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Abstract

Weathering of silicates supplies a range of cations (mainly Si, Al, Fe, but also Ca, Mg, Na, K, Mn) to the soil solution. There, cations can interact with charged functional groups of dissolved soil organic matter (OM). Unlike Al and Fe, Si does not directly bind to natural OM. However, the role of Si in the mechanisms of OM stabilization by coprecipitation with short range order mineral phases (SRO) may have been underestimated. The formation of coprecipitates was tested by titrating a biotite-weathering solution up to pH 5 in presence of 3,4-Dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine (DOPA) with initial (Fe+Al):C ratio ranging from 3 to 0.003. Size, crystallinity, chemical composition and the local structure of the coprecipitates were analyzed by TEM-EDX and Fe K-edge EXAFS. Coprecipitates are amorphous particles whatever the (Fe+Al):C ratio, but their size, composition and local structure were nevertheless seen to progressively vary with increasing C content. In low C samples (high (Fe+Al):C), coprecipitates were 2-40 nm in size and were dominated by Si (30 to 70%). Fe represented only 20-50% of the mineral phase and was structured in small oligomers of Fe octahedra. Around 20% of the Fe of the coprecipitates were bound to C. Conversely, in high C samples (low (Fe+Al):C), coprecipitates were 10-90 nm in size and Fe was the main component (45-70%). Fe was almost exclusively linked to OM by monomeric Fe-O-C bonds. Si (5-40%) and Al (15-35%) were able to form oligomers occluded in the Fe-OM network. In samples with intermediate C content ((Fe+Al):C=0.3), the coprecipitates had 5-200 nm size particles. We suggest these coprecipitates are structured in a loose irregular 3D network of amorphous small oligers of Fe (25-75%), Si (15-50%), and Al (10-35%), forming an amorphous and open-structured mineral network. Within this mineral network, we suggest the organic compounds are linked either by bonds with Fe and Al to the skeleton, by monomeric Fe-O-C in the porosity of the network, or by weak bonds with other OM. This conceptual model provides an alternative to the standard view that SRO-OM is formed by ferrihydrite and amorphous Al(OH)₃. We suggest naming the structure “Nanosized Coprecipitates of inorganic oLIgomers with organiC” with
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“nanoCLICs” as acronym. The presence of Si in the inorganic structures may have an impact not only on the amount of OM stabilized by the nanoCLICs, but in the longer term, on the persistence of the OM stabilization potential by metallic oligomers.
1 Introduction

Organo-mineral interactions are known to play a key role in stabilizing organic matter (OM) in soils (Baldock and Skjemstad, 2000; Kleber et al., 2015; Kögel-Knabner et al., 2008; Schmidt et al., 2011), because bonds between organic compounds and mineral surfaces minimize microbial degradation of organic compounds (Eusterhues et al., 2014; Jones and Edwards, 1998; Porras et al., 2018; Saidy et al., 2015; Scheel et al., 2007b). Understanding the mechanisms governing the formation of organo-mineral associations is therefore a major challenge in view of increasing soil C stocks (Minasny et al., 2017; Paustian et al., 2016).

Colloidal and short-range-order (SRO) minerals are increasingly considered as important mineral phases in the control of OM dynamics (Basile-Doelsch et al., 2005; Basile-Doelsch et al., 2007; Basile-Doelsch et al., 2015; Bonnard et al., 2012; Finley et al., 2018; Keiluweit et al., 2015; Kramer and Chadwick, 2018; Levard et al., 2012; Rasmussen et al., 2018). Indeed, their very small size (ranging from a few nanometers to a few tens of nanometers) and their poorly crystallized structure provide them with both large surface reactivity and large specific surfaces. These properties mean SRO is highly capable of binding with some functional groups of soil OM.

The Fe and Al oxy-hydroxides interacting with OM have been mainly studied using experimental batch approaches with either natural OM extracts or low molecular weight organic compounds (for a review, see Kleber et al. (2015)). Two formation processes have been investigated: adsorption (reaction of OM to post-synthesis SRO) and co-precipitation (formation of SRO in the presence of OM). Studies that compared the two processes showed that coprecipitation resulted in much higher maximum C contents than adsorption (Chen et al., 2014; Mikutta et al., 2014; Mikutta et al., 2011). According to Mikutta et al. (2011), coprecipitation is a process wherein monomeric or polymeric aqueous metal species (Al or Fe) form a mixed metal–organic solid from the solution after reacting with organic compounds.

To experimentally address the question of how Fe and Al interact with OM at the molecular level in the coprecipitates, spectroscopic and scattering probes are required (Chen et al., 2016), and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy is one of the most useful methods to study local Fe coordination environments at molecular scale (Chen et al., 2014; Karlsson and Persson, 2010; Karlsson et al., 2008; Mikutta, 2011; Rose et al., 1998; Vilgé et al., 1999b). EXAFS makes it possible to refine the speciation of Fe by distinguishing (1) SROs (polymers made of a few dozen atoms organized at the scale of a few nanometers), (2) oligomers (i.e. dimers of 2 atoms, trimers of 3 atoms or a slightly larger number of non-ordered atoms), and (3) monomers (a single atom). Soil scientists often use SRO to refer to these different forms of Fe, as opposed to the Fe of well-crystallized oxides. To be more rigorous, we use the terms Fe-nanophase (without OM) and Fe-coprecipitate (with OM) when the speciation of Fe is not given. By extension, we use Al-nanophase and Al-coprecipitate in the same way.

