Coordination of symbiosis and cell cycle functions in Sinorhizobium meliloti

Xue Shuanghong, Emanuele G Biondi

▶ To cite this version:

Xue Shuanghong, Emanuele G Biondi. Coordination of symbiosis and cell cycle functions in Sinorhizobium meliloti. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Gene Regulatory Mechanisms , Elsevier, 2018, 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2018.05.003 . hal-01916124

HAL Id: hal-01916124

https://hal-amu.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01916124

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial| 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1874939917304157
Manuscript_eee1d33632cc4993ad01b506f288ef42

1 **Coordination of symbiosis and cell cycle functions in *Sinorhizobium meliloti***

2

3 Shuanghong Xue, Emanuele G. Biondi*

4 Aix Marseille University, CNRS, IMM, LCB, 13009, Marseille, France.

5

6 * Corresponding author: ebiondi@imm.cnrs.fr

7

8

9 **Abstract**

10

11 The symbiotic nitrogen fixing species *Sinorhizobium meliloti* represents a remarkable
12 model system for the class *Alphaproteobacteria*, which includes genera such as
13 *Caulobacter*, *Agrobacterium* and *Brucella*. It is capable of living free in the soil, and is also
14 able to establish a complex symbiosis with leguminous plants, during which its cell cycle
15 program is completely rewired presumably due, at least in part, to the action of peptides
16 secreted by the plant. Here we will discuss how the cell cycle regulation works in *S.*
17 *meliloti* and the kinds of molecular mechanisms that take place during the infection. We
18 will focus on the complex regulation of the master regulator of the *S. meliloti* cell cycle,
19 the response regulator CtrA, discussing its implication in symbiosis.

20

21 Keywords:
22 *Sinorhizobium meliloti*, cell cycle, symbiosis, nitrogen fixation

© 2018 published by Elsevier. This manuscript is made available under the CC BY NC user license
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

23 ***Sinorhizobium meliloti* biology**

24

25 *Sinorhizobium meliloti* belongs to the alpha class of the Gram-negative proteobacteria
26 (*Alphaproteobacteria*). It has been studied for a long time for its ability to infect roots of
27 leguminous plants, such as those of the genus *Medicago* (*M. sativa* and *M. truncatula*). By
28 a complex mechanism (that we will describe in more details in the next sections), this
29 bacterium is able to multiply inside plant cells, within which the bacterial cells fix
30 atmospheric nitrogen into ammonium that can be utilized by plants. In exchange, the
31 plant provides a nutrient rich environment in which a small part of the *S. meliloti*
32 population can benefit. The bacterial form that is able to fix nitrogen is referred to as a
33 bacteroid. The formation of a bacteroid involves a massive differentiation program that
34 results in a cell unable to divide, and is therefore referred to as terminally differentiated.
35 From an evolutionary point of view, this terminal differentiation has puzzled scientists,
36 as it is difficult to explain what is the selective advantage for the bacterium, in the
37 context of a beneficial symbiosis (mutual exchange of nutrients), if the majority of the
38 population is unable to replicate. In this review, a few elements will be described in
39 order to clarify the possible evolutionary hypotheses about the role of bacteroid
40 differentiation.
41 The first contact between bacteria and plants relies on a specific exchange of molecules,
42 Nod factors produced by bacteria and the flavonoids secreted into the rhizophere (the
43 environment that surrounds roots) by the plants (Cooper 2007; Liu and Murray 2016).
44 The entry of the bacteria into the plant tissue occurs following the formation of a
45 modified radical root hair, which is specifically modulated by the bacterial Nod factors
46 (Shaw and Long 2003; Sieberer et al. 2005). This root hair then traps a few *S. meliloti*
47 cells, which then penetrate inside the root tissue and induce the formation of an

infection thread that is sealed after the entrance of few bacteria (Jones and Walker 2008). In this tunnel, bacteria divide and eventually reach the internal tissue that will host the future bacteroids. Although the mechanism is still poorly known, bacteria are introduced into the plant cell by invagination of the plant cell membrane, resulting in the bacterium being surrounded by a plant-derived membrane. This prokaryotic cell surrounded by the plant membrane is called a "symbiosome" (Jones et al. 2007). The presence of three membranes surrounding a bacteroid that are actively involved in secreting and importing nutrients raises important questions about mechanisms of transport, which up to now have been only partially explored.

As mentioned before, *S. meliloti* lives in the soil as free-living organism even without the presence of legumes (Carelli et al. 2000). This suggests that the capability to establish a symbiosis is not an essential function of the species, as revealed by the discovery of *S. meliloti* strains unable to infect plants. A recent discovery has highlighted that *S. meliloti* colonizes the plant as an endophyte, and can be recovered from leaves and other tissues (Pini et al. 2012). This discovery opened an interesting scenario about the bacteroid formation. In fact, if *S. meliloti* is able to colonize the whole plant, then the plant may have evolved a way to induce a terminal differentiation therefore blocking bacteria duplication and preventing uncontrolled colonization of the plant. As we will see in the next sections, induction of bacteroid differentiation is indeed under the control of plant signals, more specifically peptides, which are indeed able to induce bacteroid-like formation even in laboratory culture (Mergaert et al. 2006). As bacteroids are terminally differentiated, symbiotic peptides are indeed antimicrobial molecules (Kereszt et al. 2011).

73 **Cell cycle, symbiotic infection and differentiation**

74 *S. meliloti* division produces two different cell types (Figure 1). A "small" cell that is
75 characterized by a smaller size and the incapacity to replicate the DNA and divide
76 (Collier 2012). The "large" cell, on the contrary, is bigger and is able to replicate its
77 genome once per cell division. To our knowledge, there is no exception to one single
78 round of genome replication in *S. meliloti* in free-living cells, as the origin of replication
79 is strictly controlled by multiple regulatory mechanisms that ensure this perfect
80 coordination between DNA replication and cell division (Sibley et al. 2006). Its location,
81 adjacent to *hemE*, is the same as for *oriC* in *Caulobacter crescentus*, the model organism
82 in which the origin of replication has been characterized the most among chromosomes
83 of alphaproteobacterial origins.

84 The process of infection of *S. meliloti* in the plant root and multiplication inside plant
85 cells is still poorly understood. Many functions are involved in this process, including
86 bacterial cell cycle regulation (see next section) and specific signaling molecules
87 produced by *Medicago* plants (Pan and Wang 2017). In this section we will briefly see
88 how *S. meliloti* establishes this symbiosis. We will also discuss how plants control
89 bacteroid differentiation and what possible bacterial functions may be involved.

