Urinary pesticide concentrations in French adults with low and high organic food consumption: results from the general population-based NutriNet-Santé

Julia Baudry, Laurent Debrauwer, Gaël Durand, Gwendolina Limon, Adéline Delcambre, Rodolphe Vidal, Bruno Taupier-Letage, Nathalie Druesne-Pecollo, Pilar Galan, Serge Hercberg, et al.

► To cite this version:

Julia Baudry, Laurent Debrauwer, Gaël Durand, Gwendolina Limon, Adéline Delcambre, et al.. Urinary pesticide concentrations in French adults with low and high organic food consumption: results from the general population-based NutriNet-Santé. *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology*, 2019, 29 (3), pp.366-378. 10.1038/s41370-018-0062-9 . hal-02120094

HAL Id: hal-02120094

<https://hal-amu.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02120094>

Submitted on 5 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Urinary pesticide concentrations in French adults with low and high organic food consumption: results from the general population-based NutriNet-Santé

Julia Baudry^{a*} PhD, Laurent Debrauwer^b PhD, Gaël Durand^c PhD, Gwendolina Limon^c MSc, Adéline Delcambre^b PhD, Rodolphe Vidal^d MSc, Bruno Taupier-Letage^d MSc, Nathalie Druesne-Pecollo^a PhD, Pilar Galan^a PhD MD, Serge Hercberg^{a,e} Prof, Denis Lairon^f PhD, Jean-Pierre Cravedi^b PhD, Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot^a PhD

^a Equipe de Recherche en Epidémiologie Nutritionnelle (EREN), Université Paris 13, Inserm (U1153), Inra (U1125), Centre d'Epidémiologie et Statistiques Sorbonne Paris Cité, Cnam, COMUE Sorbonne-Paris-Cité, F-93017 Bobigny, France ;

^b Toxalim, Université de Toulouse, INRA, ENVT, INP-Purpan, UPS, F-31027 Toulouse, France ;

^c LABOCEA, F-29280 Plouzané France ;

^d Institut Technique de l'Agriculture Biologique (ITAB), F- 75595 Paris, France ;

^e Département de Santé Publique, Hôpital Avicenne, F-93017 Bobigny, France ;

^f Aix Marseille Université, INSERM, INRA, C2VN, 13005 Marseille, France

***Correspondence:** Email: j.baudry@eren.smbh.univ-paris13.fr

Equipe de Recherche en Epidémiologie Nutritionnelle (EREN)

SMBH Université Paris 13

74 rue Marcel Cachin, 93017 Bobigny, France

Running title: pesticide exposure and organic consumption

Abstract

An organic diet may reduce dietary exposure to pesticides but findings based on observational data are scant. We aimed to compare urinary pesticide concentrations between “organic” and “conventional” consumers from the NutriNet-Santé study. Organic food consumption was determined using a self-reported food frequency questionnaire. Individuals with a proportion of organic food in the whole diet (in g/d) below 10% were defined as low organic food consumers and those whose proportion was above 50% as high organic food consumers. A propensity score matching procedure was then used to obtain two similar subsets of 150 participants, differing mostly by the organic valence of their diet. Urinary pesticide and metabolite concentrations (organophosphorus, pyrethroid and azole compounds) were determined by UPLC-MS/MS, standardized with respect to creatinine. The molar sums of total diethylphosphates, dimethylphosphates and dialkylphosphates were also computed. Differences in distributions across groups were tested using Wilcoxon signed-rank test for matched data. Mean age was 58.5y and 70% of participants were women. Significantly lower urinary levels of diethylthiophosphate, dimethylthiophosphate, dialkylphosphates and free 3-phenoxybenzoic acid were observed among organic consumers compared to conventional consumers. Our findings confirm that exposure to certain organophosphate and pyrethroid pesticides in adults may be lowered by switching from conventional to organic foods. This is particularly of high interest among conventional fruit and vegetables consumers, as their exposure may be the highest.

Keywords: dietary exposure; epidemiology; pesticides

Introduction

Pesticides are widely used to protect crops against harmful organisms and diseases but are also utilized as biocides for non-agricultural purposes. Despite these beneficial aspects, there has been an increasing concern during the last decade that these compounds represent a risk to the general population through residues in food commodities. The toxicological outcomes that have been associated with pesticide exposure include neurological, respiratory, dermatological, digestive, carcinogenic, reproductive and developmental effects, as recently reviewed by several authors¹⁻³. Five pesticides (malathion, glyphosate, parathion, diazinon and tetrachlorvinphos) were classified as probably carcinogenic to humans by the International Agency for Research on Cancer⁴. In addition, a high number of agrochemicals have been documented to affect the endocrine system^{5,6}, causing reproductive and developmental adverse effects, but also resulting in metabolic disorders^{7,8}. Knowledge on the consequences of exposure levels observed in the general population remains scarce and difficult to interpret⁹. France is one of the largest users in tons of agricultural pesticides in the European Union¹⁰. Routes of exposure to pesticides are multiple (oral, dermal and respiratory) but diet is the main source of pesticide exposure in the general population¹¹. It is therefore essential to estimate how and to what extent, different dietary consumption patterns – from more or less contaminated food sources (e.g. organically vs. conventionally grown products) may affect exposure. Organic production and labelling of organic products are held under legal framework of the Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007, which limits the use of pesticides to a small number (i.e. 35) of natural substances while 488 active substances are approved by (EC) No 1107/2009 as pesticides in conventional agriculture in the European Union¹². This exclusion of synthetic pesticides results in a significantly lower frequency of (or no) contamination in organic foods when compared to conventional foods, as consistently described in food residue analyses¹³⁻¹⁶. Some experimental studies, using mostly cross-over design, have been carried out among children¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and adults^{20,21}, and all report that the adoption of a diet mainly based on organic foods leads to a significant reduction in pesticide levels in urine (including organophosphate pesticides and herbicide 2,4-D¹⁷⁻²¹). Furthermore, the considerable recent growth of organic food market²² is largely due to consumers' concerns for food safety. Indeed, organic products are perceived healthier by consumers than conventional ones

mainly because of their absence of pesticide residues^{23–27}. Yet the extent to which day-life high organic food consumption is related to reduced urinary pesticide concentrations, more specifically in European adults, is not well documented.

In this context, the objective of the current study was to test for differences in pesticide exposure, reflected by urinary biomarkers, among adults with low and high self-reported organic food consumption.

Methods

Study population

The NutriNet-Santé study is an ongoing web-based observational prospective study launched in France in May 2009 on a large sample of adult volunteers. Its general aim is to investigate the relationships between dietary patterns, nutrition and health issues²⁸. Participants over age of 18y are recruited among the general population by means of vast multimedia campaigns. All questionnaires are completed online using a dedicated website.

The NutriNet-Santé study is conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the French Institute for Health and Medical Research (IRB Inserm n°0000388FWA00005831) and the "Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés" (CNIL n°908450/n°909216). Clinicaltrials.gov number: NCT03335644.