In the case of Fe-coprecipitates, SRO$_{Fe}$-OM are often described as spherical 2-5 nm ferrihydrite crystallites aggregated in an OM matrix (Chen et al., 2016; Eusterhues et al., 2008; Mikutta et al., 2008; Mikutta et al., 2011; Schwertmann et al., 2005). This model of Fe oxy-hydroxide occlusion in OM is well illustrated in several studies (Du et al., 2018; Eusterhues et al., 2014; Kleber et al., 2015). The density of SRO$_{Fe}$-OM aggregates (Eusterhues et al., 2008; Guenet et al., 2017; Mikutta, 2011; Mikutta et al., 2008) together with the size of the ferrihydrite crystallites and their crystallinity (Eusterhues et al., 2008; Mikutta, 2011; Mikutta et al., 2010;
Schwertmann et al., 2005) has been shown to vary with the experimental conditions. In a
limited number of studies, Fe-coprecipitates were reported to be formed by Fe-oligomers,
without reaching the polymerization level of ferrihydrite (Angelico et al., 2014; Mikutta, 2011;
Vilgé et al., 1999b). Other authors frequently reported that a variable proportion of
mononuclear Fe formed Fe-OM complexes (Chen et al., 2016; Eusterhues et al., 2008; Karlsson
and Persson, 2010, 2012; Karlsson et al., 2008; Mikutta et al., 2010; Schwertmann et al., 2005).
Thus, as a function of the Fe:C ratio (from 100 down to 0.01, Kleber et al. (2015)), OM
composition and pH, several Fe phases may coexist: (1) polymerized nano-oxy-hydroxides
dominant at high Fe:C ratios; (2) oligomeric clusters (dominant at intermediate Fe:C ratios);
and (3) mononuclear complexes (dominant at low Fe:C ratios) (Chen et al., 2016; Mikutta,
2011). These co-existing phases led Guenet et al. (2017) to propose a model of fractal
organization of Fe-coprecipitates. Aside from the differences in the structure of Fe-
coprecipitates described in the studies cited above, all the authors acknowledge that the
strong complexation between Fe and OM hinders the polymerization of Fe by occupying the
crystal growth sites. Using low molecular weight organic compounds made it possible to focus
on the main organic ligands involved in the Fe-O-C bindings. For example, Mikutta (2011)
showed that catecholate bonds are more efficient in complexing Fe than carboxylate and
salicylate bonds, and also that the position of the phenol group on an aromatic ring rather
than the number of phenol groups controls the ligand’s interaction with Fe.

Concerning Al, despite the fact that aqueous cationic Al concentration in oxic soil pore water
may exceed that of Fe (because Al is more soluble than Fe hydroxides (Scheel et al., 2007b)),
Al-coprecipitates have received less attention than Fe-coprecipitates with respect to the
problem of OM in the soil. This may be because X-ray spectroscopic techniques are much more
difficult to implement for Al than for Fe. Nevertheless, like Fe, the Al phases in coprecipitates
are described as solid amorphous phases (often noted Al(OH)₃) as well as monomeric Al, both
interacting with OM in proportions that vary with the experimental conditions (Mikutta et al.,
2011; Scheel et al., 2007b; Schneider et al., 2010). The local structure of the polymerized Al
phases has rarely been described, except when nuclear magnetic resonance approaches were
used, when it was shown that OM may hinder the polymerization of tridecamer (Al₁₃), limiting
the speciation of Al to small oligomers (dimers and trimers) and monomers (Masion and
Bertsch, 1997; Masion et al., 2000). Thus, like for Fe, the extent of polymerization of Al in Al-
coprecipitates varies with Al:C ratio, pH and nature of OM.

However, soil solutions are not pure Al or Fe solutions. A limited number of studies focused
on the formation of multi-cation coprecipitates. By testing mixtures of Al and Fe, Mikutta et
al. (2014) observed low amounts of Al in the Fe-Al-coprecipitates, and that the presence of Al
did not affect ferrihydrite crystallinity. Nierop et al. (2002) also concluded that Fe binds more
readily to OM than does Al, but noted that the initial metal/carbon ratio (M:C, M being Fe+Al)
also controlled the Fe:Al ratio in the Fe-Al-coprecipitates (Vilgé et al., 1999a).

Although silicon is one of the main elements in soils, it has rarely been considered as an
element of interest in batch synthesis of coprecipitates. This is due to the non-charged form
of Si (neutral Si(OH)₄ at the pH of soil solutions), which shows that Si does not spontaneously
link with natural OM (Pokrovski and Schott, 1998). However, the presence of Si may modify
the structures of the Fe-coprecipitates and Al-coprecipitates. In natural systems, imogolite
type materials are also examples of Si bearing SROₐₙₙ known to stabilize large amounts of OM
in andosols (Basile-Doelsch et al., 2005; Basile-Doelsch et al., 2007; Levard et al., 2012). Finally, in systems containing no OM, it has been shown that Si inhibits Fe polymerization, the local structure of Fe-Si-nanophases varying with the Si:Fe ratio, pH, and redox state (Doelsch et al., 2000, 2002; Doelsch et al., 2001). Recently, the formation of SRO was also tested in a more complex solution including Fe, Al, Si, Mg, K obtained through experimental biotite weathering (Tamrat et al., 2018). These authors showed that the nanophases were amorphous particles 10–60 nm in size, whose composition (dominated by Fe and Si) was strongly controlled by pH. At pH 4.2 and pH 7, the structure of the nanophases was dominated by Fe oligomers. Its polymerization was hindered by Al, Si, Mg and K. At pH 5, the Fe-Fe coordination number was even lower, polymerization being counteracted by the precipitation of high amounts of Si.

