



HAL
open science

Gamma Knife for Cushing's disease - Time for a reappraisal?

Frederic Castinetti, Thierry Brue

► **To cite this version:**

Frederic Castinetti, Thierry Brue. Gamma Knife for Cushing's disease - Time for a reappraisal?. Nature Reviews Endocrinology, 2017, 13 (11), pp.628-629. 10.1038/nrendo.2017.130 . hal-01724196

HAL Id: hal-01724196

<https://amu.hal.science/hal-01724196>

Submitted on 12 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Gamma Knife for Cushing disease — time for a reappraisal?

Frederic Castinetti and Thierry Brue

The first large-scale, multicentric analysis of long-term results with Gamma Knife (Elekta) radiosurgery in the therapeutically challenging area of Cushing disease shows that, in selected patients, this radiation technique enables hormonal control to be achieved in most patients with relatively few adverse effects but with a significant risk of disease recurrence.

Refers to Mehta, G. U. et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery for Cushing's disease: results of an international, multicenter study. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1210/jc.2017-01385> (2017)

The treatment of Cushing disease remains one of the most difficult tasks for endocrinologists dealing with pituitary diseases, mainly because corticotroph pituitary adenomas do not behave like other secreting pituitary tumours. Long-term recurrence of Cushing disease after trans-sphenoidal surgery is close to 20% (even in patients with the best prognostic factors), much higher than the recurrence rate in patients with acromegaly or prolactinomas¹. Despite the fact that trans-sphenoidal surgery is still the first-line treatment for Cushing disease, further studies are needed to determine the most effective therapeutic options when the disease reoccurs. Medical treatments aimed at normalizing cortisol levels all have the same limitation; namely, that they cannot cure the patients. These treatments, each with their own specific adverse effects and costs, are rarely maintained long term in patients who are diagnosed, on average, in the fourth decade of life². Bilateral adrenalectomy is obviously a curative option for hypercortisolism and has a minimal risk of endocrine recurrence³; however, the *primum non nocere* precept should be kept in mind as bilateral adrenalectomy per se will replace one disease with another harmful one (that is, adrenal insufficiency)⁴. Over the past 25 years, radiation techniques have improved through better accuracy in target definition, enabling increased efficacy with fewer adverse effects. However, use of these techniques remains a matter of controversy precisely because of the fear of long-term adverse effects.

Gamma Knife (Elekta) surgery (GKS) is one of these radiosurgical techniques. GKS aims to accurately deliver a high dose of radiation in a single session to progressively destroy the lesion. Up to now, only single-centre studies have reported on the efficacy of GKS in Cushing disease. We observed that 9 of 18 patients with Cushing disease treated in our centre achieved remission after a mean period of 28 months⁵. Interestingly, two of these nine patients presented with disease recurrence during long-term follow-up; however, as with other studies, the low number of patients compromised generalizability of the results. It is precisely this limitation that makes the recently published study by Mehta and colleagues of particular interest⁶. Their study is indeed the first multicentre, international study aimed at defining the efficacy and endocrine adverse effects of GKS in patients with Cushing disease.

Briefly, of 278 patients, cumulative control of hypercortisolism was obtained in 80% at the 10-year follow-up. The results should, however, be tempered by the fact that in 11% of these patients symptoms were controlled by medical treatments at the last follow-up (although they were not controlled by the same medical treatment before GKS), and a recurrence rate of 18% was observed on a long-term basis. GKS thus enabled cure in 50% of the 278 patients treated after a mean follow-up of 5 years. The time to achieve remission was shorter than that usually expected (14.5 months). This fairly short time

to obtain maximal efficacy should challenge commonly accepted ideas (which are based on conventional radiotherapy) regarding the prolonged period of time needed to obtain maximal efficacy with radiation techniques. Of note, recurrences were observed in almost 20% of patients after GKS. This finding shows that, in contrast to conventional radiotherapy, definitive control of hypercortisolism is not guaranteed when remission is obtained with GKS; long-term follow-up is mandatory to screen for recurrences (median time to recurrence in the study by Mehta *et al.* was 38 ± 44 months). The recurrence rate might also have slightly biased the overall efficacy of the procedure, as the mean follow-up was 5 years and some patients presented with disease recurrence after a longer period of time.

