.2 ± 1</td>
<td>3,7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>119.5 ± 33.3</td>
<td>50.4 ± 9.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>50.4 ± 9.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J15</td>
<td>1,393</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>17,269</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2,1 ± 0.1, 66.2 ± 11.5, 9.4 ± 1.3, 3</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>0.0 ± 1</td>
<td>2,1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>66.2 ± 11.5</td>
<td>9.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>9.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The topographic wetness index, the monthly potential direct shortwave radiation (solar radiation), and the number of frost days during the growing season were spatially extrapolated (Zimmermann and Kienast, 1999) from climatic data (Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research [WSL]) for each site. The topographic wetness index is a measure of the wetness of a given site, taking into account the drainage of the surrounding area, weighted by its slope (the steeper the slope, the more of the incoming water just passes through and does not remain). The monthly potential direct shortwave radiation represents direct clear sky shortwave radiation in a site, taking into account overshadowing by high peaks.

The population density was estimated in the field (June). It is the ratio between the population size obtained by counting the flowering individuals per population at the same development stages (late raceme flowering) and the population growing area (in m²).

**pH, metal concentrations in soils**

The analysis of Cd, Zn, Cu, and Pb concentrations in the soil (S[Cd], S[Zn], S[Cu], and S[Pb], respectively) was performed on two to nine soil samples per site, randomly collected in the habitat and near T. caerulescens roots, to a maximal root depth of 25 cm. The first 5 cm of the upper layer were not sampled (root mat). Soils were dried at room temperature, then ground and sieved to 2 mm mesh size. Soil pH was measured in 0.01 M CaCl₂ (soil/solution 1/2.5) after shaking for 1 h (FAL, 1998). Soil samples were analysed for Cd, Zn, Cu, and Pb contents using the 2 M HNO₃ extraction method (OIS, 1998). This method provides the pseudo-total metal concentrations. HNO₃ extractable and plant available Cd concentrations, as defined by 0.1 M NaNO₃ extraction (FAÇ, 1989), have been found to be correlated when compared on the basis of a large dataset (25 populations) (r = 0.66, p < 0.001). A similar trend was observed for the nine studied populations (data not shown). NaNO₃ extractable Zn concentrations were hardly detectable, probably due to the generally low pseudo-total Zn concentrations and high pH in Jura soils. HNO₃ extractable Cd, Zn, Cu, and Pb concentrations were determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES, Perkin Elmer Plasma 2000, Wellesley, USA). Blanks and reference material (W 921) were included in each batch of analysis.

**Metal concentrations in plants**

To quantify Cd, Zn, Fe, Cu, and Pb concentrations in plants (P[Cd], P[Zn], P[Fe], P[Cu], and P[Pb], respectively), T. caerulescens leaves were harvested in the field, on one to six plant samples randomly dispersed on the site, cleaned and air-dried at room temperature. Between 20 and 250 mg of dry plant material, representing the total plant shoot biomass, were hand crushed. Sample digestion was performed according to Keller et al. (2003). Heavy metal concentrations in plant extracts were determined by ICP-AES (Perkin Elmer Plasma 2000, Wellesley, USA). Blanks and in house plant reference material were included in each digestion batch. The results were used for calculation of the Cd hyperaccumulation capacity of populations (see “Results”).

**Shoot and reproductive traits**

The same development stage for populations and individuals (early raceme flowering and late fruit maturation) was sampled on successive visits to the field. The length of the stem, diameter of the basal leaves, total number of leaves, length of the biggest leaf per individual, and number of flowers were recorded (May to July) on 13 to 20 plants per population. The number of fruits per plant, number of seeds per fruit, and seed weights were determined for six plants per population (July to August). The individuals were randomly selected from each population.

**Statistical analyses**

Shoot traits (total number of leaves, length of the stem, diameter of the basal leaves, and length of the biggest leaf per individual) were summarised in two synthetic variables by the two first components of a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) applied on a correlation matrix.

The best linear models for the P[Cd], the two synthetic shoot variables, and the four reproductive traits were selected using three criteria: 1) the corrected Akaike’s information criterion (AICc) had to be satisfied, 2) the slope of all variables selected had to be significant, and 3) the explained variance of all variables had to be significant. The parameters used as potentially influencing the P[Cd] within populations were the topographic wetness index, direct solar radiation, days of frost, population size, and density, pH, S[Cd], S[Zn], S[Cu], S[Pb], P[Zn], P[Fe], P[Cu], and P[Pb]. These parameters, except for S[Cu] and S[Pb] (see “Results”), and the Cd hyperaccumulation capacity (as defined in the results section) were chosen as potentially influencing shoot traits. The parameters used as potentially influencing the reproductive traits (defined as the weight and number of seeds per fruit, number of fruits per plant, and number of flowers) were the same as those influencing the shoot traits and the shoots traits themselves. To deal with our relatively small number of populations (nine populations) and large number of variables, we used a sampling procedure of variables. All statistical analyses were performed using R 1.7.1 (Ihaka and Gentleman, 1996).