The present work is based on three hypotheses: (1) Fe-coprecipitate and Al-coprecipitate systems are not the only coprecipitates to take into account in OM stabilization issues; (2) In soils in which silicate minerals are present and provide a range of cations upon weathering, not only Fe(OH)$^+$ and Al(OH)$^+$ but also Si(OH)$_4$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, K$^+$ etc. contribute to the structures of the coprecipitates; (3) Si, which does not directly interact with OM, also contributes to the formation of metal-OM-coprecipitates in soils. To verify these hypothesis, we tested the capacity of dissolved Fe Al and Si to form Fe-Al-Si-coprecipitates in presence of an organic ligand. Coprecipitates were formed in batch experiments by titrating a biotite-weathered solution up to pH 5 (Tamrat et al., 2018) in presence of OM. 3,4-Dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine (DOPA) was selected as a model of OM for three reasons: (1) it is a low molecular weight organic compound and low molecular weight organic compounds are known to be the main OM compounds stabilized (Lehmann and Kleber, 2015; Sutton and Sposito, 2005), (2) using a single molecule avoids uncertainties linked to fractionation of natural OM during coprecipitation (Eusterhues et al., 2011; Mikutta et al., 2007; Scheel et al., 2007b; Schneider et al., 2010) and (3) functional groups of DOPA (amine, carboxyl, aromatic ring and hydroxyl groups) represent main functional groups known in organo-mineral stabilization processes (Mikutta, 2011; Zimmerman et al., 2004). Coprecipitation at pH 5 was preferred to emphasize the effects of Si (Tamrat et al., 2018) while the molar M:C ratios ranged between 3 and 0.003. Together with the Fe-Al-Si-nanophases synthesized without OM (Tamrat et al., 2018), this series covers the range of experimental M:C conditions used in previous Fe- and Al-coprecipitate studies (Kleber et al., 2015). The present work focused on the characterization of size, crystallinity and chemical composition of Fe-Al-Si-coprecipitates by TEM-EDX and of their local structure using Fe k-edge EXAFS (extended X-ray absorption fine structure) spectroscopy. The main objectives of the paper are (1) to describe the structure of Fe-Al-Si-coprecipitates at the local scale as a function of the M:C ratio; (2) to propose a conceptual model of the coprecipitates’ structure in a Fe, Al, Si and OM system; (3) to evaluate the potential implications of the presence of such Fe-Al-Si-coprecipitates in soils with respect to the concepts of organomineral interactions.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

The weathered biotite came from Bancroft, Ontario Canada (Ward Science) and had the following chemical composition:
3,4-Dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine [(HO)₂C₆H₃CH₂CH(NH₂)CO₂H] (Sigma Aldrich) was used (Figure A1) as a model of a soil organic compound. It presents the following functional groups: amine, carboxyl and an aromatic ring with double hydroxyl groups. At pH5, the amine group exists in NH₃⁺ state, carboxyl group in COO⁻ and phenol groups in OH state. The main expected interactions with cations are carboxylate binding (Chen et al., 2016), phenol binding (Chen et al., 2016) and catecholate binding, through both OH carried by adjacent C in the aromatic ring (Mikutta, 2011). The nine C in this compound accounted for nine C atoms in subsequent molar metal to carbon ratios (M:C).

2.2 Synthesis of the coprecipitates

The coprecipitates were synthesized in two steps. The first step consisted of leaching in an acidic batch solution to collect the leachate solution containing dissolved species induced by biotite weathering. The second step consisted in increasing the pH of leachate solution (from 2 to 5) in the presence of DOPA to form the coprecipitates.

Biotite weathering: The steps involved in the dissolution of biotite are detailed in Tamrat et al. (2018). Briefly, 33 g of ground biotite (< 50 μm) was leached for 29 days in 1 L batches of constant pH 2 HNO₃ solution (solid:liquid ratio 1:30). Dissolved species (leachate solution) were collected by Tangential Flow Filtration (TFF) (Spectrum Labs) with a cutoff size of 10 kD. The final concentrations of the leachate solution (ICP-AES, Horiba Jobin-Yvon “Ultima C”, Longjumeau, France) were Fe 937 μM, Si 1006 μM, Al 614 μM, K 883 μM and Mg 1247 μM.

Synthesis of coprecipitates: 1.25, 12.57, 125.68 and 1256.7 mg of DOPA were added to 100 ml of leachate solution at pH 2. This gave initial molar M:C ratios of 2.72, 0.27, 0.027 and 0.0027, ratios that were then rounded up to 3, 0.3, 0.03 and 0.003 for the purpose of naming the samples in the following. ‘Molar metal concentration’ stands for combined concentrations of the main complexing metals Fe and Al. Coprecipitates were formed by increasing the pH to 5 by adding 0.2M NaOH (Sigma Aldrich) at a constant rate of 70 μl/min (Figure A2). When pH 5 was reached, a 1 ml aliquot of sample was collected for TEM-EDX analyses and the remaining solution was ultra-centrifuged at 400,000g for 2 h to separate coprecipitates from the remaining dissolved species. The settled particles (less than 10 mg) were freeze-dried for EXAFS analyses. The nanophase formed without OM is called No C sample. It is identical to the pH 5 sample described in Tamrat et al. (2018) and is used here for the purpose of comparison.

2.3 Characterization of coprecipitates by TEM-EDX: morphology, size, chemical composition and state of crystallinity

The coprecipitates were characterized using a JEOL JEM 2011 Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) working at 200 kV at CINaM/ Aix-Marseille University, France. The parameters were 50 000X magnification, 20° tilt angle toward the EDX detector, energy range of 40 keV, corrected counting time of 30 s, constant beam density ~63.5 pA.cm⁻². Fe, Si, Al, K, and Mg were quantified using the Bruker AXS TEM line mark data semi-quantification procedure (Berthonneau et al., 2014). C was detected in all analyzed particles but C quantification is not reliable using this method (note that the low mass of collected
coprecipitates did not allow us to measure C content using an alternative method). The atomic proportions of analyzed elements thus do not include either C or O in the calculation. EDX chemical analyses were performed on individual particles (30 to 60 per sample). The size of the particles analyzed by EDX was manually measured on micrographs.

2.4 Characterization of coprecipitates at the local scale: EXAFS at the Fe K-edge (acquisition, reference compounds, data treatment)

Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) data were collected at the Fe K-edge (7112 eV) at ESRF synchrotron source on the FAME beam line (Grenoble, France) and at ELETTRA synchrotron, beam line 11.1 (Trieste, Italy). Spectra were collected using Si(220) at the ESRF and Si(111) double crystal monochromators at ELETTRA. Data were collected in ambient conditions in both transmission and fluorescence modes (30-element solid-state Ge detector (Canberra, France)).

