- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6

Litter traits and rainfall reduction alter microbial litter decomposers: the evidence from three Mediterranean forests

Authors: S. Pereira¹, A. Burešová^{2,3}, J. Kopecky³, P. Mádrová³, A. Aupic-Samain¹, C. Fernandez¹, V. Baldy¹, M. Sagova-Mareckova⁴

Corresponding author: su_patr_perei@hotmail.com

Author contributions: SP, MM and VB wrote the manuscript. VB and CF conceived and designed the experiments. SP, AB, AA, and PM performed the experiments. SP, JK, MM, and VB analysed the data.

Adresses

¹Aix Marseille Univ, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE UMR 7263, Marseille, France

²Department of Ecology, Faculty of Science, Charles University in Prague, Vinicna 7, Prague, Czech Republic - Ecologie Microbienne, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, UMR CNRS 5557, INRA 1418, Villeurbanne, France

³Laboratory for Diagnostics and Epidemiology of Microorganisms, Crop Research Institute, Drnovska 507, CZ-16106 Prague 6, Ruzyně, Czech Republic

⁴ Czech University of Life Sciences, Faculty of Agrobiolgy, Food and Natural Resources, Dept. of Microbiology, Nutrition and Dietetics, Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Praha 6 - Suchbátov, Czech Republic

Keywords: *litter decomposition, fungi, actinobacteria, Mediterranean forest, climate change*

Abstract

The objective of the study was to evaluate changes in microbial communities with the predicted arrival of new species to Mediterranean forests under projected intensification of water stress conditions. For that, litter from three Mediterranean forests dominated respectively by *Quercus pubescens* Willd., *Quercus ilex* L. and *Pinus halepensis* Mill. was collected, and placed to their “home” forest but also to the two other forests under natural and amplified drought conditions (i.e. rainfall reduction of 30%). Quantitative PCR showed that overall, actinobacteria and total bacteria were more abundant in *Q. pubescens* and *Q. ilex* than in *P. halepensis* litter. However, the abundance of both groups was dependent on the forest sites: placement of allochthonous litter to *Q. pubescens* and *P. halepensis* forests (i.e. *P. halepensis* and *Q. pubescens*, respectively) increased bacterial and fungal abundances, while no effect was observed in *Q. ilex* forest. *P. halepensis* litter in *Q. pubescens* and *Q. ilex* forests significantly reduced actinobacteria (A/F) and total bacteria (B/F) to fungi ratios. The reduction of rainfall did not influence actinobacteria and bacteria but caused an increase of fungi. As a result, a reduction of A/F ratio is expected with the plant community change towards the dominance of spreading *P. halepensis* under amplified drought conditions.

1. Introduction

Microorganisms are key actors in nutrient cycling and carbon turnover during litter decomposition (Gessner *et al.* 2010; Garcia-Pausas and Paterson 2011). At a global scale, it is estimated that the soil C coming from soil microbial biomass is about 16.7 Pg C, which represents approximately 2.3–2.4% of total organic C found in soil in the top 30 cm (Xu, Thornton and Post 2013). Heterotrophic respiration resulting from microbial litter decomposing activity can account for 10–90% of the CO₂ efflux from soils and substantially affect the atmospheric CO₂ concentration (Manzoni *et al.* 2011). However, annual reduction of rainfall by up to 30% predicted for the Mediterranean basin (Giorgi and Lionello 2008 ; IPCC 2014 ; Hertig and Trambly 2017) may significantly impact microbial abundance and structure, and thus, also the overall C dynamics (Wardle and Putten 2002).

Studies have already demonstrated shifts in plant community composition in response to the changed climatic conditions (Morales *et al.* 2007; Bertrand *et al.* 2011 ;Guiot and Cramer 2016). In southern France, dominating downy oak (*Quercus pubescens* Willd.) is expected to be replaced by more drought tolerant species: the sclerophylls' evergreen holm oak (*Quercus ilex* L.) and Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis* Mill.) (Barbero *et al.* 1990 ; Fortunel *et al.* 2009). Additionally, the increase of water stress may induce the synthesis of plant secondary metabolites (e.g. phenolics) known for their phytotoxic activities and anti-appetizing effects, which limit leaf consumption by fauna (Chomel *et al.* 2014). This investment in defence mechanisms over primary metabolic processes may result on the one hand to the decrease of primary productivity (Ogaya and Peñuelas 2007) and thus litter inputs, and on the other hand, in the reduction of litter quality through the increase of recalcitrant organic compounds (i.e. lignin, wax, polyphenolics) (Ormeño *et al.* 2006 ; Hättenschwiler and Jørgensen 2010 ; Sagova-Mareckova *et al.* 2011). Moreover, the reduction of moisture level may induce