On a voluntary basis, participants were also invited to attend health centers for biological sampling and clinical examination (2011-2013). During the visit, volunteers underwent blood and urine sampling as well as a clinical examination including anthropometric measurements. Overall, samples of serum, plasma, buffy-coats and urine were set up for about 20,000 participants of the cohort²⁹. Electronic and paper written informed consents were obtained from all subjects attending the visit. All procedures were approved by the Consultation Committee for the Protection of Participants in Biomedical Research" (C09-42 on May 5th 2010) and the CNIL (n°1460707).

Sociodemographic, anthropometric and biological data

To be included in the cohort, participants have to fill in a set of questionnaires providing information on sociodemographic (age, sex, educational level, employment status, place of residence) and lifestyle (smoking status, physical activity) characteristics and health data (menopausal status for women, medical history and medication). Every year thereafter, as part of their follow-up, they are also invited to complete this same set of questionnaires to update their information.

During the clinical visits, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, weight and height were measured using standardized procedures³⁰. Fasting blood glucose, total serum cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and serum triglycerides were routinely measured as previously described²⁹.

Assessment of total and organic food consumption

Total and organic food consumptions were assessed using an organic semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (Org-FFQ). In June 2014, NutriNet-Santé participants were invited to complete the Org-FFQ through the dedicated secured website. The development of the Org-FFQ has been fully described elsewhere³¹. Briefly, the Org-FFQ consisted of 264 food and beverage items. It was based on a validated FFQ³², completed by specific questions about organic food consumption frequency. Briefly, for each of the 264 items subjects were asked to report their frequency of consumption and the quantity consumed over the past year. Additionally, a five-point Likert-type scale ranging from never to always was used to estimate the frequency of organic food consumption of each food item. Organic food intake was obtained for each item by applying a weight of 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1 to the five respective categories of frequency (never, rarely, half of the time, often and always). Using the Org-FFQ, the proportion of organic food in the whole diet (g/d) was then calculated by dividing the total organic food consumption out of the total food consumption excluding water. Participants were also invited to fill in a questionnaire pertaining to motivations and attitudes towards organic foods.

Selection of the subsample and matching procedure

Of the 33,384 subjects who had completed the Org-FFQ, we selected those with available data regarding total and organic food consumption, with no missing covariates and who had attended the clinical visits (N=5,746). Among them, we selected subjects who had fasted at least 6 hours before the

visit and subjects who were no subject to potential metabolic disorders, i.e. subjects with no history of type I diabetes, Crohn's disease, all types of cancer, neurological diseases, cardiovascular diseases, digestive system diseases (including cirrhosis, hepatitis, celiac disease and colitis) lupus, spondylolisthesis and sclerosis (N=4,598) (**Figure 1**). Then low and high organic food consumers were identified as those with a proportion of organic food in the whole diet (g/d) below 10% or above 50%, respectively (N=2,351). Finally, in order to account for profile differences between low and high organic food consumers, we applied a propensity score matching procedure without replacement. This matching approach, based on a single composite score, enabled to achieve comparability between the two groups in terms of their observed characteristics³³. We thus obtained two propensity score-matched groups of 150 subjects, differing by the organic valence of their diet. Selection and matching procedures are extensively described in the **Supplemental Material**.

Urine collection, creatinine and pesticide analysis

At the clinical visit, urine sample collection was performed using vessels allowing the close-circuit urine transfer from the vessel to the Vacutainer® tube. The Vacutainer® tubes containing the spot urine sample were kept at + 4°C before and during transportation to the central laboratory. After splitting in aliquots, urine samples were stored at - 80°C for further analyses. To account for urine dilution, creatinine concentration (µg/L) was used to adjust analyte concentrations. Urinary creatinine concentration was determined by ¹H NMR according to a method adapted from Bouatra et al.³⁴. All pesticide assays were performed in the same laboratory. The final list of analyzed pesticides and metabolites has been defined as a compromise between scientific objectives, financial cost and the available measurement methods. The extraction method for Group 1 (dimethylphosphate (DMP), dimethylthiophosphate (DMTP), dimethyldithiophosphate (DMDTP), diethylphosphate (DEP), diethylthiophosphate (DETP), diethyldithiophosphate (DEDTP)) was 96-well µElution Solid Phase Extraction-off line, Oasis Wax well Plate, 30 µm, 2 mg, Waters (Milford, Massachusetts, USA) for purification and concentration purposes. The sample volume used was 200 µl, diluted by 200 µl of water 4% formic acid. The compounds were eluted using a 5% ammonium hydroxide solution in acetonitrile. The extraction for other analytes - Group 2 (chlorpyrifos and metabolites, malathion,

dichlorvos, phoxim, diazinon, thiabendazole-5-OH (TBZ-OH), tebuconazole, 2-(diethylamino)-6-methylpyrimidin-4-ol/one (DEAMP) (pyrimiphos methyl metabolite), pyrethroid metabolites (3-phenoxybenzoic acid (3-PBA), 4-fluoro-3-phenoxybenzoic acid (4-FPBA)) and 3,5,6-trichloropyridinol (TCP)) was performed with Solid Phase Extraction-off line, Oasis HLB well Plate, 30 µm, 2 mg, Waters (Milford, Massachusetts, USA). The sample volume used was 250 µl, diluted by 250 µl of water 4% ammonium hydroxide. The elution was done using a methanol-acetonitrile solution acidified with formic acid (0.05%).

A second analysis was performed for the deconjugated compounds before the same protocol of extraction: an enzymatic hydrolysis was performed, on 250 µl sample, for total (free and conjugated) TBZ-OH, tebuconazole, DEAMP, pyrethroid metabolites (3-PBA et 4-FPBA) and TCP, using β-Glucuronidase / Arylsulfatase (*Helix pomatia*) from Roche (Mannheim, Germany), after stabilization with sodium acetate buffer solution, pH 4.5-5.5, as described by the supplier (Sigma-Aldrich, Seelze, Germany), during 16 hours. at 37°C.

Analyses of pesticides and metabolites (for correspondence between metabolites and parent compounds, see **Supplemental Table 1**) were performed using UPLC H-Class system coupled with a tandem mass spectrometry Xevo TQ-S (UPLC-MSMS) (Waters, Milford, USA).

For the pesticides from Group 1, a volume of 15 µl was injected in a column BEH amide 1.7 µm 2.1 x 100 mm (Waters, Milford, USA) in an oven at 35°C. The eluents used were water 50 mM ammonium acetate / acetonitrile with a gradient from 90% to 20% acetonitrile, with a flow rate of 0,4 ml/min. The analysis was performed in ES-mode. For the pesticides from Group 2, a volume of 10 µl was injected in a column BEH C18 1.7 µm 2.1 x 100 mm (Waters, Milford, USA). The eluents used were water/acetonitrile + 0.05 % formic acid with a gradient from. 85.5% to 2% water, in an oven at 40°C temperature with a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min The analysis was performed in ES + and ES- mode.

Quality control/quality assurance

All standards used were certified standards provided by Sigma-Aldrich (Seelze, Germany), A2S (St Jean d'Illac, France) and Dr Ehrenstorfer (Augsburg, Germany).