All the samples were mixed with boron nitride as a diluting agent and pressed into 5 mm diameter pellets. An average of 3 to 5 individual spectra comprised each spectrum taken 107 eV below and 849 eV above the absorption edge of Fe (7112 eV) at a counting rate of 2-9 seconds up to 14.75 Å⁻¹. To minimize the risk of beam damage and to obtain representative spectra, each spectrum was collected at different pellet locations. Using ΔR= π/2k, the minimum distance resolution was determined to be 0.11 Å. All radial distances referring to Fourier transform functions (FTF) given in the Results section are uncorrected for phase shift.

Fe speciation in the coprecipitates was assessed by linear combination fitting (LCF) using Athena software (Ravel and Newville, 2005) following the data reduction steps of Michalowicz et al. (1979). LCF is meant to quantitatively reproduce EXAFS spectral features by using the smallest number of reference components. The sensitivity of LCF is within the 10-20% range (O’Day et al., 2004), and no more than three reference components were used. During fitting, all weights were restricted to remain between 0 and 1 without forcing their sum to equal 1 (or 100%). The relative goodness-of-fit between the data and the model is described by the residual factor (R-factor, the lower R, the better the fit). The reference standards (Tables B1, B2 and Figure 3) were selected to represent increasing levels of Fe polymerization with iron citrate, Fe-C colloids, Fe dimers, SRO_{Fe, Si} and 2L ferrihydrite. Note that descriptions such as Fe-Fe are short for two Fe octahedra interacting, thus making Fe-O-Fe bonds. The designations Fe-Si, Fe-Al and Fe-C are used hereafter to describe Fe interacting with Si tetrahedron, Al octa/tetrahedron and C based molecules, respectively. 2L Ferrihydrite provides the highest Fe polymerization level of all reference compounds with 2.1 edge interactions and 5 double corner interactions (Maillot et al., 2011; Michel et al., 2007). SRO_{Fe, Si} is composed of Fe oligomers synthesized at pH 5 in Si rich solutions (Si/Fe=4). These Fe oligomers are characterized by 2.6 edge-sharing and only a 0.6 double corner Fe-Fe interaction but no Fe-O-Si interactions at pH 5 with this Si/Fe ratio (Doelsch et al., 2000; Doelsch et al., 2001). For Fe dimers, only one edge-sharing coordination has been described (Rose et al., 1996). Fe-C colloids have a minimum 0.4 double and 0.7 single corner Fe-Fe interaction with an additional reference to OM complexing Fe with 1.9 C₁ atoms at 2.82Å (monodentate) and 1.5 C₂ atoms at 2.98Å (bidentate) (Rose et al., 1998). Iron citrate is the chelation of three carboxylic functional groups of a citrate anion with a central Fe atom producing a tridentate mononuclear complex (IUPAC, 2005). Details of the stages of the linear combination fitting
(LCF) process are given in Table B3 and Figure B1. Modeling of spectra by shell by shell fitting was attempted but did not succeed, probably because of the complexity and heterogeneity of the samples.

3 Results

3.1 TEM-EDX results

TEM micrographs of the coprecipitates are shown in Figure 1. Whatever the M:C ratio, rounded globular particles were formed and aggregated in clusters on the TEM grid. The diameter of the individual spherical particles ranged from 2 to 50 nm for the ‘No C’, 2 to 40 nm for M:C=3, 5 to 200 nm for M:C=0.3, 10 to 90 nm for M:C=0.03 and 15 to 70 nm for M:C=0.003. In the No C sample, the clusters of rather small particles on the one hand, and clusters of large particles on the other hand are described in Tamrat et al. (2018). In M:C=3 and M:C=0.3, some clusters of smaller particles were also occasionally observed, but in contrast to the No C sample, their occurrence was rare and differentiating smaller and larger particles was more delicate. Regardless of the size of the particles, electron diffraction analyses (Figure 1) revealed a diffused pattern for all the samples. This pattern is characteristic of amorphous structures.
Figure 1: TEM electron micrographs of coprecipitate series at pH=5: (a) ‘No C’, (b) M:C=3, (c) M:C=0.3, (d) M:C=0.03 and (e) M:C=0.003. Electron diffraction patterns are shown in the upper right of the 50 nm scale pictures. In the No C sample (a), LP stands for areas of larger particles and SP for smaller particles.

The TEM-EDX analyses showed that Fe and Si were the main metals of the coprecipitates. The chemical composition patterns were dependent on C content for all elements except K and Mg (Figure 2). The contribution of Fe and Al increased from No C to M:C=0.03, whereas the contribution of Si decreased. However, this trend was slightly reversed for M:C=0.003. Mg and K contributed to the composition of the coprecipitates in rather constant proportions. This proportion was below the concentration of the leachate solution, whatever the M:C. The chemical composition of the samples also varied considerably from one particle to another. Fe and Si showed the highest variability (i.e., the biggest differences between the highest and lowest concentrations). In the case of Si, variability tended to decrease with decreasing M:C.

![Figure 2: TEM-EDX chemical analysis of nanophases (No C) and coprecipitates at the four M:C ratios expressed in stoichiometric atomic % (excluding C and O). The “box-and-whisker” plots represent median values, the upper and lower quartiles, as well as the min and max of the data. Number of analyzed particles: No C n=33; M:C=3 n= 42; M:C=0.3 n=30; M:C=0.03 n=40; M:C=0.003 n=60. For the purpose of comparison, the chemical composition of the leachate solution (Leachate S.) is also shown as dashed lines with their respective error values.]