**Results**

**Parameters related to Cd concentration in plants**

HNO₃ extractable Cd concentration in soils (S[Cd]) varied between 0.7 mg kg⁻¹ up to a maximum of 6.3 mg kg⁻¹, whereas its concentration in plants (P[Cd]) was between 27 and 522 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 1). The results for the other metal concentrations in soils and plants are given in Table 1.

P[Cd] was positively correlated to S[Cd] (slope = 92.64, t = 31.2, p < 0.001), to P[Zn] (slope = 0.024, t = 12.8, p < 0.001), P[Fe] (slope = 0.063, t = 5.2, p < 0.01), and P[Cu] (slope = 22.44, t = 5.3, p < 0.01) (Table 2). This model explains 99.4% of the variance, with S[Cd] alone explaining 75.1% (Fig. 1A).

**Cd hyperaccumulation capacity**

In the nine populations, P[Cd] was completely explained by S[Cd] and intrinsic parameters (P[Zn], P[Fe] and P[Cu]): the residuals of the regression between P[Cd] and S[Cd] are thus a
Table 2  Best linear model for the Cd concentration in plants (P[Cd]), the first (PCA 1) and the second (PCA 2) shoot trait, the number of flowers and fruits, number of seeds per fruit, and seed weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P[Cd] (R² = 99.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S[Cd]</td>
<td>92.46</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[Zn]</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[Fe]</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[Cu]</td>
<td>22.44</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoot trait PCA1 (R² = 93.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetness index</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>–9.5</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar radiations</td>
<td>–0.0006</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd hyperaccumulation capacity</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>–7.3</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoot trait PCA2 (R² = 65.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S[Zn]</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of flowers (R² = 98.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar radiations</td>
<td>–0.0074</td>
<td>–11.5</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>–1.70</td>
<td>–12.2</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S[Cd]</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[Pb]</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[Cd]</td>
<td>–0.039</td>
<td>–9.4</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fruits (R² = 95.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar radiations</td>
<td>–0.012</td>
<td>–12.2</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[Fe]</td>
<td>–0.013</td>
<td>–7.4</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[Pb]</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd hyperaccumulation capacity</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of seeds per fruit (R² = 99.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>–0.21</td>
<td>–13.1</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass PCA1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>–7.6</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass PCA2</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[Pb]</td>
<td>–0.11</td>
<td>–13.5</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[Zn]</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed weight (R² = 40.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd hyperaccumulation capacity</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

The number of flowers and the number of seeds per fruit were not related to the Cd hyperaccumulation capacity of populations (Table 2).

Discussion

The studied populations located on normal or moderately contaminated soils (0.7 to 6.3 mg Cd kg⁻¹) presented a large gradient of Cd concentration in plants. Whereas the threshold applied for defining Cd hyperaccumulation is 100 mg Cd kg⁻¹ shoot dry weight (Brown et al., 1994), six out of nine studied populations displayed plant Cd concentrations of more than 200 mg kg⁻¹, up to a maximum of 522 mg kg⁻¹. Indeed, some of the Swiss populations had an ability to hyperaccumulate Cd comparable to the Southern French populations, highlighted as highly Cd hyperaccumulating ecotypes (Zhao et al., 2003).

The positive correlation between Cd, Fe, Zn, and Cu plant concentrations suggested that, in the studied populations, Cd, Zn, Fe, and Cu uptake, transport and/or accumulation were linked. Zha et al. (2004) had shown that the component(s) of the-method...
al transport system in *T. caerulescens* are able to transport multiple metals. It is often assumed that Cd and other heavy metals without a biological function are taken up by transporters for essential elements because of a lack of specificity (Lombi et al., 2002). It is believed that Cd uptake by non-accumulator plants represents opportunistic transport via cation channels for Ca and Mg or via a carrier for other divalent cations such as Zn, Cu, or Fe (Welch and Norvell, 1999). In the hyperaccumulator *T. caerulescens*, Cd was proposed to enter the cell via either a high affinity uptake system for Fe (Lombi et al., 2002; Vert et al., 2002) or a low affinity system for Ca or Zn uptake (Baker et al., 1994; Escarré et al., 2000; Roosens et al., 2003; Cosio et al., 2004; Molitor et al., 2005; Zha et al., 2004), depending on the population studied. The differences in Cd uptake found between populations suggested a high affinity transporter for Cd for specific populations (Lombi et al., 2001; Zha et al., 2004). Our results showed that Cd hyperaccumulation mainly depended on soil Cd concentrations, which explained 75% of plant Cd concentrations, although Cd concentrations in soils were low compared to the serpentinic or metallicolous soils. Again, it must be mentioned here that pseudo-total soil Cd concentration could be used because it was also correlated to plant available Cd, as defined by a 0.1 M NaNO₃ extraction. All the residual variance between plant Cd concentration and soil Cd concentration was explained by the concentration of other heavy metals in the plant and not by extrinsic (environmental and population) parameters (soil Zn concentration included). This suggested that this residual variance measures an intrinsic variance between populations, which we called Cd hyperaccumulation capacity. In other words, some populations hyperaccumulate more Cd than others, and this Cd hyperaccumulation is also related to larger Zn, Fe, and Cu accumulation.