A set of five calibrations in the range 0.01 to 10 µg/L for samples of human urine free of the target biomarkers was analyzed each day for 5 days for inter-assay precision and accuracy. For intra-assay precision and accuracy, three levels of concentrations (near limit of quantification, average and high level) were analyzed in different human urine free of the target biomarkers ten times. A weighted linear regression model (1/x) was used for the construction of calibration curve. Throughout the study a quality control was analyzed every ten samples and a blank every twenty samples. Dichlorvos-D6, chlorpyrifos-methyl-D6, chlorpyrifos-D10, malathion-D6, diazinon-D10, DETP-D10 and DMTP-D6 served as internal standards.

The limit of detections (LODs) ranged from 0.003 to 0.6 µg/L. The limit of quantification (LOQs) ranged from 0.01 to 2 µg/L with a coefficient of variation ranging from 10 to 25%. LODs were 3 fold higher the intensity of the background noise and LOQs were, overall, 3 fold higher than LODs.

Statistical analysis

For comparative purposes and as previously done in other publications^{18,21}, we calculated the following molar sums (µmol/g), based on concentration of individual organophosphorus metabolites (µg/g creatinine) and molecular weights (g/mol):

$$\begin{aligned} total\ MPs &= \frac{[DMP]}{125} + \frac{[DMTP]}{141} + \frac{[DMDTP]}{157} \\ total\ EPs &= \frac{[DEP]}{153} + \frac{[DETP]}{169} + \frac{[DEDTP]}{186} \\ total\ DAPs &= total\ MPs + total\ EPs \end{aligned}$$

with DMP, dimethylphosphate ; DMTP, dimethylthiophosphate ; DMDTP, dimethyldithiophosphate ; DEP, diethylphosphate ; DETP, diethylthiophosphate ; DEDTP, diethyldithiophosphate ; DAPs, dialkylphosphates ; MPs, dimethylphosphates ; EPs, diethylphosphates.

Samples containing concentrations below the LOD (limit of detection) were assumed to have concentrations equal to ½ LOD. Samples containing concentrations below the LOQ (limit of quantification) were assumed to have a concentration equal to the midpoint between the LOD and the LOQ³⁵.

We performed a balance diagnostic of the matching procedure to obtain standardized differences for variables included in the propensity score model as recommended ³³, using the SAS macro %*pmdiag* ³³. Characteristics of the participants are presented by group of consumers and were compared using Wilcoxon signed-rank test for matched samples for continuous variables and McNemar test (binary variables) or conditional logistic regression for categorical variables (>2-class variables). We computed the modified Programme National Nutrition Santé Guideline Score (mPNNS-GS), an *a priori* nutritional index reflecting the adherence to the French food-based nutritional guidelines ³⁶. A higher score (max=13.5) reflects a higher nutritional quality of the diet ³⁷. Distribution indicators, frequency of detection and of quantification are provided.

Additionally, in a sensitivity analysis, in order to increase the discriminating power of our analyses, we only considered subjects with proportion of organic food in the diet below 5% for the conventional group and their matching organic pairs (n=218). All analyses were performed using 9.4 version of the SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

The balance diagnostic of the matching procedure is presented in **Supplemental Table 2**. The vast majority of the variables including health, sociodemographic and diet displayed similar distributions across organic and conventional groups, except for consumption of mixed dishes (p-value<0.05). Participants' characteristics are shown in **Table 1**. The average proportions of organic food in the diet were 3% (± 3) and 67% (± 13) in the conventional and organic groups, respectively. Diet quality, assessed using the mPNNS-GS, was relatively high. Mean age participants was 58y, about 30% of the participants were men and more than 60% were highly educated.

Levels of exposure to pesticides through urinary parent moieties and metabolites are presented in **Tables 2** and **3**. Parent pesticides were detectable in a limited number of samples in the two groups (max=9% for malathion in the conventional group). Mean and median molecule concentrations were mostly below the LOD (**Table 2**). In contrast, for some metabolites such as total DEAMP, total TBZ-OH, total tebuconazole, most EPs and MPs (except DEDTP and DMDTP) and free 3-PBA, the frequency of quantification was high in both groups (>15), and generally lower in the organic group

(Table 3). The mean concentrations of DETP, DMTP and free 3-PBA were significantly higher in the conventional group compared to the organic one while for the rest of metabolites, no significant difference between groups was detected (Table 3).

The molar sums of EPs, MPs and DAPs are presented in Figure 2 and Table 4. While no significant difference in urinary concentrations across groups was observed for total MPs (p-value=0.47) and total EPs (p-value=0.09), the total DAPs concentration was lower in the organic group compared to the conventional group, with means of 0.29 and 0.41 µg/g creatinine respectively (p-value=0.03). Some consumers had high exposure levels in both groups as shown by rather different orders of magnitude of means and medians.

When the population sample was restricted to pairs using a different criteria, namely <5% of organic food in the diet for conventional consumers (instead of 10% as previously stated) (n=218), mean difference for total EPs was accentuated and reached statistical significance (Table 5), indicating a possible dose-response relationship.

Discussion

In this observational study, when comparing urine pesticide metabolites in consumers with discriminant consumption of organic food, significantly higher urinary levels of DETP, DMTP, total DAPs (organophosphorus metabolites) and free 3-PBA (a pyrethroid metabolite) were found among conventional consumers compared to organic consumers, while, overall, low detection rates were found for parent compounds in both groups. In a sensitivity analysis, with conventional consumers with a maximum of 5% of organic food in their diet, differences between groups were more salient, in particular for EPs. This suggests that organophosphorus and pyrethroid pesticide exposure in adults may be noticeably lowered by introducing organic foods in the diet.

During the last decade, several studies comparing the levels of urine pesticides between “organic” and “conventional” consumers have been conducted in children^{17–19,38} and in adults^{20,21}. Beyond disparities in age range and dietary patterns of the populations as well as the periods when they have been conducted, these studies largely differed in their methodology. Most of them were indeed interventional cross-over studies^{17,19,21,38}, two were observational^{18,20}. An exposure to a wide range of

metabolites was assessed including organophosphorus pesticide metabolites but also, less frequently, pyrethroids and some herbicides. Studies conducted among children reported reduction in total DAPs^{17,18} or metabolites of malathion and chlorpyrifos^{19,38} after switching to an organic controlled diet or when comparing organic and conventional participants. A drastic and immediate reduction was reported in cross-over studies. For instance, among 40 Mexican-American 3-6 years children, total DAPs reduction was 40% after 7 days of organic diet¹⁷. Similarly, in a study conducted among preschool children, mean DMP urinary concentration was 9 times lower among 18 children following an organic diet compared to 21 children eating a conventional one¹⁸. Overall, these studies argue for a central role of the mode of production of food in organophosphorus pesticide exposure among children. These results were observed even in the study with an observational design reflecting actual levels of organic food consumption¹⁸.