3.2 Fe atomic range order – EXAFS at the Fe k-edge

3.2.1 Raw data analysis

EXAFS spectra and Fourier transform functions (FTF) are shown in Figure 3. For the reference samples, in transiting from the ‘2L Ferrihydrite, SROFe,Si and Fe Dimers’ to ‘Fe-C Colloids and Iron citrate’ standards, the positive oscillations at ~5.2 Å⁻¹ and ~7.5 Å⁻¹ on the EXAFS curves, which are characteristic signals of Fe-Fe interactions, lost intensity. Instead, a shoulder
emerged at ~5.7 Å⁻¹, which is characteristic of Fe-O-C bonds. For the No C sample, in k-space, a low intensity positive oscillation was recorded at ~7.5 Å⁻¹. The signal at ~5.2 Å⁻¹ was low intensity and appeared as a shoulder. In R-space, a strong signal of a broad peak with a shoulder was observed in the range 2.4-3.2 Å due to Fe-Fe octahedra edge and double corner interactions respectively (distances are uncorrected for phase shift and have to be shifted by 0.3-0.4 Å from crystallographic positions toward long distances) (Bottero et al., 1994; Maillot et al., 2011; Manceau and Gates, 1997; Manceau et al., 2000). M:C=3 showed similar signals appearing in k-space but at reduced intensities. In R-space, the signal around 2.9 Å narrowed to a single peak in the range 2.55-3.05 Å (with no shoulder). M:C=0.3, in both k and R-spaces, spectra did not exhibit clear markers of Fe-Fe octahedra interactions, but an R-space peak was detected at ~2.4 Å, a signal of Fe-C interactions. M:C=0.03 and M:C=0.003 samples showed similar EXAFS signals. In both cases, an EXAFS shoulder was detected at ~5.7 Å⁻¹ and a second shell R-space peak at ~2.4 Å. This peak (uncorrected for phase shift) was at a radial distance shorter than the shortest possible Fe-Fe interaction (Rose et al., 1998). The shortest Fe-Fe interaction is related to octahedra face sharing with a Fe-Fe distance of 2.9 Å as in hematite.

Figure 3: (a) EXAFS/k-space plots and (b) FTF/R-space plots. FTF peaks between 1.2-2Å correspond to the first coordination sphere of oxygen atoms. On references, markers of Fe-C interactions (red arrows) occur between ~2.2 and ~2.5 Å and Fe-Fe octahedra (black arrows) at ~2.7 and ~3.0 Å (for edge (E) and double corner (DC) interactions respectively). Radial distances are not corrected for phase shift.
3.2.2 Quantitative analysis of EXAFS data using linear combination fitting (LCF)

LCF fits are presented in supplementary information (Figure B1) and summarized in Table 1. The local structure of phases formed with No C were fitted by a combination of 44% of 2L Ferrihydrite, with 33% of Fe Dimers and 25% of SRO_{Fe, Si}. In the case of M:C=3 samples (compared to No C samples) the collective contribution of purely Fe containing standards decreased from 102% to 82% (2L Ferrihydride at 37% and SRO_{Fe, Si} at 45%). Additionally, significant contributions to the fit were achieved with iron citrate standard at 30%. At a 10-fold increase in C (M:C=0.3), the dominating contributions to fit shifted from Fe-Fe to Fe-C containing reference standards, i.e., the fit from C containing standards (iron citrate + Fe-C colloids) increased from 30% to 72%. And from purely Fe containing standards, contributions to fit decreased from 82% to a sole contribution of 35% from SRO_{Fe, Si}. A further 10-fold increase in C concentration (M:C=0.03) resulted in a decrease in the Fe-Fe contribution from 35% to 14%, whereas Fe-C contributions (iron citrate and Fe-C colloids) collectively increased from 72% to 94%. With a maximum C content of M:C=0.003, the differences with the M:C 0.03 were not significant and the Fe speciation was considered to be equivalent in the two samples.

Table 1: Proportions of Fe-O-C and Fe-O-Fe bounds estimated by linear combination fitting. Proportions under the three reference standards designated “Fe-O-Fe” show contributions to fit from pure Fe to Fe interactions. Fe-C colloids represent higher Fe to C interaction with a low but significant Fe to Fe interaction. Fe citrate represents pure Fe to C interactions. The error in the proportions was estimated at around 15%. R-factor indicates the relative goodness-of-fit between the data and the model (values close to 0 represent the best fits, see supplementary information for details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Iron Citrate</th>
<th>Fe-C Colloids</th>
<th>Fe-O-C</th>
<th>Fe Dimers</th>
<th>SRO_{Fe, Si}</th>
<th>2L Ferrihydrite</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>R-factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:C=3</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:C=0.3</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:C=0.03</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:C=0.003</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Discussion

4.1 Three types of structures of Fe-Al-Si-coprecipitates as a function of the M:C ratio

The contribution of Si, Fe and Al shown in the ternary diagrams of Figure 4, as well as the speciation of Fe and the size range of particles summarized in Figure 5, highlighted three main types of coprecipitate structures:

- **Type I: high M:C ratio (M:C=3)**. Coprecipitates are mainly represented by amorphous spherical Si, Fe, Al particles 2-40 nm in size (Figure 5). When only Fe, Al and Si are considered, Si is the main component (30% to 70%, Figure 4). Fe is only present at 20-50% and Al at 10-30%. The local structure of Fe is dominated by small oligomers of Fe octahedra. This local structure is close to the "No C" nanophase structure described in Tamrat et al. (2018) for samples with a pH of 5 precipitated without OM. Ferrihydrite is not formed in the Type I coprecipitates, likely due to the dominating presence of Si oligomers (Doelsch et al., 2000; Doelsch et al., 2001; Tamrat et al., 2018). However, the presence of Si does not prevent the formation of Fe-O-C binding, since 20% to 30% of the Fe present in the coprecipitates is linked to OM.