Fig. 1  Linear regression between the cadmium concentrations in plants (P[Cd]) and HNO₃ extractable cadmium concentration in soils (S[Cd]) (in mg kg⁻¹) of the nine Jura study populations (A) and their Cd hyperaccumulation capacity (B).
The effects of Cd on growth have mostly been investigated in the lab: the aerial biomass was positively correlated to Cd substrate content in metallicolous populations and negatively in normal populations (Escarré et al., 2000). The shoot traits of our populations were not correlated to Cd concentrations in soils. On the other hand, the biomass was negatively correlated with Cd content in aerial parts for metallicolous populations, but for normal or nonmetalliferous soils, there is no obvious evidence for a relationship between *T. caerulescens* plant Cd content or accumulation and growth (Escarré et al., 2000; Molitor et al., 2005). Roosens et al. (2003) have, however, found a positive relationship between plant biomass and Cd content in solution and in dry biomass for two French populations. In our study, the populations with higher Cd hyperaccumulation capacity had more and bigger leaves. Nothing is known about the effects of soil Cd concentration and Cd hyperaccumulation on reproductive traits. In our study, the reproductive traits were not correlated with Cd concentrations in soils. Nevertheless, our populations with a greater Cd hyperaccumulation capacity had more fruits and heavier seeds per plant, and could potentially produce more viable offspring. No differences in the shoot and reproductive quality between low and high Cd hyperaccumulation capacity populations, or potentially a lower shoot and reproductive quality, for the populations with a higher Cd hyperaccumulation capacity, would be expected when considering the drought, interference, and defence hypotheses. Indeed, there was no reason to expect lower shoot and reproductive quality for the low Cd hyperaccumulating populations compared to the high ones from any of these three hypotheses. In addition, note that, in the present study, the influence of environmental parameters, such as solar radiation and wetness index (drought hypothesis), and the populations density (interference hypothesis) on the population shoot and reproductive traits, were controlled. Jiang et al. (2005) concluded that Cd hyperaccumulation deters thrips from feeding on *T. caerulescens* leaves, but they suggested that this protective role could also be considered as a consequence of Cd hyperaccumulation. They proposed that, in some populations, the Cd hyperaccumulation system may also be an inadvertent result of selection for the acquisition of other nutrients, such as Fe (Lombi et al., 2002; Roosens et al., 2003). The results of our study sustain the tolerance/disposal hypothesis proposed by Boyd and Martens (1992). The hyperaccumulation and sequestration of Cd in the leaves of *T. caerulescens* could lead to a depletion of Cd in the soil (correlation between HNO₃ extractable Cd concentration in soils and Cd concentration in plants) and increase the supply of nutrients (e.g., Zn, Fe, Cu) for uptake (less competition between Cd and nutrients). Cadmium hyperaccumulation could, therefore, induce a better nutrient uptake (and transfer) and would thus lead to more shoot, fruits material, and heavier seeds, as seen in this study. In *T. caerulescens*, Cd accumulation and tolerance were found to be correlated (Escarré et al., 2000; Lombi et al. (2000) and Roosens et al. (2003) observed high degrees of both Cd tolerance and hyperaccumulation in populations from Southern France (highly hyperaccumulating ecotype) and these two mechanisms still remain difficult to dissociate (Cosio, 2004). Indeed, Lombi et al. (2000) proposed that Cd hypertolerance and Cd hyperaccumulation were somehow linked.

In summary, we showed that, in the studied populations: 1) the Cd concentration in *T. caerulescens* plants depended principally on the HNO₃ extractable Cd concentration in soils, 2) the Cd concentration in plants was positively correlated to plant Zn, Fe, and Cu concentrations, and that 3) the populations with a high Cd hyperaccumulation capacity had better growth and reproduction. Our results support the tolerance/disposal hypothesis and indicate that Cd hyperaccumulation could be a
mechanism for increased Cd tolerance. The relationship between the higher vegetative and reproductive output and Cd hyperaccumulation capacity remains to be verified using an experimental approach on the nine studied populations under controlled conditions.
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