90 A *S. meliloti* bacteroid is a special cell type (Figure 1) that possesses two important
91 features: it is able to fix nitrogen, and it shows a clear, irreversible cell cycle arrest that
92 is responsible for its inability to generate new cells once the nodule enters into a
93 senescent state (Kereszt et al. 2011). Therefore, the bacteroid is metabolically active but
94 indeed terminally differentiated (Barsch et al. 2006). The bacteroid metabolism is under
95 the control of specific regulators named Fix and Nif that will not be discussed here
96 (Jones et al. 2007). Morphologically, *S. meliloti* bacteroids are large and elongated cells
97 (10 times bigger) with respect to the free-living cell, and therefore have a bigger

98 cytoplasmic volume. Bacteroids show a certain degree of branching with cells named Y-
99 shaped having the form of the letter Y. Y-shaped cells are usually considered as
100 bacteroids in a more mature state. A striking feature of bacteroids is the genome
101 endoreduplication (up to 24 genome copies) (Mergaert et al. 2006), while in free-living
102 *S. meliloti,* cells either have one copy of the genome or two right before the daughter cell
103 separation (De Nisco et al. 2014). Finally the bacterial bacteroid membrane is highly
104 permeable, suggesting a strong exchange of molecules with the host plant cell (Mergaert
105 et al. 2006).
106 Bacteroid differentiation is controlled by peptides produced by plants, more than 600 in
107 *M. truncatula* (Mergaert et al. 2003), called nodule-specific cysteine rich (NCR) peptides,
108 but only a few of them have been characterized in detail. Indeed, the majority of this
109 large family of peptides has only been predicted by bioinformatics based on the *M.*
110 *truncatula* genome, while the existence of only 138 of them has been experimentally
111 confirmed (Durgo et al. 2015). However, even for the most characterized peptides, our
112 knowledge is still very preliminary, and the mechanism of action of those peptides on
113 the bacterial cell is still far from understood.
114 One of the most investigated peptides is called NCR247, which is produced by *M.*
115 *truncatula* (Van de Velde et al. 2010). Several studies have shown that this NCR peptide
116 may affect multiple targets and functions. NCR247 is able to induce a certain degree of
117 bacteroid differentiation in free-living bacteria cultivated in lab conditions (Van de
118 Velde et al. 2010; Penterman et al. 2014; Farkas et al. 2014). Cysteine residues of
119 NCR247 can be modified by di-sulfur bridge formation changing its targets with respect
120 to the redox state (Haag et al. 2012; Shabab et al. 2016). NCR247 can penetrate the
121 bacterial membrane and form complexes with several bacterial proteins (Farkas et al.
122 2014). For example this peptide interacts with FtsZ presumably inhibiting bacterial cell

123 division (Farkas et al. 2014). It also interacts with ribosomal proteins affecting
124 translation and altering the proteome and the physiology of the endosymbiont. NCR247
125 is further able to directly interact with the chaperone GroEL, which is required for
126 efficient infection, terminal differentiation and nitrogen fixation. Of more interesting
127 from the perspective of this review is the link between NCR247 and the cell cycle
128 regulators of *S. meliloti* (as we will explore in more details in the next section). In
129 particular, sub-lethal doses of NCR247 are able to induce a cell cycle defect similar to
130 bacteroids, by specifically affecting regulons of two master regulators of the cell cycle:
131 GcrA and CtrA (Penterman et al. 2014). The first regulator was discovered and
132 characterized in *C. crescentus* (Holtzendorff et al. 2006; Fioravanti et al. 2012; Murray et
133 al. 2013; Mohapatra et al. 2014), while in *S. meliloti* its role is linked to cell cycle but its
134 mode of action is still unknown (Robledo et al. 2015). On the contrary, CtrA has been
135 studied in *S. meliloti* in greater detail. CtrA plays a clear role as the master regulator of
136 cell cycle, as we will see in the next sections, suggesting that a peptide directly or
137 indirectly acting on its regulon would influence cell differentiation (Pini et al. 2015).
138 Although NCR247 shows a clear negative antimicrobial effect *in vitro*, and it's
139 presumably implicated in the differentiation of bacteroids, other peptides may actually
140 play a protective role in the plant tissues. This is the case, for example, for NCR169 and
141 NCR211, which were localized in the cellular space between the bacterial membrane
142 and the plant membrane of the symbiosome (Horváth et al. 2015; Kim et al. 2015).
143 Finally, at least one membrane transporter is important for the activity of the NCR
144 peptides, BacA (Marlow et al. 2009; Haag et al. 2011). More specifically, BacA is able to
145 internalize several antimicrobial peptides and it's necessary to protect the cells to
146 peptide treatments in plants (Haag et al. 2011). The presence of a transporter involved
147 in the peptides activity suggests that the targets of the peptides should be also located in

148 the bacterial cytoplasm. Recently a genetic screening for transposon mutants resistant
149 to NCR247 revealed that tens of genes may protect cells from this peptide (Arnold et al.
150 2017). Those genes are mostly involved in membrane, peptidoglycan and cell envelope
151 physiology, but are also associated with internal functions such as regulation of
152 transcription factors or factors associated with ribosomes. These discoveries suggest
153 that the activity of each peptide may be very general acting on many levels, and possibly
154 involved in rewiring the whole physiology of the bacterial cell.
155
156