In the present study conducted in French adults, significant reductions in median urinary concentrations - ranging from 17% to 55% - were observed for DETP, DMTP and free 3-PBA in organic consumers compared to conventional consumers while no significant results were found for the other pesticides investigated.

To the best of our knowledge, only one experimental crossover study has been carried out among adults to test for modification in urinary pesticide metabolites following a period of organic diet²¹. In that crossover study conducted among 13 adults in Australia, participants alternated two 7-day periods during which diets were either composed of at least 80% of conventional foods or organic foods. All DAPs were less frequently detected during the organic period than during the conventional one. After applying the same calculation as in our study for left-censored data, a reduction of 89% of total DAPs was observed. Indeed, during the organic phase, total DAPs mean concentration was 0.032 ± 0.038 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ creatinine while total DAPs mean concentration among “organic consumers” was 0.29 ± 0.42 in our study. During the conventional diet period, total DAPs mean concentration was 0.294 ± 0.435 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ creatinine while we found total DAPs mean concentration of 0.41 ± 0.64 among conventional consumers. These differences in the level of exposure may be explained by different designs (experimental vs observational) as well as by different methodological approaches. Indeed, our study is based on observational data, i.e. based on actual self-reported data with participants that did not

consume 100% organic or conventional food. In other words, given the cut-off used herein (i.e. minimum 50% of the diet coming from organic sources) conventional foods could also make up a quite important part of the diet in the “organic group”, leading to a certain and variable dietary pesticide exposure. In the same line, our sensitivity analysis data clearly showed that reducing the proportion of organic foods (from maximum 10% to 5%) in the conventional consumer diet exacerbated the differences between groups in some metabolite concentrations. In addition, in our study, the definition of organic consumers was based on data collected using a self-administered food frequency questionnaire covering the past year. Use of a self-administered food frequency questionnaire, prone to some measurement error may have led to misclassification. Moreover, as organophosphorus metabolites exhibit a short half-life³⁹, it is possible that some participants identified as organic consumers have consumed highly contaminated (conventional) food just before the urine sampling. As underlined in another study²⁰, the very short half life of these compounds may strongly limit the relevance of this type of measure to assess the overall exposure to organophosphorus.

In the only other observational study carried out in adults, urinary DAPs and self-reported organic food consumption habits (using a 3-categories question) were assessed in 480 US participants²⁰. In that study, total DAPs concentrations significantly decreased while increasing consumption of organic food with a difference of 65% between never organic food consumers and often/always organic food consumers. The magnitudes of the effects of switching from conventional to organic diets were comparable to those observed in our study, i.e. lower than in experimental controlled trials.

Herein, total DEAMP, total TBZ-OH, total tebuconazole, most EPs and MPs, and free 3-PBA were frequently detected with rather high levels, even among organic consumers. As already mentioned, this may be explained by the fact that, in so-called high organic food consumers, organic food made up, on average, “only” 63% of the diet, meaning that, on average, almost 40% of the food was conventional. These individuals may have also been big consumers of conventional fruit and vegetables, leading to an overall quite high dietary pesticide exposure.

Compared with pesticide exposures estimated in 2006 in a random representative French survey (ENNS), participants of the conventional group in our study exhibited comparable urinary pesticide

levels for DETP, DMTP and lower for DMDTP⁴⁰. In contrast, mean urinary concentrations of DEP and DMP were markedly higher in our study⁴⁰. For instance, mean and median of DEP urinary concentrations among our conventional group were 31.68 and 0.96 µg/g creatinine while in the ENNS study the respective values were 3.89 and 3.66. These findings reveal extremely different distributions of pesticide exposure levels between the ENNS survey and our study in which some participants had very high exposure levels. These differences can also partly be explained by the different approach used for left-censored data. Concerning pyrethroid metabolites, the median urinary concentration of free 4-F-3-PBA was very low in our study (LOD=0.02 µg/L), as in the ENNS study (<LOD=0.03 µg/L). For 3-PBA, the urinary concentration was higher in the ENNS study with a geometric mean of 0.72 µg/g creatinine which compares to a mean of 0.13 µg/g creatinine in the conventional group of our study. As we mentioned above, it can be hypothesized that since subjects in our sample were very high consumers of fruit, vegetables and whole grains compared to the French population⁴¹, they must have been particularly exposed to pesticides from plant-based products, which are indeed largely sprayed by synthetic chemicals¹⁶. This hypothesis is reinforced by the observation that some urinary organophosphorus pesticide metabolites concentrations (namely DETP and DMTP) and 3-PBA are linearly associated with conventional fruit and vegetable consumption (data not shown) in our study. For instance a factor 4 was observed for DETP urinary concentration between 1st and 3rd tertiles of consumption of conventional fruit and vegetables.

Some limitations should be highlighted. First, our study design is entirely observational and based on self-reported data. Thus, consumption data are prone to misestimating, and in particular organic food consumption may have been overestimated³¹. While food consumption data are relatively precisely recorded, we did not quantify the extent of other sources of exposure (i.e. occupational, home or environmental ones). For instance, thiabendazole is not only authorized as a plant protection product but is also a biocide for wood treatment (see **Supplemental Table 1**). In addition, it is a preservative authorized for use in foodstuffs intended for human consumption (E233), and an anthelmintic drug used in human and veterinary medicine. In this case, the presence of thiabendazole metabolites in urine can be due to multiple non-dietary sources, although it should be born in mind that diet remains the main source of pesticide exposure in the general population. The absence of difference in

pesticides exposure may also be due to a lack of power, at least for contaminants with very low detection or quantification levels. The use of a middle bound scenario for left-censored data may also have led to the overestimation of some estimates.

Another limit pertains to the efficiency of matching. Although a wide range of confounders were used to estimate the propensity score, we cannot rule out possible residual confounding between organic and conventional consumers. In addition, propensity score matching leads to the exclusion of “particular” subjects unable to be matched, thus avoiding the external validity of findings as previously highlighted³³. Our study sample, composed of volunteers, was particular with respect to sociodemographic characteristics and dietary intakes and thus is not comparable to the general population. NutriNet-Santé participants have indeed higher intakes of fruit and vegetables than the general French population⁴¹. Finally, as previously mentioned, concerning urinary DAPs and 3-PBA concentrations, the reliability of such biomarkers to reflect long-term exposure (usual diet, as assessed with the Org-FFQ) is questionable as their half life is short^{39,42} and only one biological sampling has been available. It should also be noted that given most pesticide moieties studied herein were no longer authorized for use in conventional agriculture at the time of urine sampling, the differences in overall pesticide exposure between the two groups of consumers are likely under-estimated.

Our study also exhibits major strengths. Detailed data was used to assess organic and conventional consumption in the overall diet. We used an effective method, i.e. propensity score, allowing to match organic and conventional consumers using a wide range of covariates (including sociodemographic, dietary and health data). Finally, this is the first study conducted in Europe comparing pesticide urinary concentrations from different classes of pesticides, in adults who differed by their organic consumption in real conditions.