Another point of importance is radiation-induced adverse effects. Mehta and colleagues observed new endocrine deficits (hypopituitarism) and cranial neuropathy in their cohort (at rates of 25% and 3%, respectively). The rate of induced pituitary deficiency is in keeping with previous studies; the risk increases with time after the procedure and a cautious follow-up should thus be maintained on a long-term basis. By contrast, the rate of cranial neuropathy was greater than expected. Of note, and as mentioned by the authors, 16 patients had received previous radiotherapy, which was shown to be a

statistically significant predictive factor for cranial damage. The high number of patients previously treated with radiotherapy might also have biased the GKS efficacy results, as it is well established that conventional radiation therapy needs years to be fully effective and a concomitant efficacy of both GKS and radiotherapy cannot be excluded. In such a large study, a more thorough analysis of long-term, non-endocrine adverse effects would have been of interest. In particular, although endocrine adverse effects are well known, endocrinologists are mainly concerned about the risks of non-endocrine adverse effects such as strokes, brain tumours or cognitive dysfunction, as have been reported with conventional radiotherapy⁷. This point was not evaluated by Mehta and co-workers. Although the principles of GKS should theoretically limit these types of adverse effect, long-term data are still lacking. Future studies aimed at differentiating the sequelae of long-term exposure to a hyper-secreting state (particularly for acromegaly or Cushing disease) versus the adverse effects of GKS are clearly needed to reassure both clinicians and patients.

To conclude, as recently shown in the French Acromegaly Registry, the proportion of patients treated by radiation techniques has

drastically decreased over the past 20 years⁸. This decrease is in part due to more effective antisecretory drugs and in part to incorrectly attributing the adverse effects reported with older radiation techniques to newer radiation techniques. The large international multicentre study by Mehta *et al.* clearly shows that therapeutic algorithms on Cushing disease should leave ample room for GKS and other new radiation techniques after unsuccessful surgery, albeit with the caveat that not all patients can benefit from these treatments. A clearly defined target on MRI is mandatory to obtain good efficacy while preserving pituitary function, a condition that is not frequently met as most patients are operated on for a microadenoma and usually have a negative pituitary MRI at the time of recurrence. In selected patients, GKS thus seems a safe and effective technique that should be systematically considered, provided long-term results confirm the reassuring safety data on non-endocrine parameters.

Frederic Castinetti and Thierry Brue are at the Reference Center for Rare Pituitary Diseases (HYPO), Assistance Publique Hopitaux de Marseille, La Conception Hospital, 147 Boulevard Baille, 13385 Marseille Cedex 05, France; and UMR AMU-INSERM 910, Faculte de Medecine, Aix-Marseille University, 27 Boulevard Jean Moulin, 13385 Marseille Cedex 05, France.

*thierry.brue@ap-hm.fr;
frederic.castinetti@p-hm.fr*

1. Patil, C. G. *et al.* Late recurrences of Cushing's disease after initial successful transsphenoidal surgery. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **93**, 358–362 (2008).
2. Pivonello, R. *et al.* The treatment of Cushing's disease. *Endocr. Rev.* **36**, 385–486 (2015).
3. Guerin, C. *et al.* Bilateral adrenalectomy in the 21st century: when to use it for hypercortisolism? *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **23**, R131–R142 (2016).
4. Hahner, S. *et al.* High incidence of adrenal crisis in educated patients with chronic adrenal insufficiency: a prospective study. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **100**, 407–416 (2015).
5. Castinetti, F. *et al.* Long-term results of stereotactic radiosurgery in secretory pituitary adenomas. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **94**, 3400–3407 (2009).
6. Mehta, G. U. *et al.* Stereotactic radiosurgery for Cushing's disease: results of an international, multicenter study. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1210/jc.2017-01385> (2017).
7. Burman, P. *et al.* Radiotherapy, especially at young age, increases the risk for *de novo* brain tumors in patients treated for pituitary/sellar lesions. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **102**, 1051–1058 (2017).
8. Maione, L. *et al.* Changes in the management and comorbidities of acromegaly over three decades: the French Acromegaly Registry. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* **176**, 645–655 (2017).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the medical staff of the Reference Center for Rare Pituitary Diseases (HYPO), especially H. Dufour and J. Regis.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing interests.