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Vector–Hexamer PCR Isolation of All Insert Ends from a YAC Contig of the Mouse IgH Locus

Christopher D. Herring, 1 Christophe Chevillard, 1 Sean L. Johnston, 2 Peter J. Wettstein, 3 and Roy Riblet 1,4

1 Medical Biology Institute, La Jolla, California 92037 USA; 2 Department of Immunology and 3 Departments of Surgery and Immunology, The Mayo Foundation, Rochester, Minnesota 55905 USA

We have developed a simple PCR strategy, termed vector–hexamer PCR, that is unique in its ability to easily recover every insert end from large insert clones in YAC and BAC vectors. We used this method to amplify and isolate all insert ends from a YAC contig covering the mouse IgH locus. Seventy-seven ends were amplified and sequenced from 36 YAC clones from four libraries in the pYAC4 vector. Unexpectedly, 40% of the insert ends of these YACs were LINE1 repeats. Nonrepetitive ends were suitable for use as probes on Southern blots of digested YACs to identify overlaps and construct a contig. The same strategy was used successfully to amplify insert ends from YACs in the pRML vector from the Whitehead Institute/MIT-820 mouse YAC library and from BACs in pBeloBAC11. The simplicity of this technique and its ability to isolate every end from large insert clones are of great utility in genomic investigation.

[The nucleotide sequence data reported in this paper are accessible in GenBank under accession nos. B07512–B07598.]

One of the primary goals of genome research is the production of genetic and physical maps of whole genomes. Such maps are a necessary resource for the identification of all functional genes and the localization of specific genes associated with disease. The main tools to build physical maps are large genomic insert libraries in yeast and bacterial artificial chromosome vectors (YACs and BACs, respectively) (Burke et al. 1987; Shizuya et al. 1992). Such libraries consist of multiple genome equivalents in tens of thousands of clones.

To begin the construction of the physical map of a genomic region YAC and BAC libraries are screened to identify clones carrying markers mapped to the region. This can be accomplished by either hybridization on densely gridded filters or PCR on hierarchical or multidimensional pools (Green and Olson 1990). Next, both ends of each clone are isolated for use as hybridization probes and sequenced to design new PCR markers. These probes and PCR markers are used to assess the overlap of the clones and to “walk” if needed, that is, to rescreen the libraries to isolate additional clones that overlap the end and extend the developing contig. The walking strategy is effective but time consuming, making the choice of end isolation strategy critical. The method should be simple, rapid, and completely efficient, successful at every end.

Early methods to isolate insert ends involved subcloning into phage or plasmid vectors and screening subclone libraries with YAC vector arms to identify the junction fragments. Simpler, faster PCR-based methods are more generally used, including IRS PCR, inverse PCR, and vectorette PCR. Inter repeat sequence (IRS)–PCR takes advantage of the frequent occurrence of repeat elements in the genome (Alu for human and B1 for mouse). PCR amplification is performed between Alu (or B1) consensus sequences and the YAC vector sequence (Nelson et al. 1989; Breukel et al. 1990). However, it fails in many cases because it relies on the presence of a repeat element within a short distance from the end. Another problem is the generation of extraneous non-end products from amplification between repeats. Inverse PCR relies on restriction enzyme cleavage at sites within the vector and the genomic insert (Triglia et al. 1988; Silverman...
et al. 1989; Silverman 1993). The fragments produced are then self-ligated and the end is amplified using divergent vector primers. Although conceptually simple, this strategy is limited to the use of a small number of restriction enzymes with appropriate YAC arm sites, and frequently it failed in our hands. Vectorette PCR involves the ligation of a “bubble” linker to digested total YAC DNA and subsequent recovery of the insert end by amplification between this linker primer and nested YAC arm primers (Riley et al. 1990). This is a very effective strategy but somewhat complex in operation.

We have developed a method for the isolation of insert ends from YACs that uses PCR exclusively, involves a minimum number of manipulations, and does not require adapters, linkers, or ligations. On the basis of restriction-site PCR (Sarkar et al. 1993; Johnston et al. 1995), and drawing on degenerate oligonucleotide primed (DOP)–PCR (Wesley et al. 1990; Telenius et al. 1992), this generalized strategy, called vector–hexamer PCR, uses hexamer primers designed by adding a 6-bp sequence to the 3’ end of an arbitrary “anchor” sequence. Three rounds of PCR are performed using a nested series of vector arm primers in conjunction with one hexamer primer. It is similar to the DOP–vector PCR (Wesley et al. 1994; Wu et al. 1996) and thermal asymmetric interlaced (TAIL)–PCR (Liu and Whittier 1995) methods described for cosmids, P1, YAC, and BAC end isolation but is more general in that it incorporates a variety of alternative primers and is less complex than either method. It is completely effective, recovering every end that we have attempted.