Conclusions

Compared to individuals with low organic food consumption, individuals with high proportion of organic food in their diet had significantly lower levels of various metabolites of pesticides of the organophosphate and pyrethroid families, suggesting that an organic food based-diet may help reduce

349 the dietary pesticide exposure, at least for some agrochemicals as tested herein. Overall, low detection
350 rates were found for parent compounds in both groups. It also should be stressed that urines of
351 participants in our study displayed rather high exposure levels, irrespective of the group considered,
352 compared to other populations.. It would be also of high interest to conduct similar comparisons in
353 large and representative samples. Accurate assessment of organic food consumption in representative
354 national surveys is therefore required to better evaluate the clinical effects of such differences at the
355 national level. Additional research is also needed to assess the part attributable to dietary pesticide
356 exposure and non-dietary sources as well as the effects of such differences over the long term on
357 health status.

358 **Acknowledgements:** We thank all the people who helped carry out the NutriNet-Santé study and all
 359 the dedicated and conscientious volunteers. We especially thank Younes Esseddik, Paul Flanzky,
 360 Véronique Gourlet, Nathalie Arnault, Fabien Szabo, Laurent Bourhis and Cédric Agaesse.

361

362 **List of abbreviations:**

363 3-PBA, 3-phenoxybenzoic acid;

364 4-F-3-PBA, 4-fluoro-3-phenoxybenzoic acid;

365 CPMO, chlorpyrifos-methyl-oxon;

366 CPO, chlorpyrifos-oxon

367 DAPs, dialkylphosphates;

368 DEAMP, 2-(diethylamino)-6-methylpyrimidin-4-ol/one;

369 DEDTP, diethyldithiophosphate;

370 DEP, diethylphosphate;

371 DETP, diethylthiophosphate;

372 DMDTP, dimethyldithiophosphate;

373 DMP, dimethylphosphate;

374 DMTP, dimethylthiophosphate;

375 ENNS, Etude Nationale Nutrition Santé (French Nutrition and Health Survey)

376 EPs, diethylphosphates;

377 F-PBA, 4-fluoro-3-phenoxybenzoic acid;

378 GC–MS/MS, gas chromatography tandem mass spectrometry;

379 LOD, limit of detection;

380 LOQ, limit of quantification;

381 mPNNS-GS, modified Programme National Nutrition Santé Guideline Score;

382 MPs, dimethylphosphates;

383 Org-FFQ, organic food frequency questionnaire;

384 PBA, 3-phenoxybenzoic acid;

385 TBZ-OH, 5-hydroxy-thiabendazole;

TCP, 3,5,6-trichloropyridinol;

Conflict of Interest: DL acts since 2018 as a scientific expert, with no honoraria or personal funding, in two non-for-profit foundations recently funded in France («Fondation Bjorg, Bonnetterre et citoyens » and « Fond de dotation Institut de l'alimentation bio »).

Funding: The BioNutriNet project was supported by the French National Research Agency (Agence Nationale de la Recherche) in the context of the 2013 Programme de Recherche Systèmes Alimentaires Durables (ANR-13-ALID-0001). The NutriNet-Santé cohort study is funded by the following public institutions: Ministère de la Santé, Santé Publique France, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers (CNAM) and Paris 13 University. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

The author contributions were as follows: JPC, SH, EKG, DL and PG conceived and designed the experiments. JB performed the experiments. GD and AD performed the urinary dosages. JB and EKG analyzed the data. JB and EKG wrote the paper. All the authors were involved in interpreting results and editing the manuscript. JB and EKG had primary responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Reference list

- 1 Kim K-H, Kabir E, Jahan SA. Exposure to pesticides and the associated human health effects. *Sci Total Environ* 2017; **575**: 525–535.
- 2 Mostafalou S, Abdollahi M. Pesticides: an update of human exposure and toxicity. *Arch Toxicol* 2017; **91**: 549–599.
- 3 Nicolopoulou-Stamati P, Maipas S, Kotampasi C, Stamatis P, Hens L. Chemical Pesticides and Human Health: The Urgent Need for a New Concept in Agriculture. *Front Public Health* 2016; **4**. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2016.00148.
- 4 Guyton KZ, Loomis D, Grosse Y, El Ghissassi F, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Guha N *et al*. Carcinogenicity of tetrachlorvinphos, parathion, malathion, diazinon, and glyphosate. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; **16**: 490–491.
- 5 Mnif W, Hassine AIH, Bouaziz A, Bartegi A, Thomas O, Roig B. Effect of Endocrine Disruptor Pesticides: A Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2011; **8**: 2265–2303.
- 6 Mostafalou S, Abdollahi M. Pesticides and human chronic diseases: evidences, mechanisms, and perspectives. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2013; **268**: 157–177.
- 7 Casals-Casas C, Desvergne B. Endocrine Disruptors: From Endocrine to Metabolic Disruption. *Annu Rev Physiol* 2011; **73**: 135–162.
- 8 Thayer KA, Heindel JJ, Bucher JR, Gallo MA. Role of environmental chemicals in diabetes and obesity: a National Toxicology Program workshop review. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012; **120**: 779.
- 9 Collectif INSERM. Pesticides : Effets sur la santé, une expertise collective de l’Inserm. Salle Presse Inserm. 2013. <http://presse.inserm.fr/pesticides-effets-sur-la-sante-une-expertise-collective-de-linserm/8463/> (accessed 21 Aug2016).
- 10 Eurostat. Agriculture, forestry and fishery statistics. 2016.
- 11 Nougadère A, Sirot V, Kadar A, Fastier A, Truchot E, Vergnet C *et al*. Total diet study on pesticide residues in France: Levels in food as consumed and chronic dietary risk to consumers. *Environ Int* 2012; **45**: 135–150.
- 12 Union Européenne. Pesticides database. 2016. ec.europa.eu/food/plant/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database/public/.
- 13 Barański M, Średnicka-Tober D, Volakakis N, Seal C, Sanderson R, Stewart GB *et al*. Higher antioxidant and lower cadmium concentrations and lower incidence of pesticide residues in organically grown crops: a systematic literature review and meta-analyses. *Br J Nutr* 2014; : 1–18.
- 14 Lairon D. Nutritional quality and safety of organic food. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev* 2010; **30**: 33–41.
- 15 Smith-Spangler C, Brandeau ML, Hunter GE, Bavinger JC, Pearson M, Eschbach PJ *et al*. Are Organic Foods Safer or Healthier Than Conventional Alternatives? A Systematic Review. *Ann Intern Med* 2012; **157**: 348–366.
- 16 Authority (EFSA) EFS. Monitoring data on pesticide residues in food: results on organic versus conventionally produced food. *EFSA Support Publ* 2018; **15**: 1397E.

