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Strategy focused on clinical parameters of microcirculation to resuscitate patients in septic shock:
Do not forget any tools

The current objective of initial resuscitation of patients with septic shock is the optimisation of general haemodynamic variables including heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, cardiac output and cardiac preload using normalisation of arterial lactate as a marker of presumed success [1–3]. However, microcirculatory blood flow can remain impaired despite restoration of macro-haemodynamic parameters. Ait-Oufella et al. clearly showed that persistence of skin mottling [4], an increased capillary refill time (CRT) [5] and an increased toe-to-room temperature gradient [6] were associated with worse patient outcomes. Similarly, Leone et al. reported that low oxygen tissue saturation (StO2) was associated with poor outcomes in patients with septic shock [7]. Few studies have assessed a strategy targeting the microcirculation. In a recent paper published in JAMA, Hernandez et al. performed a multicentre randomised controlled trial (ANDROMEDA-SHOCK), conducted in 28 intensive care units (ICU) in 5 South American countries comparing peripheral perfusion–targeted (peripheral perfusion group) and lactate–targeted (lactate group) resuscitation in the early phase of septic shock [8]. Between March 2017 and March 2018, 424 patients with septic shock were randomised to a step-by-step resuscitation protocol aimed at normalising CRT (n = 212) or normalising/decreasing plasma lactate at a rate exceeding 20% every 2 hours (n = 212), over an 8-hour intervention period. The primary outcome was 28-day all-cause mortality. Secondary outcomes were organ dysfunction at 72 hours (using the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score [9]), Day 90 mortality, organ support-free days (mechanical ventilation, renal replacement therapy, and vasopressor) within 28 days, and intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital lengths of stay. In the final analysis, 416 patients (98% of those enrolled) were assessed. Day 28 mortality was 34.9% versus 43.4% in the peripheral perfusion and lactate groups, respectively (hazard ratio, 2.4; 95% CI, 1.5 to 3.8; P = .0001). The investigators produced a strict protocol to reduce variability in patient management, achieving less than 15% non-adherence in both groups. The control group mortality rate of 43.4% is close to that predicted by the Sepsis-3 criteria for septic shock [10]. Although the difference in 28-day mortality did not reach a statistical significance, these findings should encourage further assessment of the microcirculation in septic shock patients for the following reasons:

- the primary goal of this study – a 33% relative reduction in mortality rate based on a change in initial management strategy – is considerable and perhaps unrealistic. This highlights the need for appropriate targets in septic shock studies [11], and suggests this study was underpowered [12]. To show a relative mortality reduction <10%, approximately 1500 patients should have been included;
- interestingly, the greater fall in SOFA score at 72 hours suggests that clinical improvement was faster in the peripheral perfusion group. The choice of a composite goal including day-28 survival and delta SOFA score may have resulted in a statistically significant result;
- in less severely ill patients (APACHE II score < 25 or SOFA score < 10), the mortality rate was lower in the peripheral perfusion group (mean SOFA score, 5.6 ± 4.3 vs. 6.6 ± 4.7, P = .045). No significant differences were found for other secondary outcomes. The authors concluded that a resuscitation strategy targeting CRT normalisation compared to a strategy targeting serum lactate levels did not reduce 28-day all-cause mortality in patients with septic shock.

The investigators produced a strict protocol to reduce variability in patient management, achieving less than 15% non-adherence in both groups. The control group mortality rate of 43.4% is close to that predicted by the Sepsis-3 criteria for septic shock [10]. Although the difference in 28-day mortality did not reach a statistical significance, these findings should encourage further assessment of the microcirculation in septic shock patients for the following reasons:

- the primary goal of this study – a 33% relative reduction in mortality rate based on a change in initial management strategy – is considerable and perhaps unrealistic. This highlights the need for appropriate targets in septic shock studies [11], and suggests this study was underpowered [12]. To show a relative mortality reduction <10%, approximately 1500 patients should have been included;
- interestingly, the greater fall in SOFA score at 72 hours suggests that clinical improvement was faster in the peripheral perfusion group. The choice of a composite goal including day-28 survival and delta SOFA score may have resulted in a statistically significant result;
- in less severely ill patients (APACHE II score < 25 or SOFA score < 10), the mortality rate was lower in the peripheral perfusion group (mean SOFA score, 5.6 ± 4.3 vs. 6.6 ± 4.7, P = .045). No significant differences were found for other secondary outcomes. The authors concluded that a resuscitation strategy targeting CRT normalisation compared to a strategy targeting serum lactate levels did not reduce 28-day all-cause mortality in patients with septic shock.
approach. This could explain the lack of added benefit of Early Goal Directed Therapy applied in the first 6 hours of septic shock [14].

In conclusion, this study failed to show outcome superiority of a management strategy based on CRT, as compared with repeated measurements of serum lactate levels. However, its results should at least encourage physicians to evaluate the microcirculation in patients with septic shock using a simple, easy and safe clinical approach aiming at assessing peripheral perfusion such as CRT or mottling. Whether such a clinical strategy should replace or be combined with monitoring of serum lactate requires further evaluation.
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