157 **Regulation of the cell cycle in *Sinorhizobium meliloti***

158

159 Regulation of cell cycle in alphaproteobacterial species, such as *S. meliloti*, *C. crescentus*,
160 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* or *Brucella abortus*, is based on several conserved factors,
161 called master regulators, that regulate most of the genes controlling essential steps in
162 cell cycle progression. Although our knowledge is still preliminary in many bacterial
163 models, it is reasonable to say that the master regulators CtrA, DnaA, GcrA and CcrM are
164 well-conserved cell cycle factors in most of the species of the class *Alphaproteobacteria*
165 (Wright et al. 1997; Barnett et al. 2001; Brilli et al. 2010). DnaA is a conserved helicase
166 that regulates the initiation of DNA replication in bacteria (Sibley et al. 2006; Skarstad
167 and Katayama 2013). Removing its binding sites in the origin of replication results in a
168 complete arrest of DNA replication (Sibley et al. 2006). As revealed by a bioinformatic
169 analysis of alphaproteobacterial genomes, almost all factors that regulate the cell cycle
170 in the model system *C. crescentus* are also present in *S. meliloti* (Brilli et al. 2010). The
171 exceptions will be commented in the next paragraphs of this section. This conservation
172 suggests a common evolution of the cell cycle program in the two organisms. However,
173 as we will specifically discuss for *S. meliloti*, every alphaproteobacterial species appears
174 different from the others, suggesting that the cell cycle machinery has diverged in every
175 species in order to adapt to different life styles and physiologies (Brilli et al. 2010).
176 As previously mentioned, the response regulator of the family of two-component
177 systems, named CtrA (Cell cycle Transcriptional Regulator A), plays a crucial role in the
178 regulation of the cell cycle in alphaproteobacterial species as demonstrated for the first
179 time in the model species *C. crescentus* (Quon et al. 1996). Response regulators are
180 generally proteins composed by a receiver domain (REC), with a conserved aspartic
181 residue, and an output domain, which usually binds DNA. Phosphorylation of the REC

182 domain usually leads to dimerization (Gao and Stock 2009), creating an active dimer of
183 the response regulator that is able to bind its consensus sequence at the promoter
184 region of target genes, thereby regulating the genes' expression. CtrA presumably
185 belongs to this class of response regulators, suggesting that a dimeric form of
186 phosphorylated CtrA should interact with its palindromic consensus sequence that we
187 can approximate to AATT(N7)AATT. This consensus sequence is conserved across
188 alphaproteobacterial species, from *Rickettsia* to *Caulobacter*, *Sinorhizobium*,
189 *Magnetospirillum* or *Rhodobacter* (Brassinga et al. 2002; Brilli et al. 2010; Mercer et al.
190 2010; Greene et al. 2012). Based on the presence of this consensus in the promoter
191 region of genes of alphaproteobacterial genomes, the conservation of functions in all
192 species was analyzed *in silico*, revealing that CtrA in all species belonging to this
193 alphaproteobacterial class is usually linked to motility, which is probably the ancestral
194 function controlled by CtrA (Greene et al. 2012; Mercer et al. 2012). In species belonging
195 to the *Caulobacterales* (*C. crescentus*) and *Rhizobiales* (*S. meliloti*, *B. abortus* and *A.*
196 *tumerfaciens*, for example), CtrA potentially controls, in addition to motility, cell cycle-
197 related functions such as cell division and DNA methylation (Brilli et al. 2010). This link
198 to essential functions, such as cell division, explains the essential nature of the *ctrA* gene
199 in those species, while in species in which CtrA controls only motility, the disruption of
200 the gene only affects the flagellum biogenesis and possibly other non-essential functions
201 (Greene et al. 2012; Mercer et al. 2012).
202 A combination of Chromatin Immunoprecipitation-deep sequencing (ChIPseq) and
203 transcriptomic analysis in *S. meliloti* revealed the direct and non-direct regulons of CtrA
204 (Pini et al. 2015). Although many genes are annotated as hypothetical, and require
205 further characterization, several functions appeared to be clearly controlled by CtrA.

206 Several motility and chemotaxis genes are indirect targets of CtrA, such as genes
207 encoding the flagellum apparatus of *S. meliloti* (i.e. *flgBCDH* and *fliEFIL*).
208 Among the important cell cycle regulators that will be introduced in the next
209 paragraphs, the genes *sciP* and *divJ* are directly controlled by CtrA in *S. meliloti*. Unlike in
210 *C. crescentus*, CtrA indirectly regulates *divK* transcription in *S. meliloti*, while CtrA also
211 regulates the second DivK-kinase encoding *cbrA* expression in *S. meliloti* but not in *C.*
212 *crescentus*, where *cbrA* is not present. So this alternative architecture may give a
213 differential degree of control in the negative feedback loop regulating CtrA functions in
214 *S. meliloti*.
215 Genes *minC* and *minD* are the only characterized cell division-related genes directly
216 repressed by CtrA in *S. meliloti*. In *S. meliloti*, as with many other bacteria, MinC and
217 MinD repress cell division by inhibiting FtsZ polymerization and Z-ring formation in the
218 polar regions (Shih and Zheng 2013).
219 In *C. crescentus* and *S. meliloti,* CtrA indeed controls DNA replication and cell division;
220 DNA replication is negatively regulated by CtrA, while cell division genes are directly
221 and positively activated by CtrA (Pini et al. 2015). This dual and opposite activity
222 suggests that CtrA levels and activity must change during the cell cycle; at the onset of
223 DNA replication, CtrA must be inactive in order to activate DNA replication, while in the
224 following steps, CtrA must be present in order to activate crucial functions. This
225 observation implies that CtrA activity should be highly regulated. In this section we will
226 also review all those CtrA regulatory mechanisms.
227 In *C. crescentus* the negative control of DNA replication is dependent on the presence of
228 CtrA binding sites at the origin of replication (Quon et al. 1998). In contrast, no CtrA
229 binding sites have been found in the DnaA-dependent DNA replication origin of *S.*
230 *meliloti*, suggesting either an alternative negative control or possibly the absence of this

231 regulation (Sibley et al. 2006; Pini et al. 2015). CtrA has been further characterized in *S.*
232 *meliloti*, revealing that the *ctrA* gene is indeed essential for the growth of the bacterium
233 (Barnett et al. 2001; Pini et al. 2015). Although the orthologous genes of the
234 phosphorylation cascade of CtrA are present in *S. meliloti* (Brilli et al. 2010), their
235 characterization has never been carried out. On the contrary, the role of the CtrA-
236 inhibitor DivK, which is a single receiver domain of the two-component system protein
237 family, similar to CheY, has been intensively investigated in *S. meliloti* together with its
238 complex kinase/phosphatase module, composed by the kinases DivJ and CbrA and the
239 phosphatase PleC (Lam et al. 2003; Gibson et al. 2006; Gibson et al. 2007; Sadowski et al.
240 2013; Pini et al. 2013; Schallies et al. 2015). DivK, in *C. crescentus,* is an essential factor
241 for cell cycle progression as loss of function mutants of *divK* are arrested at the G1 phase
242 (Hecht et al. 1995). DivK is also essential in *S. meliloti* and acts as the main negative
243 regulator of CtrA (Pini et al. 2015). The absence of DivK, or an inability of DivK to be
244 phosphorylated, results in a stable and constitutively active CtrA that in turns blocks the
245 origin of replication.
246 DivK shows dynamic localization during cell cycle progression, as shown by GFP fusions
247 (Lam et al. 2003), and its localization depends on the polarity factor PodJ1 (Fields et al.
248 2012). The active form of DivK, responsible for CtrA inhibition, is the phosphorylated
249 form, DivK~P. DivK is phosphorylated by two kinases, DivJ and CbrA (Pini et al. 2013),
250 which both contribute to the pool of DivK~P. Deletion of either of the two kinases leads
251 to a severe cell cycle defect showing elongated and branched cells with a slow growth
252 rate. However, the double deletion of *divJ* and *cbrA* is lethal, strongly demonstrating that
253 phosphorylation of DivK is absolutely necessary for a proper cell cycle progression (Pini
254 et al. 2013). Conversely, the ability at specific stages of the cell cycle to remove the
255 phosphate group from DivK~P is also essential as the only known DivK phosphatase,