- 446 17 Bradman A, Quirós-Alcalá L, Castorina R, Aguilar Schall R, Camacho J, Holland NT *et al.* Effect
447 of Organic Diet Intervention on Pesticide Exposures in Young Children Living in Low-Income
448 Urban and Agricultural Communities. *Environ Health Perspect* 2015. doi:10.1289/ehp.1408660.
- 449 18 Curl CL, Fenske RA, Elgethun K. Organophosphorus Pesticide Exposure of Urban and Suburban
450 Preschool Children with Organic and Conventional Diets. *Environ Health Perspect* 2002; **111**:
451 377–382.
- 452 19 Lu C, Toepel K, Irish R, Fenske RA, Barr DB, Bravo R. Organic Diets Significantly Lower
453 Children's Dietary Exposure to Organophosphorus Pesticides. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006;
454 **114**: 260–263.
- 455 20 Curl CL, Beresford SAA, Fenske RA, Fitzpatrick AL, Lu C, Nettleton JA *et al.* Estimating
456 Pesticide Exposure from Dietary Intake and Organic Food Choices: The Multi-Ethnic Study of
457 Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Environ Health Perspect* 2015. doi:10.1289/ehp.1408197.
- 458 21 Oates L, Cohen M, Braun L, Schembri A, Taskova R. Reduction in urinary organophosphate
459 pesticide metabolites in adults after a week-long organic diet. *Environ Res* 2014; **132**: 105–111.
- 460 22 Agence Bio/CSA. Baromètre de consommation et de perception des produits biologiques en
461 France, 13ème édition.
462 2016.[http://www.agencebio.org/sites/default/files/upload/documents/4_Chiffres/BarometreConso/](http://www.agencebio.org/sites/default/files/upload/documents/4_Chiffres/BarometreConso/1400610_agence_bio_rapport_2015_vp.pdf)
463 [1400610_agence_bio_rapport_2015_vp.pdf](http://www.agencebio.org/sites/default/files/upload/documents/4_Chiffres/BarometreConso/1400610_agence_bio_rapport_2015_vp.pdf) (accessed 11 Aug2016).
- 464 23 Dickson-Spillmann M, Siegrist M, Keller C. Attitudes toward chemicals are associated with
465 preference for natural food. *Food Qual Prefer* 2011; **22**: 149–156.
- 466 24 Grunert KG. Food quality and safety: consumer perception and demand. *Eur Rev Agric Econ*
467 2005; **32**: 369–391.
- 468 25 Christine Hoefkens, Wim Verbeke, Joris Aertsens, Koen Mondelaers, John Van Camp. The
469 nutritional and toxicological value of organic vegetables: Consumer perception versus scientific
470 evidence. *Br Food J* 2009; **111**: 1062–1077.
- 471 26 Hughner RS, McDonagh P, Prothero A, Shultz CJ, Stanton J. Who are organic food consumers? A
472 compilation and review of why people purchase organic food. *J Consum Behav* 2007; **6**: 94–110.
- 473 27 Padilla Bravo C, Cordts A, Schulze B, Spiller A. Assessing determinants of organic food
474 consumption using data from the German National Nutrition Survey II. *Food Qual Prefer* 2013;
475 **28**: 60–70.
- 476 28 Hercberg S, Castetbon K, Czernichow S, Malon A, Mejean C, Kesse E *et al.* The Nutrinet-Santé
477 Study: a web-based prospective study on the relationship between nutrition and health and
478 determinants of dietary patterns and nutritional status. *BMC Public Health* 2010; **10**: 242.
- 479 29 Lassale C, Galan P, Julia C, Fezeu L, Hercberg S, Kesse-Guyot E. Association between
480 Adherence to Nutritional Guidelines, the Metabolic Syndrome and Adiposity Markers in a French
481 Adult General Population. *PLoS ONE* 2013; **8**: e76349.
- 482 30 Lassale C, Péneau S, Touvier M, Julia C, Galan P, Hercberg S *et al.* Validity of web-based self-
483 reported weight and height: results of the Nutrinet-Santé study. *J Med Internet Res* 2013; **15**:
484 e152.
- 485 31 Baudry J, Méjean C, Allès B, Péneau S, Touvier M, Hercberg S *et al.* Contribution of Organic
486 Food to the Diet in a Large Sample of French Adults (the NutriNet-Santé Cohort Study). *Nutrients*
487 2015; **7**: 8615–8632.

- 488 32 Kesse-Guyot E, Castetbon K, Touvier M, Hercberg S, Galan P. Relative validity and
489 reproducibility of a food frequency questionnaire designed for French adults. *Ann Nutr Metab*
490 2010; **57**: 153–162.
- 491 33 Ali MS, Groenwold RH, Klungel OH. Best (but oft-forgotten) practices: propensity score methods
492 in clinical nutrition research. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2016; **104**: 247–258.
- 493 34 Bouatra S, Aziat F, Mandal R, Guo AC, Wilson MR, Knox C *et al*. The Human Urine
494 Metabolome. *PLoS ONE* 2013; **8**: e73076.
- 495 35 GEMS/Food-Euro. Second workshop on reliable evaluation of low-level contamination of food.
496 Report on a workshop in the frame of GEMS/Food-Euro, EUR/HFA target 22. 26-27 May 1995.
497 Kulmbach, German. Geneva: WHO. 1995.
- 498 36 Estaquio C, Kesse-Guyot E, Deschamps V, Bertrais S, Dauchet L, Galan P *et al*. Adherence to the
499 French Programme National Nutrition Santé Guideline Score is associated with better nutrient
500 intake and nutritional status. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2009; **109**: 1031–1041.
- 501 37 Baudry J, Allès B, Péneau S, Touvier M, Méjean C, Hercberg S *et al*. Dietary intakes and diet
502 quality according to levels of organic food consumption by French adults: cross-sectional findings
503 from the NutriNet-Santé Cohort Study. *Public Health Nutr* 2016; : 1–11.
- 504 38 Lu C, Barr DB, Pearson MA, Waller LA. Dietary Intake and Its Contribution to Longitudinal
505 Organophosphorus Pesticide Exposure in Urban/Suburban Children. *Environ Health Perspect*
506 2008; **116**: 537–542.
- 507 39 Griffith W, Curl CL, Fenske RA, Lu CA, Vigoren EM, Faustman EM. Organophosphate pesticide
508 metabolite levels in pre-school children in an agricultural community: Within- and between-child
509 variability in a longitudinal study. *Environ Res* 2011; **111**: 751–756.
- 510 40 Fréry N, Saoudi A, Garnier R, Zeghnoun A, Falq G, Guldner L. Exposure of the French
511 population to environmental pollutants—Environmental components of the French National Survey
512 on Nutrition and Health—Initial results. *Fr Inst Public Health Surveill St-Maurice Fra* 2010.
- 513 41 Andreeva VA, Deschamps V, Salanave B, Castetbon K, Verdot C, Kesse-Guyot E *et al*.
514 Comparison of Dietary Intakes Between a Large Online Cohort Study (Etude NutriNet-Santé) and
515 a Nationally Representative Cross-Sectional Study (Etude Nationale Nutrition Santé) in France:
516 Addressing the Issue of Generalizability in E-Epidemiology. *Am J Epidemiol* 2016; **184**: 660–669.
- 517 42 Sudakin DL, Stone DL. Dialkyl phosphates as biomarkers of organophosphates: The current
518 divide between epidemiology and clinical toxicology. *Clin Toxicol* 2011; **49**: 771–781.
- 519