physiological stress and limit dispersal of microorganisms, enzymatic activities, and substrate diffusion (Bouskill *et al.* 2013). Thus, the reduction of moisture levels and aboveground litter quality and quantity may impose new community dynamics belowground (Lunghini *et al.* 2013 ; Cleveland *et al.* 2014) and lead to a shift of soil microbial communities to more drought tolerant species, capable of using recalcitrant C sources and resistant to desiccation (Yuste *et al.* 2011 ; Peñuelas *et al.* 2012 ; Allison *et al.* 2013). Actinobacteria are known to thrive in low resource environments (Fierer, Bradford and Jackson 2007) and low soil moisture conditions (Yuste *et al.* 2011; De Vries and Shade 2013; Acosta-Martinez *et al.* 2014) reaching high abundance in arid soils (Okoro *et al.* 2009). They are well protected by a strong, thick interlinked peptidoglycan cell wall, which explains their high resistance to low osmotic potential conditions (Davet 2004). They produce enzymes able to degrade a wide range of biopolymers, whose building blocks including aromatic compounds (McCarthy and Williams 1992) have a vital role in organic matter turnover and humus formations (Anandan, Dharumadurai and Manogaran 2016). They produce a variety of secondary metabolites (Anandan *et al.* 2016), through which they interact with other microbial groups (Lewin *et al.* 2016). However, little is known about how microbial communities and actinobacteria in particular, would be affected by future reduction of rainfall, both directly by decrease of moisture level and indirectly through the plant species shift. In general, litter types sharing a common history with local microbial communities (autochthonous) have faster decomposition rates than litter types coming from distant (allochthonous) habitats (Gholz *et al.* 2000; Strickland *et al.* 2009). Consequently, changes in biochemical composition of local litter with the arrival of new species may constrain microbial activity and impact negatively litter decomposition (Schimel, Balser and Wallenstein 2007).

In here, we examine how reduction of rainfall and change in plant species may affect belowground microbial community's (actinobacteria, fungi and total bacteria) abundance and structure and consequently also the litter decomposition process. For that, we performed a litter transplanting experiment between three main Mediterranean forests (*Quercus pubescens* Willd., *Quercus ilex* L., and *Pinus halepensis* Mill.) occurring in Southeast of France, under natural and amplified drought conditions for simulating the 30% rainfall reduction. We hypothesised that the arrival of new litter under amplified drought conditions will have a negative impact on microbial abundance and litter decomposition.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sites description

This study was conducted at the three forest sites in the Mediterranean region in southern France: a *Quercus pubescens* forest at Oak Observatory at the "Observatoire de Haute Provence" (O₃HP; Santonja *et al.* 2015), *Quercus ilex* forest at Puéchabon (Limousin *et al.* 2008) and the mixed *Pinus halepensis* forest at Font-Blanche (Table 1). During the study period, the mean annual temperature was 12.1 °C, 13.7°C and 14.0°C and the cumulative annual precipitation was 609 mm, 794 mm and 632 mm, respectively (Table 1, Supplementary Figure 1). There were no significant differences between sites in the mean annual temperature and cumulative annual precipitation during the study period (One-way ANOVA, P<0.05). Each site included a control plot (natural drought - ND) and a rain exclusion plot (amplified drought – AD) to mimic the future reduction of rainfall predicted by the climatic model for the Mediterranean Region (about -30% yearly; Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 2).

2.2 Experimental design and sample processing

In 2014, senescent leaves were collected in the control plot of the three forests. For that, litter traps were used during the abscission period that occurred from October to November for the broadleaves (*Q. ilex* and *Q. pubescens*) and from June to September for the needles (*P. halepensis*). Leaves and needles were air dried and stored at room temperature in paper boxes until the beginning of the experiment.

Litter decomposition was assessed by using the litter bag method (Swift *et al.* 1979). Four-mm mesh litter bags (20 × 20 cm) containing 10 grams (air-dried) of the senescent material were used to perform the experiment. Litter transplants were made between each site for the three species considered, i.e. a litter bag containing the litter of each species placed on each forest site, under two drought conditions: natural (ND) and amplified (AD) (see Supplementary Figure 3).