In this paper we demonstrate the use of vector-hexamer PCR for the isolation of insert ends from BACs and two varieties of YAC vector clones from the mouse immunoglobulin heavy chain (Igh) locus located near the telomere of chromosome 12. Whereas the human IGH locus has been cloned recently in YACs and characterized (Cook et al. 1994), knowledge of the organization of the mouse locus is still based mainly on genetic and deletion mapping (Tutter et al. 1991; Mainville et al. 1996). It is comprised of >100 gene segments spread over more than a centiMorgan of recombination distance. To investigate this complex locus we identified a series of YACs containing all Igh gene elements. To assemble a contig the insert ends were isolated from all 36 YAC clones by vector–hexamer PCR to characterize YAC overlap and to detect chimeric clones. A description of this simple, rapid, and efficient method is presented here.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The vector-hexamer technique to recover clone insert ends uses a nested series of vector arm primers in conjunction with a hexamer primer that anneals to multiple sites randomly located in the clone insert (Fig. 1a). The primers are shown in Table 1. The hexamer primers correspond to a variety of restriction enzyme recognition sites and frequently occurring sequences; in principle, the hexamer can be any useful sequence. For these short sequences to act as primers, five cycles of low annealing temperature (35°C) PCR are used to begin the amplification (Fig. 1b). In addition to the hexamer sequence, the hexamer primers contain an anchor sequence at the 5’ end; for most of them this is the T7 promoter sequence. The incorporation of the anchor sequence into the products from the first five cycles of PCR allows the annealing temperature of subsequent cycles of PCR to be increased to 60°C (Fig. 1c–e).

In the pYAC4 vector the cloning site is in the SUP4 nonsense suppressor tRNA gene (Fig. 1a). Because there are related tRNA genes in the yeast host, primers that are designed in the area immediately adjacent to the cloning site amplify host sequences along with the YAC insert end. In early experiments, when the initial primer was L-II or R-II, yeast background bands were isolated and sequenced in 5 of 21 PCR products. When L-I and R-I primers, located outside of the SUP4 gene (Fig. 1a) were used for the first round of PCR, yeast products were incorrectly recovered in 6 of 87 cases. Additional measures to minimize and identify amplification of yeast background include amplifying and analyzing many YACs at once with the same hexamer primer to identify common yeast fragments and using an “empty YAC” negative control (AB1380 transformed with linearized pYAC4).

First round PCR products (Figs. 1c and 2a) typically show either a pattern of yeast bands present in every YAC or nothing at all. Second-round, nested PCR products (Figs. 1d and 2b) typically consist of faint YAC-specific end products among persistent yeast bands. These products can be isolated and sequenced, but not reliably. Third round, further nested, amplification (Figs. 1e and 2c) is necessary to generate enough insert end product to sequence routinely. These PCR products consist of several YAC-specific bands between ~100 and 2000 bp, often with one or two very intense bands (estimated 200–2000 ng). As seen in Figure 2, c and d, yeast and vector bands are often present in the third-round product but are identified by their presence in mul-
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YAC RAD52-y99A9 yields a difficultly large, possibly yeast product with the BanI primer (left), apparently only yeast-derived products with the PstI primer (center), but a useful 200-bp product with the SduI primer (right).

Vector–hexamer PCR was used to amplify and isolate 77 ends from 36 Igh region YACs using 9 different hexamer primers. More than two ends were isolated from some clones because five of them contained more than one YAC. Table 2 shows the size and intensity of the best product from each vector–hexamer amplification that was performed. Also listed are the GenBank accession numbers for each of the 77 insert end sequences. Useful, medium to strong intensity end products were readily obtained, usually with several hexamer primers. In two instances five, and even six, restriction site hexamer primers failed, but in these cases use of the two DOP primers succeeded.