Table 1: Main characteristics of the sample, n=300 , NutriNet-Santé^a

	Conventional group	Organic group	P-value ^b
N	150	150	
Proportion (%) of organic food in the diet	0.03 ± 0.03	0.67 ± 0.13	<0.0001*
Age (y)	58.71 ± 12.78	58.35 ± 11.69	0.60
% Male	28%	32%	0.47
Energy intake (kcal/d)	1927 ± 561.3	1994 ± 601.6	0.37
mPNNS-GS^c (13.5)	8.73 ± 1.76	8.73 ± 1.67	0.59
Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²)	24.18 ± 4.11	24.19 ± 4.02	0.93
Tobacco status (%)			0.38
never smoker	48.67	47.33	
former smoker	44	40.67	
current smoker	7.33	12	
Physical activity (%)			0.85
missing	9	8	
low	17	19	
medium	37	35	
high	37	38	
Vegetarian or vegan diet (yes) (%)	1.33	2	0.65
Location (%)			0.75
rural community	24	25.33	
urban unit with a population smaller than 20 000 inhabitants	11.33	14	
urban unit with a population between 20 000 and 200 000 inhabitants	18.67	20.67	
urban unit with a population higher than 200 000 inhabitants	46	40	
Education (%)			0.60
< high school diploma	22	22	
high school diploma	12.67	16.67	
> high school diploma	65.34	61.34	
Monthly income per household unit (€)%			0.77
refused to declare	9.33	7.33	
900-1200	6.67	8.67	
1200-1800	22.67	20	
1800-2700	22	26.67	
>2700	39.33	37.33	

Abbreviation: mPNNS-GS, modified Programme National Nutrition Santé Guidelines score

^aMeans (± SD) or percentages as appropriate.^bP-values referred to Wilcoxon matched pair signed-rank tests for continuous variables, Mc Nemar's test or conditional logistic regression for categorical variables^cmPNNS-GS is a dietary index reflecting the level of adherence to French nutritional guidelines

*Significant difference, p-value<0.05

Table 2: Results for the pesticide parent compounds across organic (n=150) and conventional (n=150) groups, NutriNet-Santé

Pesticides (µg/g creatinine)	Mean ± SD	P10	P50	P90	P- value ^a	LOD (µg/L)	LOQ (µg/L)	Crude mean (µg/L)	Crude median (µg/L)	% of detection	% of quantification
Malathion					0.09	0.003	0.01				
Organic group	0.0083 ± 0.0321	0.0009	0.0020	0.0068				0.0039	0.0015	3	3
Conventional group	0.0080 ± 0.0283	0.0009	0.0023	0.0116				0.0041	0.0015	9	7
Chlorpyrifos					0.55	0.02	0.05				
Organic group	0.0405 ± 0.2419	0.0058	0.0138	0.0420				0.0151	0.0100	3	2
Conventional group	0.0227 ± 0.0287	0.0056	0.0144	0.0511				0.0103	0.0100	2	0
Chlorpyrifos-methyl					0.45	0.2	0.5				
Organic group	0.1886 ± 0.1520	0.0582	0.1350	0.3975				0.1010	0.1000	1	1
Conventional group	0.2089 ± 0.1899	0.0555	0.1437	0.4858				0.100	0.1000	0	0
Phoxim					0.65	0.05	0.1				
Organic group	0.0510 ± 0.0439	0.0148	0.0348	0.1089				0.0298	0.0250	5	2
Conventional group	0.0569 ± 0.0643	0.0139	0.0371	0.1246				0.0272	0.0250	3	2
Diazinon					0.33	0.02	0.05				
Organic group	0.0188 ± 0.0152	0.0058	0.0133	0.0398				0.0100	0.0100	0	0
Conventional group	0.0277 ± 0.0654	0.0056	0.0144	0.0511				0.0124	0.0100	1	1
Dichlorvos					0.42	0.3	0.9				
Organic group	0.2819 ± 0.2285	0.0873	0.1993	0.5962				0.1500	0.1500	0	0
Conventional group	0.3134 ± 0.2849	0.0833	0.2156	0.7286				0.1500	0.1500	0	0

Abbreviations: LOD, Limit of detection; LOQ, Limit of quantification

^aP-values referred to Wilcoxon matched pair signed-rank tests

Table 3: Results for the pesticide metabolites across organic (n=150) and conventional (n=150) groups, NutriNet-Santé

Pesticides (µg/g creatinine)	Mean ± SD	P10	P50	P90	P-value ^a	LOD (µg/L)	LOQ (µg/L)	Crude mean (µg/L)	Crude median (µg/L)	% of detection	% of quantification
CPO					0.45	0.005	0.01				
Organic group	0.0050 ± 0.0053	0.0015	0.0033	0.0102				0.0026	0.0025	1	1
Conventional group	0.00548 ± 0.0062	0.0014	0.0036	0.0125				0.0025	0.0025	1	0
CPMO					0.42	0.02	0.05				
Organic group	0.0188 ± 0.0152	0.0058	0.0133	0.0398				0.0100	0.0100	0	0
Conventional group	0.0209 ± 0.0190	0.0056	0.0144	0.0486				0.0100	0.0100	0	0
free TCP					0.32	0.2	0.5				
Organic group	0.1918 ± 0.1574	0.0582	0.1350	0.4097				0.1020	0.1000	1	0
Conventional group	0.2254 ± 0.2337	0.0610	0.1485	0.5110				0.1183	0.1000	3	1
total TCP					0.14	0.2	0.5				
Organic group	0.3177 ± 0.4123	0.0590	0.1794	0.7078				0.1804	0.1000	21	8
Conventional group	0.4155 ± 0.6757	0.0678	0.2029	0.7902				0.2435	0.1000	29	13
free DEAMP					0.46	0.03	0.1				
Organic group	0.0404 ± 0.0620	0.0090	0.0237	0.0733				0.0232	0.0150	10	5
Conventional group	0.0378 ± 0.0379	0.0091	0.0230	0.0798				0.0212	0.0150	8	3
total DEAMP					0.06	0.03	0.1				
Organic group	0.6121 ± 1.368	0.0115	0.1104	1.386				0.3927	0.0500	65	42
Conventional group	0.6953 ± 1.243	0.0151	0.2116	1.894				0.4874	0.1400	70	58

