



HAL
open science

Overestimation of Hypoglycemia Diagnosis by FreeStyle Libre Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Long-Term Care Home Residents With Diabetes

Quentin Alitta, Michel Grino, Lounés Adjemout, Aïda Langar, Frederique Retornaz, Charles Oliver

► **To cite this version:**

Quentin Alitta, Michel Grino, Lounés Adjemout, Aïda Langar, Frederique Retornaz, et al.. Overestimation of Hypoglycemia Diagnosis by FreeStyle Libre Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Long-Term Care Home Residents With Diabetes. *Journal of diabetes science and technology*, 2018, 12 (3), pp.727-728. 10.1177/1932296817747887 . hal-01753856

HAL Id: hal-01753856

<https://hal-amu.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01753856>

Submitted on 24 May 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Overestimation of hypoglycemia diagnosis by Freestyle libre continuous glucose monitoring in long term care home residents with diabetes

Quentin Alitta¹, Michel Grino, M.D., Ph.D.¹, Lounés Adjemout, M.D.², Aïda Langar, M.D.¹, Frédérique Retornaz, M.D., Ph.D.^{1,2,3,4,5}, Charles Oliver, M.D.^{1,2,4}

Author Affiliations: ¹Silvermed Institute, State Geriatric Center, Marseille, France; ²Division of Geriatric Medicine, State Geriatric Center, Marseille France; ³Department of Public Health, EA3279 Self-perceived Health Assessment Research Unit, Marseille, France ; ⁴Marseille's Medical School, Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France ; ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, European Hospital, Marseille, France.

Quentin Alitta
Silvermed Institute
State Geriatric Center
176 Avenue de Montolivet,
13012 Marseille, France
(33)-491-127-568
quentin.alitta@gmail.com

Michel Grino
Silvermed Institute
State Geriatric Center
176 Avenue de Montolivet
13012 Marseille, France
(33)-491-127-568
michel.grino@gmail.com

Lounés Ajdemout
Division of Geriatric Medicine
State Geriatric Center
176 Avenue de Montolivet
13012 Marseille, France
(33)-635-215-617
Lounes.Adjemout@cgd13.fr

Aïda Langar
Silvermed Institute
State Geriatric Center
176 Avenue de Montolivet
13012 Marseille, France
(33)-491-127-549
Aida.LANGAR@cgd13.fr

Frédérique Retornaz
Silvermed Institute
State Geriatric Center
176 Avenue de Montolivet
13012 Marseille, France
(33)-491-127-549
Frederique.Retornaz@cgd13.fr

Charles Oliver
Silvermed Institute
State Geriatric Center
176 Avenue de Montolivet
13012 Marseille, France
(33)-491-127-568
charles.oliver30@orange.fr

Abbreviations: FSL-CGM: FreeStyle Libre continuous glucose monitoring; IG: interstitial glucose; BG: blood glucose; MAD: mean absolute difference; MARD: mean absolute relative difference

Key words: Type 2 diabetes, continuous glucose monitoring, long term care home, hypoglycemia

Corresponding Author: Michel Grino, MD, PhD, Silvermed Institute, State Geriatric Center, 176 Avenue de Montolivet, 13012 Marseille, France; email address michel.grino@gmail.com

Funding Source: This study has been performed with a grant of ARARD (Association régionale d'assistance respiratoire à domicile), 100 Avenue des Templiers, 13400 Aubagne, France.

Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure: The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research and publication of this study.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank doctors D. Frant, L. Mari, F. Masseboeuf, I. Moussa and the nurses of the State Geriatric Center for their contribution to the study.

498 words, 1 table

Diabetes prevalence is high in long term care facilities for dependent old persons [1]. Its control is difficult in insulin-treated residents since near half of them present with an increased risk of severe hypoglycemia because of a lack of awareness of warning symptoms and a loss of coordinated response [2]. Hypoglycemia is observed whatever diabetes control or HbA1c level [3] and is difficult to detect by 4 daily glucose readings or symptoms [4]. Increased blood glucose (BG) measurements is not desirable since several finger sticks/day are painful, annoying and a burden for the medical staff. Therefore continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) could be relevant.