Apparently two DOP primers were the most uniformly successful in comparing the different hexamer primers; however, these were incorporated in the primer panel after the technique had been refined and have been less extensively tested. Highly successful primers include DdeI+G, PstI, and SduI. The efficiency of the PvuII primer differed greatly between the left and the right arm in this study.

We were able to examine the annealing specificity of hexamer primers in cases in which two separate end products of different lengths were sequenced from the same YAC end. As seen in Table 3, almost every example shows at least one mismatch from the hexamer sequence, usually in the 5’ half. This result was reproducible—in cases in which two different length end products were generated from the same vector–hexamer reaction, the smaller fragment could be regenerated by reamplifying the gel-purified larger fragment, even without low annealing temperature cycles.

Sequence Analysis

The PCR insert end products were sequenced with the third or fourth nested vector arm primer. Sometimes the hexamer primer was used as well to sequence the reverse strand. For many ends several products made with different hexamer primers were sequenced. All end sequences were submitted to the BLAST e-mail server (Altschul et al. 1990). Of the 77 ends, 12 were similar to known sequences from the Igh locus, usually sequences flanking coding regions. Known genes other than Igh or repeat elements were identified by five YAC ends, but they

Figure 1  Schematic representation of vector–hexamer PCR. (a) The YAC vector/insert junction (right or left arm) before amplification. The YAC vector is shown in red (left) with nested vector priming sites in green. The first nested priming site is located outside the SUP4 gene. The mouse genomic insert is shown in blue (right) with two particular hexamer sequences shown in red. (b) The initial cycles of amplification at low annealing temperature. The vector primer (in green) anneals to its complement in the YAC arm, from which a new strand is synthesized (broken line). The hexamer primer is shown annealing to a target site in the mouse insert, its hexamer portion shown in red and its 20-bp anchor sequence in orange. The low annealing temperature (35°C) allows pairing and priming by the 3’ hexamer alone (shown in expanded view). (c) The later cycles of the first round of PCR are performed at a higher annealing temperature so that the entire hexamer primer stably anneals only to products from the initial cycles. (d,e) The second and third rounds of PCR reamplify the vector/insert junction products from the first PCR with nested vector primers to increase the specificity and yield of the amplification. The fourth nested vector primer allows reduction of vector arm contribution to the PCR product for use as a hybridization probe.
appear to be the result of YAC chimerism or other artifact as they hybridized only to the YACs from which they were isolated, or they matched sequences from Escherichia coli.

Repetitive sequences were identified in 33 of 77 ends (43%) by BLAST analysis. Twenty-nine ends belonged to the LINE1 or L1 family of high copy number repetitive sequences, and the others included a B1 repeat, an intracisternal A-particle (IAP) env gene, a CT microsatellite repeat, and a flanking sequence of a presumed novel transposon (Bultman et al. 1994). The incidence of L1 elements at YAC ends is markedly higher than the 5% incidence observed in a YAC contig on chromosome 11 (Nehls et al. 1995) but more similar to the 25% seen in the Igk locus (Schupp et al. 1997) and the even higher incidence in the MHC locus (Jones et al. 1995). Clearly the frequencies of L1 elements at different genomic sites varies considerably. This is difficult to assess properly, as they are repeats and therefore, most were not mapped in our study or in the other studies. These YACs are potentially chimeric, and accurate comparison is not possible.

Hybridization Results

Fifty-six vector–hexamer PCR products were used as hybridization probes on YAC or other mapping blots. Six were unsuitable because of repetitive sequence content, but this did not always make an end product un-
suitable. Nine ends that contained repeats were successfully used as probes, in most cases when the similarity to the repeat was low. Useful probes were generated when similarity was high if nonrepetitive sequence was detected beyond a repeat element and could be isolated. For example, in one case a new PCR primer was designed to amplify the unique region, and in another the PCR product was digested at a restriction site near the end of the repeat and gel purified.

Of the 50 useful YAC insert end probes that were generated, 37 hybridized to other IgH YACs, whereas 13 probes hybridized only to their source YACs. In the latter cases, the overlap of other YACs ruled out incomplete coverage and indicated that these ends are not present in the IgH locus. Two of these probes were from clones containing more than one YAC; the remaining 11 ends from 36 YACs indicate chimerism.