- **Type II: intermediate M:C ratio (M:C=0.3)**. Coprecipitates are mainly represented by Fe, Si, Al particles 5-200 nm in size, i.e. by far the largest coprecipitates in the present study. In contrast to Type I, Fe is the main component (25-75%) of most of the particles. Si (15-50%) no longer dominates the composition of the coprecipitates but remains present in significant proportions in the structure. Al (10-35%), is anti-correlated with Fe. The local structure of Fe is dominated by bonds with OM (70%) but 30% of Fe remains linked to Fe. Small oligomers of a few Fe octahedra are thus preserved in Type II coprecipitates. Al may also bind OM, but the methods used here were unable to probe Al speciation to confirm it. Thus, the Type II structure, which combines the network of small oligomers of Si, Fe, and Al associated with OM and monomeric Fe-OM, forms the largest coprecipitates.

- **Type III: low M:C ratio (M:C=0.03 and M:C=0.003)**. Coprecipitates of Type III are mainly represented by Fe, Si, Al particles 10-90 nm in size. Fe is the main component (45-70%), but is slightly depleted compared to Type II Fe content. Conversely, Si (5-40%) and Al (15-35%) are slightly enriched compared to Type II. Fe is almost exclusively bound to C (Figure 5) showing that the monomeric Fe-OM complexation is likely the main interaction in the formation of coprecipitates when large quantities of OM are present. However, a few Fe-Fe dimers, trimers or small oligomers may remain, since LCF showed Fe-Fe interactions for M:C=0.03, but within the uncertainty of the method. We hypothesize that within the coprecipitates at M:C lower than 0.03, Al and Si may form small oligomers occluded in the Fe-OM matrix and that Al may also bind OM.
Figure 4: Ternary diagrams of Fe, Si and Al atomic proportions in the analyzed particles. White dots: smaller and larger nanophases in the No C sample (Tamrat et al. 2018). Grey dots: coprecipitates for the four M:C ratios. The black star represents the initial composition of the leachate solution.

Figure 5: Solid line: LCF contributions of Fe-O-Fe and Fe-O-C interactions. Fe-O-Fe represents contributions from Fe dimers, SRO_{Fe,5} and 2L Ferrihydrite, while Fe-O-C represents contributions from Fe-C colloids and iron citrate reference standards. Dashed bars: particle size ranges.

The mixtures of mononuclear metal-organic complexes and metal hydroxides has already been described in many studies that synthesized Fe-coprecipitates, Al-coprecipitates and Fe-Al-coprecipitates (Karlsson and Persson, 2010, 2012; Mikutta et al., 2010; Mikutta et al., 2014; Nierop et al., 2002). Their proportions also varied as a function of the M:C ratios. For example, Chen et al. (2016) described three types of local structures in Fe-coprecipitates: (1) in low C systems, the ferrihydrite-like Fe domains were precipitated as the core and covered by the OM shells; (2) in systems with intermediate C content, the emerging Fe–C bonding suggested a more substantial association between Fe domains including edge- and corner-sharing Fe octahedra and OM; (3) in systems with high C content, only corner-sharing Fe octahedra along with Fe–C bond were found. In our system, even though the system was too complex to perform shell by shell fitting to model the contributions of edges and corners, as we did for the nanophases of the No C samples (Tamrat et al., 2018), we observed a similar trend for Fe speciation. The level of Fe polymerization decreased with an increase in C content. However, the main difference between this and the pure Fe system was the presence of Si (and to a
lesser extent of Al) that in particular prevents the polymerization of Fe into ferrihydrite domains even at very low OM content. The second significant difference was the presence of Si and Al in the coprecipitates, whatever the M:C ratio.

4.2 Conceptual model of coprecipitate structure in a Fe, Al, Si and OM system

4.2.1 Structure of Type II coprecipitates: “nanoCLICs”

The three types of local structures described above represent three snapshots of an increasing scale of C content in which we multiplied the concentration of OM by 10 at each step. On a linear continuum of the M:C ratio, the local structure of the coprecipitates formed at pH 5 therefore gradually shifted from Type I to Type III with increasing C content. The Type I structure resembles that of nanophases with no OM, and the structure of the nanophases is detailed in Tamrat et al. (2018). At the opposite end of the M:C scale, in the Type III structure, OM stabilization is dominated by monomeric metal-OM interactions. Beyond the fact that Si oligomers are present in small amounts in the coprecipitates, the structure that stabilizes OM is likely close to that of Fe and Al monomeric complexes described in previous papers (Chen et al., 2016; Eusterhues et al., 2008; Karlsson and Persson, 2010, 2012; Karlsson et al., 2008; Mikutta et al., 2010; Schwertmann et al., 2005). Between Type I and III, the range at which Type II is observed is narrow and reduced to M:C between approximately 3 and 0.3. This range could be an important range for C stabilization processes as it corresponds to the largest particles that coprecipitated in our study (Figure 5). This range of M:C ratios also corresponds to the values observed in andosols (Basile-Doelsch et al., 2005; Basile-Doelsch et al., 2007). As Type II has never been described in previous work, we propose a model to represent a Type II structure of the coprecipitates (Figure 6).