256 PleC, is indispensable in *S. meliloti* (Fields et al. 2012; Pini et al. 2013). Surprisingly, in *C.*
257 *crescentus* deletion of DivJ, the only known DivK kinase in this species, or deletion of the
258 DivK phosphatase PleC are possible, as is the double deletion, while mutation of the
259 phosphorylation site in DivK is not tolerated by *C. crescentus* cells. This observation
260 suggests a redundant function that may compensate for the absence of DivK
261 phosphorylation (Lori et al. 2015), or an alternative phosphorylation pathway. In *S.*
262 *meliloti*, this redundancy is observed, arguing that different species have evolved a
263 unique architecture of the cell cycle network.
264 The expression of almost 500 genes varies as a function of the cell cycle in *S. meliloti* (De
265 Nisco et al. 2014). As in *C. crescentus,* many genes show peak expression corresponding
266 with the timing of their cellular function (De Nisco et al. 2014). This time-regulated
267 expression of genes, which are required for specific functions, was analyzed by
268 developing a new method of synchronization for *S. meliloti*, based on the induction of the
269 stringent response (carbon and nitrogen starvation) able to induce G1-blocked cells by
270 Rel-dependent ppGpp accumulation (De Nisco et al. 2014). G1-blocked cells were then
271 able to proceed through a complete and synchronized cell cycle with only one DNA
272 replication cycle, ultimately leading to an asymmetrical cell division.
273 The genome of *S. meliloti* consists of three replicons: a 4 mega-bases circular
274 chromosome with a single DnaA-dependent origin of replication, a replicon, named
275 pSymB, that contains two essential genes and many genes involved in the adaptation to
276 environmental niches, and a dispensable megaplasmid, named pSymA, mostly
277 associated with symbiosis (Galibert et al. 2001; Capela et al. 2001; Finan et al. 2001).
278 DNA replication in this organism was analyzed further by looking at the origin of
279 replication of the three large replicons of *S. meliloti* (Frage et al. 2016). Surprisingly the
280 three origins of replication are temporally and spatially separated in the cell, with the

281 chromosome being the first to be replicated with its origin located very close to the
282 polar regions. The megaplasmid pSymA follows the chromosome replication with its
283 origin located in proximity of the pole but shifted towards the center of the cell. Finally
284 pSymB replication starts after pSymA and its origin localization at the beginning of its
285 replication is almost at mid-cell (Frage et al. 2016). This remarkable organization
286 suggests that DNA replication in *S. meliloti* is highly organized with replicons that are
287 kept in the right subcellular localization by mechanisms that are still unknown.
288 CtrA encoding gene transcription is driven by a complex promoter region with at least
289 two different promoters, named P1 and P2 (Barnett et al. 2001). As in *C. crescentus*, CtrA
290 protein levels change as a function of cell cycle, with the protein levels at a minimum
291 during the G1-S transition (initiation of the chromosome replication) (Pini et al. 2015).
292 Presumably this decrease of CtrA levels depends on a mechanism of active degradation
293 of the protein, which depends on the protease ClpXP and several alphaproteobacterial
294 proteins that are present also in *C. crescentus*. Specifically the single receiver domain
295 protein CpdR, active in the non-phosphorylated form, is required for CtrA degradation
296 and symbiosis (Kobayashi et al. 2009; Pini et al. 2015; Schallies et al. 2015). Moreover
297 the protein RcdA is not dispensable in *S. meliloti* and it's required, as in *C. crescentus,* for
298 CtrA degradation, as a conditional mutant of *rcdA* shows high levels of CtrA and a lethal
299 block of cell cycle (Pini et al. 2015).
300

301 **Symbiosis and the cell cycle**

302 Surprisingly, the phenotype of *ctrA* depletion resembles the morphology of bacteroids
303 with elongated and enlarged cells that sometimes showing a Y shaped form (Pini et al.
304 2015). Moreover *ctrA*-depleted cells also show an increase in genome ploidy as
305 bacteroids with all replicons increasing equally their copy number (Pini et al. 2015).

306 This phenotype is consistent with the absence of the CtrA protein in bacteroids
307 extracted from nodules (Pini et al. 2013), and the observation that *ctrA* is barely
308 expressed in the zone of differentiation, while the DNA replication initiation factor DnaA
309 is highly expressed (Roux et al. 2014). These results are also consistent with the results
310 of plants inoculated with a *cpdR* deletion mutant, a protein required for CtrA proteolysis.
311 The nodules Δ*cpdR* inoculated plants are unable to fix nitrogen and contain bacteria that
312 are not differentiated into bacteroids, consistent with a model in which cells with a
313 stable CtrA are unable to differentiate in bacteroids (Kobayashi et al. 2009). As said
314 before, NCR247-treated cells experience a down-regulation of the CtrA-controlled genes,
315 consistent with a mechanism in which bacteroid differentiation depends on CtrA
316 depletion. This results is further reinforced by the observation of a symbiotic defect of a
317 *divJ* deletion mutant that shows cells arrested in the intracellular infection (Pini et al.
318 2013).
319 The link between morphology of bacteroids and nitrogen fixation is not clear yet. For
320 example, the shape and membrane surface/volume ratio of bacteroids may influence the
321 nitrogen fixing performance. On the contrary, the plant's ability to induce terminal
322 differentiation could be instead linked to the necessity to produce bacterial farms,
323 unable to divide but efficiently fixing nitrogen. The latter explanation could suggest that
324 bacteroid formation is required by plants in order to avoid a dangerous multiplication of
325 bacteria inside the plant tissues.