Thirteen residents (6 women, 7 men, mean (\pm SD) age: 85.6 ± 8.6 years) were prescribed FreeStyle Libre (FSL-CGM, Abbott France S.A.S. Abbott Diabetes Care, Rungis, France). All suffered from type 2 diabetes and received daily insulin multi-injections. The study was in compliance with the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the State Geriatric Center Ethical Committee. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects or legal guardians. The sensor-based FSL-CGM was inserted under the posterior face of the arm and changed every 2 weeks for up to 10 months. It was scanned before each insulin injection and meal and at 10 p.m. and 04 a.m. Nurses were asked to measure capillary BG (using the BG meter built into the reader) every interstitial glucose (IG) scanning during the first week and later on only when IG was below 70 mg/dL.

CGM-FSL was well accepted in 9 residents and withdrawn by 4, with no difference in cognitive impairment or dementia. Comparing 302 paired BG and IG measurements revealed a tight correlation (linear regression: $r = 0.955$, $p < 0.0001$, $IG = 1.022BG - 19.06$); mean IG was significantly lower than mean BG with a mean absolute relative difference (MARD) of 19.7%. Out of 144 IG lower than 70 mg/dL, 74 (51.4%) were associated with BG equal or higher to 70 mg/dL. In our group of false hypoglycemia, IG was significantly lower than BG (56.8 ± 8.7 vs. 89.6 ± 15.6 mg/dL, $p < 0.0001$, Wilcoxon paired test). Mean IG was significantly lower than BG

for the 70-180 mg/dL BG group, with a MARD of 25.5%, and did not differ from BG in the >180 mg/dL BG group, with a MARD of 11.4% (Table 1).

Inaccuracy of FSL-CGM in the lower glucose values, which could be due to age-induced skin changes [5], is therefore a strong limitation in the detection of hypoglycemia risk in older residents **in our study**. IG lower than 70 mg/dL in the absence of clinical signs needs capillary BG measures, thus reducing FSL-CGM benefit on resident comfort. Improvement of FSL-CGM performance in the low and middle IG range is of clinical relevance for reliable detection of hypoglycemia and better evaluation of diabetes control. The ability to fix the upper target glucose at 200 mg/dL instead of 180 mg/dL should also be included since 100-200 mg/dL is the BG target range in this population [6].

References

1. Andreassen LM, Sandberg S, Kristensen GB, et al. Nursing home patients with diabetes: prevalence, drug treatment and glyceimic control. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2014;105:102-109.
2. Abdelhafiz AH, Rodríguez-Mañas L, Morley JE, Sinclair AJ. Hypoglycemia in older people - a less well recognized risk factor for frailty. *Aging Dis.* 2015;6:156-167.
3. Retornaz F, Grino M, Mari L, Oliver C. Assessment of glyceimic control in nursing home residents with diabetes. *J Nutr Health Aging.* 2017;21:457-463.
4. Munshi MN, Segal AR, Suhl E, et al. Frequent hypoglycemia among elderly patients with poor glyceimic control. *Arch Intern Med.* 2011;171:362-364.
5. Fenske NA, Lober CW. Structural and functional changes of normal aging skin. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1986;15:571-585
6. Munshi MN, Florez H, Huang ES, et al. Management of diabetes in long-term care and skilled nursing facilities: a position statement of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care.* 2016;39:308-318.

Table

Table 1: Differences between blood glucose (BG) and interstitial glucose (IG)

BG mg/dL	n	Mean BG mg/dL	Mean IG mg/dL	<i>p</i>	MAD mg/dL	MARD (%)	95% CI (%)
Overall	302	167.4 ± 104.2	152.0 ± 111.5	< 0.0001	26.9	19.7	17.8-21.5
< 70	33	57.8 ± 7.0	47.2 ± 7.5	< 0.0001	10.6	18.0	14.2-21.8
70-180	162	113.7 ± 32.2	92.7 ± 42.2	< 0.0001	27.3	25.5	22.7-28.3
> 180	107	282.4 ± 80.0	274.1 ± 93.5	0.1284	31.3	11.4	9.2-13.2

BG and IG (presented as mean ± SD) were compared using the Wilcoxon paired test. MAD: mean absolute difference; MARD: mean absolute relative difference; CI: confidence interval.