The frame of Type II coprecipitates could be formed by a loose and irregular 3D network of amorphous small oligmers of Fe, Si and Al forming an “open” branched mineral skeleton. In this network, the OMs are linked in three different ways: (1) OM binding to Fe (and Al) octahedra that belong to the Al, Si, Fe oligomers network; (2) OM binding to Fe (and Al) monomers, located in the porosity of this network; (3) OM interacting with other OMs by weak bonds (such as Van der Wals or cation bridges (Lutzow et al., 2006)). It is important to note that, in the particular case of DOPA, one molecule may bind simultaneously to two different Fe octahedra (through carboxylate and catecholate bindings). Natural organic compounds may behave in the same way. Together with Van der Waals electrostatic bindings, covalent bindings likely help stabilize the structure of the whole 3D network. We define the Type II structure as “Nanosized Coprecipitates of inorganic oLigomers with organiCs” with the acronym “nanoCLICs”.
4.2.2 Comparison with previous models and limits of the model

This model of the structure of nanoCLICs differs from the models proposed in the literature. Previous models of coprecipitates included Fe only as ferrihydrite and monomeric Fe-OM (Eusterhues et al., 2014; Guenet et al., 2017; Kleber et al., 2015). In the model in Figure 6, other major elements potentially present in the soil solution are taken into account. These are mainly Si and Al in the synthetic samples studied here. In the conditions in which we formed the coprecipitates, K and Mg did not significantly contribute to the coprecipitates. However in soils, even if Fe, Si and Al are likely the main contributors, if other elements are present in sufficiently high concentrations and with appropriate physical-chemical conditions, they may also contribute to the structure of nanoCLICs.

Within a network of oligomers on which OM can bind to a very large number of Fe (and Al) octahedra, the model of "core metal hydroxides embedded in an organic matrix" (Chen et al., 2016; Eusterhues et al., 2014; Mikutta et al., 2014) can no longer be applied. Interactions occur at the scale of monomers, dimers, trimers or very small Fe (or Al) oligomers. To represent these interactions, the model thus must be focused at a very local scale. Such a local scale was used by Schulten and Leinweber (2000) to perform molecular simulations. However their model considered large humic molecules and proposed well crystallized Fe oxides as pedogenic oxides. Since the 2000s, these two concepts has been revised (Schmidt et al., 2011), thereby invalidating the results of Schulten and Leinweber’s molecular simulations.

A similar local scale was used in the Kleber et al. (2007) conceptual molecular model to illustrate a conceptual view of OM organization at the interfaces with minerals. The model developed by these authors differed significantly from ours in the mineralogical structure of the interface. In their model, the authors considered theoretical mineral surfaces (kaolinite,
Fe oxides) to be flat and well crystallized. But it is likely that such theoretical surfaces only occur exceptionally in soils, mainly because mineral surfaces are subject to alteration (Basile-Doelsch et al., 2015; Churchman and Lowe, 2012). The concept of three superposed OM layers covering the mineral surfaces (Kleber et al., 2007) is likely not strictly applicable to OM interacting with oligomers in nanoCLICs.

It is important to point out that the model presented in Figure 6 also has its limitations. First, it illustrates coprecipitates with a very specific OM, chosen because it can bind to metals in different ways (COOH, phenol, catecholate). These functions are recognized as being particularly conducive to co-precipitation (Mikutta, 2011). The structure of the nanoCLICs could be a little different from that of other molecules of OM: some authors who tested different types of OMs observed effects on the density of the coprecipitates for example (Eusterhues et al., 2008; Mikutta et al., 2008). Furthermore, at pHs other than pH 5, the results could also have differed slightly because inorganic oligomers have a different chemistry, with in particular, less Si at pH 4.2 and 7 (Tamrat et al., 2018).

To sum up, the model proposed in Figure 6 provides one example of nanoCLICs with the aim of illustrating the importance of taking at least Fe, Al as well as Si into account in the structure of coprecipitates, even if Si does not bind directly to OM. In a mineral open network with Si in the structure, it is indeed expected that the crystallinity of Fe (and potentially Al) will be lower, implying (1) greater reactivity of Fe (and Al) for OM and (2) a very large specific surface area of inorganic oligomers, forming an open-branched 3D network. These are two key factors in soil OM stabilization (Eusterhues et al., 2014; Jones and Edwards, 1998; Mikutta et al., 2011; Porras et al., 2018; Scheel et al., 2007b), but possibly also in interactions with other charged species in soils.

4.3 Implications for soils

The role of Si in the mechanisms of OM stabilization by SRO have probably been largely underestimated. Unlike Al and Fe, Si does not bind to OM. However, our work showed that its presence limits the polymerization of Fe (and probably of Al) thus increasing the number of Fe (and Al) octahedra available to bind OM. It can thus be expected that, for the same number of Fe (and Al) atoms, a system that also contains Si will be much more reactive toward OM than a system with no Si. The present work suggests that Si could therefore play an important role in the quantities of OM stabilized by nanoCLICs in a soil profile. Future works should focus on that hypothesis.

On the other hand, Si could also play a major role in the persistent reactivity of inorganic oligomers. As proposed by Jones et al. (2009), the presence of Si may limit the progressive aging of the Fe (and Al) phases towards better crystallized and more stable Fe (and Al) oxides. As crystallized Fe oxides are less reactive to OM stabilization than Fe-oligomers (Basile-Doelsch et al., 2007; Basile-Doelsch et al., 2009), the presence of Si would insure the preservation of the very poorly polymerized state and maintain the high specific surface area and high reactivity. Thus, the presence of Si in the structures of the coprecipitates may control not only the amount of OM stabilized by the nanoCLICs, but also the persistence of this OM stabilization potential in the longer term.
The formation of nanoCLICs containing Fe, Si and Al is very likely to occur in most soils, as silicates are the main minerals in the Earth’s crust. The "pure" Fe and Al coprecipitates, as studied in synthetic systems, have made it possible to highlight different levels of Fe polymerization (Chen et al., 2016; Eusterhues et al., 2008; Karlsson and Persson, 2010, 2012; Karlsson et al., 2008; Mikutta, 2011; Mikutta et al., 2010; Nierop et al., 2002; Scheel et al., 2007a; Schneider et al., 2010; Schwertmann et al., 2005), but may not be representative of the majority of nanoCLICs in most soils. The occurrence of pure Fe-coprecipitates and Al-coprecipitates is probably limited to very specific environments. In the case of Fe, for example, Fe-coprecipitates may form in environments subjected to redox oscillations (Coward et al., 2018). But in most soils, nanoCLICs likely incorporate a large number of major cations other than Fe and Al, such as Si, Mn (Stueckey et al., 2018), or to a lesser extent more soluble species such as Ca (Rasmussen et al., 2018), Mg or even monovalent species as K (Grand and Lavkulich, 2015), depending on the physical-chemical conditions (concentrations, pH, redox, etc.). Recent studies showed in particular the important role played by Ca in ternary complexation mechanisms (Rowley et al., 2018; Sowers et al., 2018) and its importance in stabilizing OM in rather arid soils and in soils with a pH above 7 (Kramer and Chadwick, 2018; Rasmussen et al., 2018).