326 **Conclusions**

327

328 Regulators of bacterial cell cycle are undoubtedly involved in the bacteroid
329 differentiation program of *S. meliloti*. The regulatory network that coordinates DNA
330 replication, cell division and presumably bacteroid differentiation relies on the activity
331 of a master regulator of cell cycle named CtrA, whose role in cell cycle regulation is
332 conserved across alphaproteobacterial species, such as *C. crescentus, B. abortus* and *A.*
333 *tumefaciens* (Brilli et al. 2010). Among alphaproteobacteria, *C. crescentus* is one of the
334 best models in which cell cycle regulation has been intensively investigated. More
335 recently other bacterial species, such as *S. meliloti,* have also been analyzed in more
336 detail, revealing that although factors are conserved, every species has a unique
337 behavior with differences that may reflect the adaptation to specific life-styles.
338 In *S. meliloti*, CtrA is essential for viability and controls essential functions such as cell
339 division, DNA replication and DNA methylation. Moreover it controls motility and its
340 regulation by direct activation of the expression of cell cycle regulators. For example,
341 CtrA controls its activity by regulating the DivK module directly (DivJ and CbrA) and
342 indirectly (DivK itself). This negative feedback from CtrA to DivK, the inhibitor of CtrA
343 activity, is also present in *C. crescentus*; however, in *C. crescentus*, this essential
344 transcriptional feedback is directly acting on the *divK* gene (Biondi et al. 2006). From a
345 systems biology point of view, the two different architectures in *C. crescentus* and *S.*
346 *meliloti,* although similar, may underline a different response to, for example,
347 environmental variations or stresses. Further investaigation on the mechanistic
348 properties of *S. meliloti* should reveal important features of this architecture.
349 An increasing body of evidence suggests that CtrA may be a crucial factor during
350 bacteroid differentiation. Its absence in mature bacteroids and the phenotype of a CtrA

351 loss of function strongly suggest that inactivation of CtrA is an essential step in the
352 development of bacteroids. Recent evidences have also pointed that peptides, such as
353 NCR247, may be targeting directly or indirectly CtrA and its complex regulatory
354 apparatus. Research should focus now on revealing this molecular link between NCR
355 peptides and the cell cycle machinery.

356 **Legends**

357

358 **Figure 1. Schematics of *S. meliloti* cell cycle.** Cells are rod-shaped and contain three
359 replicons, here represented with three different colors. The chromosome, in red, is the
360 biggest, pSymB is in green, and the smallest is pSymA in blue. Every cell division, two
361 different cell types are formed: a large cell and a small cell, each containing a copy of
362 replicon. The large cell is able to immediately initiate a new round of DNA replication (S
363 phase), while the small cell (G1) must first differentiate into a large cell. Replicons do
364 not replicate at the same time; the chromosome is the first replicon to initiate its
365 replication, followed by pSymA and then pSymB. Moreover, the single origins of
366 replication of each replicon are spatially localized. The chromosome origin has a polar
367 localization, the pSymA origin is proximal to the polar regions, while pSymB possesses
368 almost a mid cell localization. Molecular determinants responsible for this spatial
369 organization of the chromosome are still poorly known. Due to the secretion of NCR
370 peptides by leguminous plants such as *M. sativa*, *S. meliloti* undergoes differentiation
371 becoming larger and longer and accumulating all three replicons up to 24 copies. The
372 dotted line suggests that the connection of bacteroid differentiation and the free-living
373 cell cycle is still unknown. Bacteroid differentiation is defined "terminal" as, to our
374 knowledge, there is no possibility of cell division by bacteroids. Bacteroids are
375 surrounded by a plant membrane (orange line).

376

377 **Figure 2. Cell cycle regulation network in *S. meliloti.*** The circuit is centered on the
378 response regulator CtrA that regulates multiple general functions, such as motility, pilus
379 biogenesis and chemotaxis. More specifically, phosphorylated CtrA (CtrA-P) activates
380 the expression of *ccrM*, an essential methyl-transferase that regulates the cell cycle, *sciP*

381 encoding the homolog of the *C. crescentus* inhibitor of CtrA activity, and finally DivJ and
382 CbrA, the two kinases of DivK. On the contrary, PleC functions as a phosphatase,
383 removing the phosphate from DivK-P. CtrA directly represses (solid red lines) the Min
384 system, which in turn has an inhibitory activity on FtsZ. Although the molecular link is
385 still unclear, CtrA (dotted red lines) plays a positive role on *divK* transcription and
386 presumably is essential for the coordination of DNA replication, as the absence of CtrA
387 leads to an accumulation of chromosomes. Phosphorylation of CtrA presumably requires
388 DivL, CckA and ChpT and it is inhibited by phosphorylated DivK (DivK-P). Finally CtrA
389 (and/or CtrA-P) is degraded by ClpPX-dependent proteolysis that requires two adapter
390 proteins, named RcdA and CpdR1.
391

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Biondi lab for a fruitful and stimulating discussion about cell cycle regulation in alphaproteobacteria and for George DiCenzo for precious comments and insightful suggestions.