Extension of Vector–Hexamer PCR to Other Vectors

The new WI/MIT-820 YAC library is intended to be the basis for development of a complete physical map of the mouse genome (Haldi et al. 1996). This library is constructed in a newer vector system, pRML1/2, and required design of new nested vector primers, shown in Table 1. In an initial test they were used in conjunction with only the two DOP hexamer primers to attempt recovery of the ends of 14 IgH region YACs from this library. Twenty-two ends were amplified and sequenced (data not shown), and we expect that the few remaining ends can be recovered using other hexamer primers. Consistent with our findings in IgH clones from the other YAC libraries 8 of the 22 ends (36%) were repeat sequences, and 6 of the repeats were L1 sequences.

We have also used vector–hexamer PCR to isolate and sequence the ends of BAC clones in the pBeloBAC11 vector (primers in Table 1). All 28 ends were readily obtained from the 14 BAC clones studied (data not shown). It is an advantage that vector–hexamer PCR can be used to amplify

Figure 2 (See facing page for legend.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Left Arm</th>
<th>YAC</th>
<th>Real</th>
<th>RealII</th>
<th>DdeI×G</th>
<th>NphII</th>
<th>PstI</th>
<th>PstII</th>
<th>SalI</th>
<th>DOP-6MW</th>
<th>DOP-JUNI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C34D5</td>
<td></td>
<td>280</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>260</td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C67D1</td>
<td></td>
<td>310</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C110D2</td>
<td></td>
<td>310</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C169F10</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C100G2</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4A4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J5B4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C174B4</td>
<td></td>
<td>650</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8B4A</td>
<td></td>
<td>650</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9D3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>875536</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N01D2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F20B1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR12H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>87557</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E217B7</td>
<td></td>
<td>650</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E14G7</td>
<td></td>
<td>650</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13A5</td>
<td></td>
<td>550</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>875590</td>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K17D12</td>
<td></td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P18D8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C196B3</td>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F07D1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td>875590</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Right Arm</th>
<th>YAC</th>
<th>Real</th>
<th>RealII</th>
<th>DdeI×G</th>
<th>NphII</th>
<th>PstI</th>
<th>PstII</th>
<th>SalI</th>
<th>DOP-6MW</th>
<th>DOP-JUNI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D25A5</td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D24C4</td>
<td></td>
<td>220</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C169F10</td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C40A3</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C94F3</td>
<td></td>
<td>550</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C44G2</td>
<td></td>
<td>750</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J18D12</td>
<td></td>
<td>650</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C174B4</td>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P14D12</td>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F07D1</td>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F20B1</td>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>87559</td>
<td>370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(See facing page for footnote.)
BAC ends directly from bacterial colonies, and usually with just one round of PCR, simplifying the procedure and eliminating the expense of BAC DNA purifications.

METHODS

YAC and BAC Libraries

YAC and BAC clones were obtained from the following libraries. The Princeton University C57BL/6j mouse YAC library (Burke et al. 1991; Rossi et al. 1992) can be screened by PCR using DNA pools obtainable from S.M. Tilghman (Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Princeton University, NJ). The ICRF C3H mouse YAC library (Larin et al. 1991) is accessed through the Mouse Genome Center, Harwell, UK (see http://www.mgc.har.mrc.ac.uk). The 129/Sv mouse BAC library was prepared by Dr. Bruce Birren at the Whitehead Institute Genome Center and can be screened by PCR or high-density filter hybridization; DNA pools and filters are available from Research Genetics (Huntsville, AL). The Saint Mary’s Hospital Medical School RADS2 C57BL/10 mouse YAC library (Chartier et al. 1992) is available for PCR screening using DNA pools obtained from Dr. S.D.M. Brown at the Mouse Genome Center, Harwell, UK (see http://www.genome.wi.mit.edu). The 129/Sv mouse YAC library was prepared by Dr. Bruce Birren at the Whitehead Institute Genome Center and can be screened by PCR or high-density filter hybridization; DNA pools and filters are available from Research Genetics (Huntsville, AL).