Within a soil profile, nanoCLICs could also be characterized by high variability in space, over time and in their structure. It is very likely that in a given soil profile, amorphous Fe Si Al nanophase (Type I), nanoCLICs (type II) and monomeric Fe complex (type III) will be found simultaneously at different microsites. Physical-chemical conditions at a microsite can also vary over time, which may lead to time-dependent variations in the structure of nanoCLICs. At some microsites, as proposed by Keiluweit et al. (2015) in the rhizosphere, the de-structuration of SRO-OM may also occur upon biologic stimulation. The dynamics of nanoCLICs structures should thus also be considered in future studies of OM stabilization.

5 Conclusion

Organo-mineral interactions are recognized as key factors in stabilizing organic matter (OM) in soils, and short-range order minerals (SRO) are increasingly considered as key mineral nanophases in the control of soil OM dynamics. In the present work, we have shown that:

- Fe-coprecipitate and Al-coprecipitate systems are likely not the only coprecipitates to consider in SRO-OM stabilization issues, because they do not fit the complex chemical composition of soil solutions that occur in soils;
- In soils in which silicate minerals are present and provide a range of cations upon weathering (Fe(OH)²⁺, Al(OH)²⁺, Si(OH)₄, Mg ²⁺, Ca²⁺, K²⁺ etc.), at least Si may be an important component in the mineral structure of the coprecipitates, whatever the concentration of C;
- In the case of intermediate C contents, we propose a structural model of coprecipitates in which amorphous small oligomers of Fe (~70%), Si (~20%), Al (~10%) form an amorphous, open-branched 3D network. In this mineral skeleton, organic compounds are linked either by bonds with Fe and Al to the network, by monomeric Fe-O-C in the porosity of the network, or by weak bonds with OM. We propose to name the structure “Nanoprecipitates of inorganic oligomers with organics” with the acronym “nanoCLICs”.
- In nanoCLICs, Si does not bind directly with OM. However, it prevents the polymerization of Fe and Al phases into crystalline structures, thereby insuring large
amounts of Fe and Al are available to bind with OM and hence for C stabilization. The presence of Si may also limit the progressive aging of the Fe and Al phases into better crystallized oxides, thus insuring the preservation of the very poorly crystallized state and maintaining the high C stabilization potential of inorganic oligomers.
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Table 1: Proportions of Fe-O-C and Fe-O-Fe bounds estimated by linear combination fitting. Proportions under the three reference standards designated “Fe-O-Fe” show contributions to fit from pure Fe to Fe interactions. Fe-C colloids represent higher Fe to C interaction with a low but significant Fe to Fe interaction. Fe citrate represents pure Fe to C interactions. The error in the proportions was estimated at around 15%. R-factor indicates the relative goodness-of-fit between the data and the model (values close to 0 represent the best fits, see supplementary information for details).

Figure 1: TEM electron micrographs of coprecipitate series at pH=5: (a) ‘No C’, (b) M:C=3, (c) M:C=0.3, (d) M:C=0.03 and (e) M:C=0.003. Electron diffraction patterns are shown in the upper right of the 50 nm scale pictures. In the No C sample (a), LP stands for areas of larger particles and SP for smaller particles.

Figure 2: TEM-EDX chemical analysis of nanophases (No C) and coprecipitates at the four M:C ratios expressed in stoichiometric atomic % (excluding C and O). The “box-and-whisker” plots represent median values, the upper and lower quartiles, as well as the min and max of the data. Number of analyzed particles: No C n=33; M:C=3 n= 42; M:C=0.3 n=30; M:C=0.03 n=40; M:C=0.003 n=60. For the purpose of comparison, the chemical composition of the leachate solution (Leachate S.) is also shown as dashed lines with their respective error values.

Figure 3: (a) EXAFS/k-space plots and (b) FTF/R-space plots. FTF peaks between 1.2-2Å correspond to the first coordination sphere of oxygen atoms. On references, markers of Fe-C interactions (red arrows) occur between ~2.2 and ~2.5 Å and Fe-Fe octahedra (black arrows) at ~2.7 and ~3.0 Å (for edge (E) and double corner (DC) interactions respectively). Radial distances are not corrected for phase shift.

Figure 4: Ternary diagrams of Fe, Si and Al atomic proportions in the analyzed particles. White dots: smaller and larger nanophases in the No C sample (Tamrat et al. 2018). Grey dots: coprecipitates for the four M:C ratios. The black star represents the initial composition of the leachate solution.

Figure 5: Solid line: LCF contributions of Fe-O-Fe and Fe-O-C interactions. Fe-O-Fe represents contributions from Fe dimers, SRO_{Fe, Si} and 2L Ferrihydrite, while Fe-O-C represents contributions from Fe-C colloids and iron citrate reference standards. Dashed bars: particle size ranges.

Figure 6: 3D conceptual model of Nanosized Coprecipitates of inorganic oLIgomers with organiCs (“nanoCLICs”) in an Fe Al Si and DOPA system with initial 0.3 <M:C <3. H_2O molecules are not represented to simplify the visualization of the structure. The 3D model can be rotated with Adobe Acrobat using the pdf file provided in the Electronic Annex (a 3D PDF basic function tutorial can be found on https://www.qwant.com/?q=rotating%20object%20pdf%20adobe%20acrobat&t=videos&o=0:9860450d6f706f26d49065218b7d1c03).