398

399 **Bibliography**

400

401 1. Arnold MFF, Shabab M, Penterman J, Boehme KL, Griffitts JS, Walker GC (2017)
402 Genome-Wide Sensitivity Analysis of the Microsymbiont Sinorhizobium meliloti
403 to Symbiotically Important, Defensin-Like Host Peptides. mBio 8: . doi:
404 10.1128/mBio.01060-17
405 2. Barnett MJ, Hung DY, Reisenauer A, Shapiro L, Long SR (2001) A homolog of the
406 CtrA cell cycle regulator is present and essential in Sinorhizobium meliloti. J
407 Bacteriol 183:3204–3210 . doi: 10.1128/JB.183.10.3204-3210.2001
408 3. Barsch A, Tellström V, Patschkowski T, Küster H, Niehaus K (2006) Metabolite
409 profiles of nodulated alfalfa plants indicate that distinct stages of nodule
410 organogenesis are accompanied by global physiological adaptations. Mol Plant-
411 Microbe Interact MPMI 19:998–1013 . doi: 10.1094/MPMI-19-0998
412 4. Biondi EG, Reisinger SJ, Skerker JM, Arif M, Perchuk BS, Ryan KR, Laub MT (2006)
413 Regulation of the bacterial cell cycle by an integrated genetic circuit. Nature
414 444:899–904 . doi: 10.1038/nature05321
415 5. Brassinga AKC, Siam R, McSween W, Winkler H, Wood D, Marczynski GT (2002)
416 Conserved response regulator CtrA and IHF binding sites in the alpha-
417 proteobacteria Caulobacter crescentus and Rickettsia prowazekii chromosomal
418 replication origins. J Bacteriol 184:5789–5799
419 6. Brilli M, Fondi M, Fani R, Mengoni A, Ferri L, Bazzicalupo M, Biondi EG (2010)
420 The diversity and evolution of cell cycle regulation in alpha-proteobacteria: a
421 comparative genomic analysis. BMC Syst Biol 4:52 . doi: 10.1186/1752-0509-4-
422 52
423 7. Capela D, Barloy-Hubler F, Gouzy J, Bothe G, Ampe F, Batut J, Boistard P, Becker A,
424 Boutry M, Cadieu E, Dréano S, Gloux S, Godrie T, Goffeau A, Kahn D, Kiss E,
425 Lelaure V, Masuy D, Pohl T, Portetelle D, Pühler A, Purnelle B, Ramsperger U,
426 Renard C, Thébault P, Vandenbol M, Weidner S, Galibert F (2001) Analysis of the
427 chromosome sequence of the legume symbiont Sinorhizobium meliloti strain
428 1021. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:9877–9882 . doi: 10.1073/pnas.161294398
429 8. Carelli M, Gnocchi S, Fancelli S, Mengoni A, Paffetti D, Scotti C, Bazzicalupo M
430 (2000) Genetic diversity and dynamics of Sinorhizobium meliloti populations
431 nodulating different alfalfa cultivars in Italian soils. Appl Environ Microbiol
432 66:4785–4789
433 9. Collier J (2012) Regulation of chromosomal replication in Caulobacter
434 crescentus. Plasmid 67:76–87 . doi: 10.1016/j.plasmid.2011.12.007
435 10. Cooper JE (2007) Early interactions between legumes and rhizobia: disclosing
436 complexity in a molecular dialogue. J Appl Microbiol 103:1355–1365 . doi:
437 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03366.x
438 11. De Nisco NJ, Abo RP, Wu CM, Penterman J, Walker GC (2014) Global analysis of
439 cell cycle gene expression of the legume symbiont Sinorhizobium meliloti. Proc
440 Natl Acad Sci U S A. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1400421111
441 12. Durgo H, Klement E, Hunyadi-Gulyas E, Szucs A, Kereszt A, Medzihradszky KF,
442 Kondorosi E (2015) Identification of nodule-specific cysteine-rich plant peptides
443 in endosymbiotic bacteria. Proteomics. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201400385
444 13. Farkas A, Maróti G, Durgő H, Györgypál Z, Lima RM, Medzihradszky KF, Kereszt A,

445 Mergaert P, Kondorosi E (2014) Medicago truncatula symbiotic peptide NCR247
446 contributes to bacteroid differentiation through multiple mechanisms. Proc Natl
447 Acad Sci U S A 111:5183–5188 . doi: 10.1073/pnas.1404169111
448 14. Fields AT, Navarrete CS, Zare AZ, Huang Z, Mostafavi M, Lewis JC, Rezaeihaghighi
449 Y, Brezler BJ, Ray S, Rizzacasa AL, Barnett MJ, Long SR, Chen EJ, Chen JC (2012)
450 The conserved polarity factor podJ1 impacts multiple cell envelope-associated
451 functions in Sinorhizobium meliloti. Mol Microbiol 84:892–920 . doi:
452 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08064.x
453 15. Finan TM, Weidner S, Wong K, Buhrmester J, Chain P, Vorhölter FJ, Hernandez-
454 Lucas I, Becker A, Cowie A, Gouzy J, Golding B, Pühler A (2001) The complete
455 sequence of the 1,683-kb pSymB megaplasmid from the N2-fixing endosymbiont
456 Sinorhizobium meliloti. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:9889–9894 . doi:
457 10.1073/pnas.161294698
458 16. Fioravanti A, Clantin B, Dewitte F, Lens Z, Verger A, Biondi EG, Villeret V (2012)
459 Structural insights into ChpT, an essential dimeric histidine phosphotransferase
460 regulating the cell cycle in Caulobacter crescentus. Acta Crystallograph Sect F
461 Struct Biol Cryst Commun 68:1025–1029 . doi: 10.1107/S1744309112033064
462 17. Frage B, Döhlemann J, Robledo M, Lucena D, Sobetzko P, Graumann PL, Becker A
463 (2016) Spatiotemporal choreography of chromosome and megaplasmids in the
464 Sinorhizobium meliloti cell cycle. Mol Microbiol 100:808–823 . doi:
465 10.1111/mmi.13351
466 18. Galibert F, Finan TM, Long SR, Puhler A, Abola P, Ampe F, Barloy-Hubler F,
467 Barnett MJ, Becker A, Boistard P, Bothe G, Boutry M, Bowser L, Buhrmester J,
468 Cadieu E, Capela D, Chain P, Cowie A, Davis RW, Dreano S, Federspiel NA, Fisher
469 RF, Gloux S, Godrie T, Goffeau A, Golding B, Gouzy J, Gurjal M, Hernandez-Lucas I,
470 Hong A, Huizar L, Hyman RW, Jones T, Kahn D, Kahn ML, Kalman S, Keating DH,
471 Kiss E, Komp C, Lelaure V, Masuy D, Palm C, Peck MC, Pohl TM, Portetelle D,
472 Purnelle B, Ramsperger U, Surzycki R, Thebault P, Vandenbol M, Vorholter FJ,
473 Weidner S, Wells DH, Wong K, Yeh KC, Batut J (2001) The composite genome of
474 the legume symbiont Sinorhizobium meliloti. Science 293:668–672 . doi:
475 10.1126/science.1060966
476 19. Gao R, Stock AM (2009) Biological insights from structures of two-component
477 proteins. Annu Rev Microbiol 63:133–154 . doi:
478 10.1146/annurev.micro.091208.073214
479 20. Gibson KE, Barnett MJ, Toman CJ, Long SR, Walker GC (2007) The symbiosis
480 regulator CbrA modulates a complex regulatory network affecting the flagellar
481 apparatus and cell envelope proteins. J Bacteriol 189:3591–3602 . doi:
482 10.1128/JB.01834-06
483 21. Gibson KE, Campbell GR, Lloret J, Walker GC (2006) CbrA is a stationary-phase
484 regulator of cell surface physiology and legume symbiosis in Sinorhizobium
485 meliloti. J Bacteriol 188:4508–4521 . doi: 10.1128/JB.01923-05
486 22. Greene SE, Brilli M, Biondi EG, Komeili A (2012) Analysis of the CtrA pathway in
487 Magnetospirillum reveals an ancestral role in motility in alphaproteobacteria. J
488 Bacteriol 194:2973–2986 . doi: 10.1128/JB.00170-12
489 23. Haag AF, Baloban M, Sani M, Kerscher B, Pierre O, Farkas A, Longhi R,
490 Boncompagni E, Hérouart D, Dall'angelo S, Kondorosi E, Zanda M, Mergaert P,
491 Ferguson GP (2011) Protection of Sinorhizobium against host cysteine-rich
492 antimicrobial peptides is critical for symbiosis. PLoS Biol 9:e1001169 . doi:
493 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001169