Vector-Hexamer PCR

This is a heminested strategy and is diagrammed in Figure 1; the primers used are listed in Table 1. First-round reactions consisted of 2 µl 10× PCR buffer [500 mM KCl, 100 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 15 mM MgCl2, 1 mg/ml Knox gelatin], 0.4 µl dNTP solution (2.5 mM each dNTP), 0.08 µl Taq polymerase (5 U/µl), 1 µl (40 ng) of the outermost left arm or right arm nested vector primer (L-I or R-I; Table 1), 1 µl (40 ng) hexamer primer, 1 µl YAC DNA (25 ng/µl, as prepared in Ausubel et al. (1995), and water to a total volume of 20 µl. The PCR program was five cycles (94°C for 30 sec, 35°C for 60 sec, 72°C for 3 min), immediately followed by 25 cycles (94°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 3 min) in an Ericomp Twinblock Easycycler (San Diego, CA). Multiple YACs and a negative control YAC lacking insert (see below) were amplified at the same time to allow identification of yeast background products. For the second round of nested PCR the same conditions as the first round PCR were used, except that the template was 1 µl of first-round PCR product and the vector primer was the second nested vector arm primer, L-II or R-II. The PCR program was 30 cycles (94°C for 30 sec, 50°C (pyAC4 left arm) or 60°C (pyAC4 right arm) for 30 sec, 72°C for 3 min). For the third round 1 µl of second-round product was used as template in a 40-µl total volume reaction with the third nested vector primer. Volumes of all reagents (except template) were doubled. The PCR program was 30 cycles (94°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 3 min). A negative control was a YAC clone identical to the experimental YACs but lacking insert. This control was created by transforming yeast host AB1380 in lithium acetate with linearized pyAC4 (both available from ATCC, nos. 20843 and 67379, respectively), as described in Ausubel et al. (1995).
The pYAC4 plasmid was isolated from bacterial culture with a standard alkaline lysis procedure and linearized with BamHI before being used to transform the yeast.

BAC insert ends were recovered in a similar manner using as initial template either ~100 ng of BAC DNA purified on Qiagen columns according to the manufacturer's instructions or 1-2 µl of a crude "boil prep" made by picking a bacterial colony into 20 µl of water, boiling for 5 min, and centrifuging particulate matter. For the SP6 end different primers were used for amplification and sequencing as shown in Table 1. For the T7 end amplification and sequencing were done with the same T7 promoter primer. Multiple BACs and a negative control (pBeloBAC11 without insert) were amplified at the same time to allow identification of bacterial background products. The BAC PCR program was 35 cycles [94°C for 30 sec, 60°C (Sp6 amplification primer) or 55°C (T7 amplification primer) for 30 sec, 72°C for 1 minute].

**Gel Analysis**

Thirty-five microliters of third round PCR products was electrophoresed on 10 × 8 × 0.15-cm vertical 10% 37.5:1 polyacrylamide (AMRESCO, Solon, OH) gels. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide. Bands ranging in size from 120 bp to ~1500 bp (optimally 300-500 bp) were isolated if they were reasonably bright and did not appear in other YAC lanes or the negative control using the same hexamer primer. Gel segments measuring ~2 × 5 × 1.5 mm were excised with a scalpel and placed in individual yellow 200-µl pipette tips. These tips were put into 0.65-ml microcentrifuge tubes with the pipette tips protruding through holes that had been punched in the bottom of the tubes with an 18-gauge needle. The 0.65-ml tubes were placed into 2-ml microcentrifuge tubes and spun for 1 min in a microcentrifuge at 12,000 rpm. The excess terminators were removed by phenol:chloroform 1:15 sec, 60°C for 4 min) in an Ericomp Twinblock Easycycler. Temperature cycling was 25 cycles (96°C for 30 sec, 50°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 4 min) in an Ericomp Twinblock Easycycler. The excess terminators were removed by phenol:chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation or by ethanol precipitation alone. The same methodologies were used for BAC insert end sequencing with the SP6 and T7 sequencing primers in Table 1.

**Sequencing**

Insert ends were sequenced with the ABI Prism dye terminator cycle sequencing kit and ABI373 automated sequencer (Perkin Elmer, Foster City, CA). The gel fragment homogenates were centrifuged briefly and up to 11 µl of eluate were added and 30 µl of water were added. They were protected from light and agitated overnight to elute the DNA.

**Sequencing**

Insert ends were sequenced with the ABI Prism dye terminator cycle sequencing kit and ABI373 automated sequencer (Perkin Elmer, Foster City, CA). The gel fragment homogenates were centrifuged briefly and up to 11 µl of eluate were added. They were protected from light and agitated overnight to elute the DNA.
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