free TBZ-OH					0.60	0.03	0.1				
Organic group	0.0339 ± 0.0445	0.0087	0.0209	0.0654				0.0181	0.0150	5	1
Conventional group	0.0336 ± 0.0315	0.0087	0.0225	0.0767				0.0180	0.0150	3	1
total TBZ-OH					0.26	0.03	0.1				
Organic group	0.2762 ± 0.8386	0.0095	0.0424	0.5728				0.1664	0.0150	38	26
Conventional group	0.1635 ± 0.2193	0.0124	0.0757	0.4165				0.1324	0.0500	51	35
free tebuconazole					0.44	0.03	0.1				
Organic group	0.0292 ± 0.0240	0.0087	0.0206	0.0630				0.0157	0.0150	2	0
Conventional group	0.0334 ± 0.0364	0.0083	0.0217	0.0748				0.0158	0.0150	1	1
total tebuconazole					0.83	0.03	0.1				
Organic group	0.2859 ± 0.5257	0.0136	0.0937	0.7685				0.2554	0.0500	68	37
Conventional group	0.2704 ± 0.5995	0.0153	0.0904	0.6416				0.1986	0.0500	61	41
DEP					0.14	0.2	0.6				
Organic group	18.61 ± 42.06	0.0646	0.6388	55.01				10.61	0.1000	49	48
Conventional group	31.68 ± 69.38	0.0684	0.9682	80.96				15.91	0.6800	52	51
DETP					0.0003*	0.2	0.6				
Organic group	0.4305 ± 1.208	0.0623	0.1963	0.8601				0.2775	0.1000	22	11
Conventional group	1.018 ± 2.480	0.0758	0.2966	1.966				0.6775	0.1000	37	24
DEDTP					0.42	0.2	0.6				
Organic group	0.1879 ± 0.1523	0.0582	0.1329	0.3975				0.1000	0.1000	0	0

Conventional group	0.2089 ± 0.1899	0.0555	<i>0.1437</i>	0.4858				0.1000	0.1000	0	0
DMP					0.49	0.6	2				
Organic group	17.85 ± 35.22	0.2253	<i>5.427</i>	50.61				14.30	3.860	65	61
Conventional group	18.66 ± 45.14	0.2171	<i>3.132</i>	40.62				11.89	2.330	61	53
DMTP					0.001*	0.2	0.6				
Organic group	2.654 ± 6.488	0.0894	<i>0.6209</i>	6.603				2.103	0.3000	62	44
Conventional group	6.310 ± 18.55	0.1676	<i>1.382</i>	13.88				4.102	1.245	73	62
DMDTP					0.25	0.2	0.6				
Organic group	0.1879 ± 0.1523	0.0582	<i>0.1329</i>	0.3975				0.1000	0.1000	0	0
Conventional group	0.2212 ± 0.2080	0.0555	<i>0.1437</i>	0.5189				0.1053	0.1000	3	0
free 3-PBA					0.03*	0.02	0.05				
Organic group	0.0380 ± 0.0730	0.0061	<i>0.0171</i>	0.0610				0.0263	0.0100	16	10
Conventional group	0.0579 ± 0.1023	0.0068	<i>0.0208</i>	0.1137				0.0415	0.0100	26	21
total 3-PBA					0.16	0.02	0.05				
Organic group	0.0885 ± 0.2877	0.0064	<i>0.0201</i>	0.1798				0.1178	0.0100	23	18
Conventional group	0.1301 ± 0.3536	0.0076	<i>0.0282</i>	0.3429				0.1303	0.0100	35	29
free 4-F-3-PBA					0.32	0.02	0.05				
Organic group	0.0191 ± 0.0154	0.0059	<i>0.0133</i>	0.0410				0.0102	0.0100	1	0
Conventional group	0.0222 ± 0.0221	0.0060	<i>0.0149</i>	0.0499				0.0122	0.0100	3	1
total 4-F-3-PBA					0.71	0.02	0.05				
Organic group	0.0237 ± 0.0392	0.0059	<i>0.0137</i>	0.0447				0.0123	0.0100	3	2

Conventional group	0.0223 ± 0.0226	0.0060	<i>0.0149</i>	0.0499	0.0144	0.0100	3	1
--------------------	-----------------	--------	---------------	--------	--------	--------	---	---

Abbreviations: 3-PBA, 3-phenoxybenzoic acid; 4-F-3-PBA, 4-fluoro-3-phenoxybenzoic acid; CPMO, Chlorpyrifos-methyl-oxon; CPO, Chlorpyrifos-oxon; DAPs, dialkylphosphates; DEAMP, 2-(diethylamino)-6-methylpyrimidin-4-ol/one; DEDTP, diethyldithiophosphate; DEP, diethylphosphate; DETP, diethylthiophosphate; DMDTP, dimethyldithiophosphate; DMP, dimethylphosphate; DMTP, dimethylthiophosphate; EPs, diethylphosphates; LOD, Limit of detection; LOQ, Limit of quantification; MPs, dimethylphosphates; TBZ-OH, thiabendazole-5-OH; TCP, 3,5,6- trichloropyridinol

^aP-values referred to Wilcoxon matched pair signed-rank tests

*Significant difference, p-value<0.05

Table 4: Molar sum of DAP metabolites in $\mu\text{mol/g}$ creatinine organic (n=150) and conventional (n=150) groups, NutriNet-Santé ^a

	Mean $\pm$ SD	P10	P50	P90	P-value ^b
total EPs					
Organic group	0.13 $\pm$ 0.28	0.00	0.01	0.36	0.09
Conventional group	0.21 $\pm$ 0.45	0.00	0.02	0.54	
total MPs					0.47
Organic group	0.16 $\pm$ 0.29	0.00	0.06	0.45	
Conventional group	0.20 $\pm$ 0.39	0.01	0.06	0.42	
total DAPs					0.03*
Organic group	0.29 $\pm$ 0.42	0.01	0.12	0.82	
Conventional group	0.41 $\pm$ 0.64	0.01	0.16	1.23	

Abbreviations: DAPs, dialkylphosphates; EPs, diethylphosphates; MPs, dimethylphosphates

^aConventional consumers were defined as individuals with a maximum of 10% of organic food in their diet

^bP-values referred to Wilcoxon matched pair signed-rank tests

*Significant difference, p-value<0.05

Table 5: Molar sum of DAP metabolites in $\mu\text{mol/g}$ creatinine across organic (n=109) and conventional (n=109) groups, NutriNet-Santé ^a

	Mean $\pm$ SD	P10	P50	P90	P ^b
total EPs					0.02*
Organic group	0.11 $\pm$ 0.24	0.00	0.01	0.37	
Conventional group	0.25 $\pm$ 0.51	0.00	0.03	0.88	
total MPs					0.50
Organic group	0.17 $\pm$ 0.32	0.00	0.06	0.43	
Conventional group	0.20 $\pm$ 0.42	0.01	0.05	0.51	
total DAPs					0.04*
Organic group	0.28 $\pm$ 0.42	0.01	0.11	0.84	
Conventional group	0.45 $\pm$ 0.70	0.01	0.17	1.37	

Abbreviations: DAPs, dialkylphosphates; EPs, diethylphosphates; MPs, dimethylphosphates

^aCompared to data presented in Table 4, the population sample was restricted to pairs with conventional consumers defined as individuals with a maximum of 5% of organic food in their diet

^bP-values referred to Wilcoxon matched pair signed-rank tests

*Significant difference, p-value<0.05

Figure 1: Selection of the study sample

Figure 2: Molar sum of DAP metabolites across organic and conventional groups, n=300, NutriNet-Santé

Abbreviations: DAPs, dialkylphosphates; EPs, diethylphosphates; MPs, dimethylphosphates