494 24. Haag AF, Kerscher B, Dall'Angelo S, Sani M, Longhi R, Baloban M, Wilson HM,
495 Mergaert P, Zanda M, Ferguson GP (2012) Role of cysteine residues and disulfide
496 bonds in the activity of a legume root nodule-specific, cysteine-rich peptide. J Biol
497 Chem 287:10791–10798 . doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.311316
498 25. Hecht GB, Lane T, Ohta N, Sommer JM, Newton A (1995) An essential single
499 domain response regulator required for normal cell division and differentiation
500 in Caulobacter crescentus. EMBO J 14:3915–3924
501 26. Holtzendorff J, Reinhardt J, Viollier PH (2006) Cell cycle control by oscillating
502 regulatory proteins in Caulobacter crescentus. BioEssays News Rev Mol Cell Dev
503 Biol 28:355–361 . doi: 10.1002/bies.20384
504 27. Horváth B, Domonkos Á, Kereszt A, Szűcs A, Ábrahám E, Ayaydin F, Bóka K, Chen
505 Y, Chen R, Murray JD, Udvardi MK, Kondorosi É, Kaló P (2015) Loss of the nodule-
506 specific cysteine rich peptide, NCR169, abolishes symbiotic nitrogen fixation in
507 the Medicago truncatula dnf7 mutant. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:15232–15237
508 . doi: 10.1073/pnas.1500777112
509 28. Jones KM, Kobayashi H, Davies BW, Taga ME, Walker GC (2007) How rhizobial
510 symbionts invade plants: the Sinorhizobium-Medicago model. Nat Rev Microbiol
511 5:619–633 . doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1705
512 29. Jones KM, Walker GC (2008) Responses of the model legume Medicago truncatula
513 to the rhizobial exopolysaccharide succinoglycan. Plant Signal Behav 3:888–890
514 30. Kereszt A, Mergaert P, Kondorosi E (2011) Bacteroid development in legume
515 nodules: evolution of mutual benefit or of sacrificial victims? Mol Plant-Microbe
516 Interact MPMI 24:1300–1309 . doi: 10.1094/MPMI-06-11-0152
517 31. Kim M, Chen Y, Xi J, Waters C, Chen R, Wang D (2015) An antimicrobial peptide
518 essential for bacterial survival in the nitrogen-fixing symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci
519 U S A 112:15238–15243 . doi: 10.1073/pnas.1500123112
520 32. Kobayashi H, De Nisco NJ, Chien P, Simmons LA, Walker GC (2009)
521 Sinorhizobium meliloti CpdR1 is critical for co-ordinating cell cycle progression
522 and the symbiotic chronic infection. Mol Microbiol 73:586–600 . doi:
523 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06794.x
524 33. Lam H, Matroule J-Y, Jacobs-Wagner C (2003) The asymmetric spatial
525 distribution of bacterial signal transduction proteins coordinates cell cycle
526 events. Dev Cell 5:149–159
527 34. Liu C-W, Murray JD (2016) The Role of Flavonoids in Nodulation Host-Range
528 Specificity: An Update. Plants Basel Switz 5: . doi: 10.3390/plants5030033
529 35. Lori C, Ozaki S, Steiner S, Böhm R, Abel S, Dubey BN, Schirmer T, Hiller S, Jenal U
530 (2015) Cyclic di-GMP acts as a cell cycle oscillator to drive chromosome
531 replication. Nature 523:236–239 . doi: 10.1038/nature14473
532 36. Marlow VL, Haag AF, Kobayashi H, Fletcher V, Scocchi M, Walker GC, Ferguson GP
533 (2009) Essential role for the BacA protein in the uptake of a truncated eukaryotic
534 peptide in Sinorhizobium meliloti. J Bacteriol 191:1519–1527 . doi:
535 10.1128/JB.01661-08
536 37. Mercer RG, Callister SJ, Lipton MS, Pasa-Tolic L, Strnad H, Paces V, Beatty JT, Lang
537 AS (2010) Loss of the response regulator CtrA causes pleiotropic effects on gene
538 expression but does not affect growth phase regulation in Rhodobacter
539 capsulatus. J Bacteriol 192:2701–2710 . doi: 10.1128/JB.00160-10
540 38. Mercer RG, Quinlan M, Rose AR, Noll S, Beatty JT, Lang AS (2012) Regulatory
541 systems controlling motility and gene transfer agent production and release in
542 Rhodobacter capsulatus. FEMS Microbiol Lett 331:53–62 . doi: 10.1111/j.1574-

543 6968.2012.02553.x
544 39. Mergaert P, Nikovics K, Kelemen Z, Maunoury N, Vaubert D, Kondorosi A,
545 Kondorosi E (2003) A novel family in Medicago truncatula consisting of more
546 than 300 nodule-specific genes coding for small, secreted polypeptides with
547 conserved cysteine motifs. Plant Physiol 132:161–173 . doi:
548 10.1104/pp.102.018192
549 40. Mergaert P, Uchiumi T, Alunni B, Evanno G, Cheron A, Catrice O, Mausset A-E,
550 Barloy-Hubler F, Galibert F, Kondorosi A, Kondorosi E (2006) Eukaryotic control
551 on bacterial cell cycle and differentiation in the Rhizobium-legume symbiosis.
552 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:5230–5235 . doi: 10.1073/pnas.0600912103
553 41. Mohapatra SS, Fioravanti A, Biondi EG (2014) DNA methylation in Caulobacter
554 and other Alphaproteobacteria during cell cycle progression. Trends Microbiol
555 22:528–535 . doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2014.05.003
556 42. Murray SM, Panis G, Fumeaux C, Viollier PH, Howard M (2013) Computational
557 and genetic reduction of a cell cycle to its simplest, primordial components. PLoS
558 Biol 11:e1001749 . doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001749
559 43. Pan H, Wang D (2017) Nodule cysteine-rich peptides maintain a working balance
560 during nitrogen-fixing symbiosis. Nat Plants 3:17048 . doi:
561 10.1038/nplants.2017.48
562 44. Penterman J, Abo RP, De Nisco NJ, Arnold MFF, Longhi R, Zanda M, Walker GC
563 (2014) Host plant peptides elicit a transcriptional response to control the
564 Sinorhizobium meliloti cell cycle during symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
565 111:3561–3566 . doi: 10.1073/pnas.1400450111
566 45. Pini F, De Nisco NJ, Ferri L, Penterman J, Fioravanti A, Brilli M, Mengoni A,
567 Bazzicalupo M, Viollier PH, Walker GC, Biondi EG (2015) Cell Cycle Control by the
568 Master Regulator CtrA in Sinorhizobium meliloti. PLoS Genet 11:e1005232 . doi:
569 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005232
570 46. Pini F, Frage B, Ferri L, De Nisco NJ, Mohapatra SS, Taddei L, Fioravanti A, Dewitte
571 F, Galardini M, Brilli M, Villeret V, Bazzicalupo M, Mengoni A, Walker GC, Becker
572 A, Biondi EG (2013) The DivJ, CbrA and PleC system controls DivK
573 phosphorylation and symbiosis in Sinorhizobium meliloti. Mol Microbiol 90:54–
574 71 . doi: 10.1111/mmi.12347
575 47. Pini F, Frascella A, Santopolo L, Bazzicalupo M, Biondi EG, Scotti C, Mengoni A
576 (2012) Exploring the plant-associated bacterial communities in Medicago sativa
577 L. BMC Microbiol 12:78 . doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-78
578 48. Quon KC, Marczynski GT, Shapiro L (1996) Cell cycle control by an essential
579 bacterial two-component signal transduction protein. Cell 84:83–93
580 49. Quon KC, Yang B, Domian IJ, Shapiro L, Marczynski GT (1998) Negative control of
581 bacterial DNA replication by a cell cycle regulatory protein that binds at the
582 chromosome origin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:120–125
583 50. Robledo M, Frage B, Wright PR, Becker A (2015) A stress-induced small RNA
584 modulates alpha-rhizobial cell cycle progression. PLoS Genet 11:e1005153 . doi:
585 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005153
586 51. Roux B, Rodde N, Jardinaud M-F, Timmers T, Sauviac L, Cottret L, Carrère S, Sallet
587 E, Courcelle E, Moreau S, Debellé F, Capela D, de Carvalho-Niebel F, Gouzy J,
588 Bruand C, Gamas P (2014) An integrated analysis of plant and bacterial gene
589 expression in symbiotic root nodules using laser-capture microdissection
590 coupled to RNA sequencing. Plant J Cell Mol Biol 77:817–837 . doi:
591 10.1111/tpj.12442

592 52. Sadowski C, Wilson D, Schallies K, Walker G, Gibson KE (2013) The
593 Sinorhizobium meliloti sensor histidine kinase CbrA contributes to free-living cell
594 cycle regulation. Microbiol Read Engl. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.067504-0
595 53. Schallies KB, Sadowski C, Meng J, Chien P, Gibson KE (2015) Sinorhizobium
596 meliloti CtrA Stability Is Regulated in a CbrA-Dependent Manner That Is
597 Influenced by CpdR1. J Bacteriol 197:2139–2149 . doi: 10.1128/JB.02593-14
598 54. Shabab M, Arnold MFF, Penterman J, Wommack AJ, Bocker HT, Price PA, Griffitts
599 JS, Nolan EM, Walker GC (2016) Disulfide cross-linking influences symbiotic
600 activities of nodule peptide NCR247. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:10157–10162 .
601 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1610724113
602 55. Shaw SL, Long SR (2003) Nod factor elicits two separable calcium responses in
603 Medicago truncatula root hair cells. Plant Physiol 131:976–984 . doi:
604 10.1104/pp.005546
605 56. Shih Y-L, Zheng M (2013) Spatial control of the cell division site by the Min
606 system in Escherichia coli. Environ Microbiol 15:3229–3239 . doi: 10.1111/1462-
607 2920.12119
608 57. Sibley CD, MacLellan SR, Finan T (2006) The Sinorhizobium meliloti
609 chromosomal origin of replication. Microbiol Read Engl 152:443–455 . doi:
610 10.1099/mic.0.28455-0
611 58. Sieberer BJ, Timmers ACJ, Emons AMC (2005) Nod factors alter the microtubule
612 cytoskeleton in Medicago truncatula root hairs to allow root hair reorientation.
613 Mol Plant-Microbe Interact MPMI 18:1195–1204 . doi: 10.1094/MPMI-18-1195
614 59. Skarstad K, Katayama T (2013) Regulating DNA replication in bacteria. Cold
615 Spring Harb Perspect Biol 5:a012922 . doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a012922
616 60. Van de Velde W, Zehirov G, Szatmari A, Debreczeny M, Ishihara H, Kevei Z, Farkas
617 A, Mikulass K, Nagy A, Tiricz H, Satiat-Jeunemaître B, Alunni B, Bourge M, Kucho
618 K, Abe M, Kereszt A, Maroti G, Uchiumi T, Kondorosi E, Mergaert P (2010) Plant
619 peptides govern terminal differentiation of bacteria in symbiosis. Science
620 327:1122–1126 . doi: 10.1126/science.1184057
621 61. Wright R, Stephens C, Shapiro L (1997) The CcrM DNA methyltransferase is
622 widespread in the alpha subdivision of proteobacteria, and its essential functions
623 are conserved in Rhizobium meliloti and Caulobacter crescentus. J Bacteriol
624 179:5869–5877
625

626

Figure 1



Figure 2