Thus, the experiment consisted in 18 modalities corresponding to the 3 forests *Q. ilex*, *Q. pubescens* and *P. halepensis* × 3 plant species (*Q. ilex*, *Q. pubescens* and *P. halepensis*) × 2 drought conditions (ND and AD). In total, 126 litter bags (18 modalities × 1 sampling date × 7 replicates) were analyzed. Litter bags were placed perpendicularly to the gutters system in Aleppo pine and holm oak forests and under the rain exclusion device in the downy oak forest, by using a transect in blocks (7 columns x 6 lines), equidistantly one from each other (1 m distance between the 7 columns and 0.6 m between the 6 lines). Transects were E-W oriented. They were disposed in the ground floor after the removal of litter layer and fixed to the soil with galvanized nails to prevent movement by animals or wind. Litter layer was then replaced over the litterbags.

Litter bags were retrieved after one year (December 2015). Litterbags were placed in zipped plastic bags to prevent the loss of biological material. In the laboratory, the soil and

other debris were removed from the litter bags. Then, they were freeze-dried (Lyovac GT2) for 72 h and the remaining dry mass (%) was calculated. A 1 g of dry mass aliquote was collected, grounded to powder (Retsch® MM400, 30 Hz during 30s) and kept at -20°C for posteriori microbial DNA analysis.

2.3 Initial litter chemical characteristics

At the beginning of the experiment, 5 replicates from each leaf species collected from trees in control plots were grounded to powder (Retsch® MM400, 30 Hz during 30s). Carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) were determined by thermal combustion on a Flash EA 1112 series C/N elemental analyzer (Thermo-Scientific, U.S.A). Phosphorus (P) and cations (Ca, K, Mg and Na) were extracted from 80 mg of ground litter sample with 8 ml of nitric acid and 2 ml of H₂O₂ at 175 °C for 40 min using a microwave digestion system (Ethos One, Milestone SRL, Sorisole, Italy). After the mineralization, each sample was adjusted to 50 ml with demineralized water. Phosphorus concentration was measured at 720 nm using a microplate reader (Victor, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Cations were determined using an atom absorption spectrometer (AAS, iCE 3000 series, Thermo-Scientific, China). Leaf structural compounds (lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose) and water-soluble compounds (WSC) concentrations were determined according to the van Soest extraction protocol (Van Soest and Wine 1968) using a fiber analyzer (Fibersac 24; Ankom®, Macedon, NJ, USA). Total phenolic concentrations were measured by colorimetry according to the method of Peñuelas *et al.* (1996) using gallic acid as standard. Aqueous extracts were made by dissolving 0.25 g of litter powder in 20 ml of 70% aqueous methanol solution with shaking for 1 h and filtered (0.45 µm). 25 ml of extracts obtained were then mixed with 0.25 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (Folin and Denis 1915), 0.5 ml of saturated aqueous Na₂CO₃ (to stabilize the

colorimetric reaction) and 4 ml of distilled water. After 60 min, the reaction was completed and the concentration of phenolics was measured at 765 nm using a UV / Vis spectrophotometer (Thermoscientific, U.S.A.).

To determine the water holding capacity (WHC), twenty-one freshly abscised leaves (3 species x 5 replicates) were soaked in distilled water for 24 h, drained and weighted (wet weight). The dry weight was measured after drying the samples for 48 h at 60°C. WHC (in %) was calculated according to the formula: $(\text{wet weight/dry weight}) \times 100$ (Santonja *et al.* 2015). Specific leaf area (SLA) was determined by using the Image J software (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>, MA, USA). SLA was calculated as the ratio between leaf area and leaf dry weight.

2.4 DNA extraction

Environmental DNA from leaf litter samples was extracted with the NucleoSpin[®] soil kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), following the standard protocol with a slight adjustment. In brief, approximately 250 mg of dry litter was added to the NucleoSpin Bead tubes. Lysis conditions were performed by using buffer SL1 (700 µl, vortex for 5 min, room temperature, 11 000 x g for 2 min), and buffer SL3 (150µl, vortex 5 min, 0-4°C, 14 000 g for 1 min). This procedure was repeated twice using the same sample to optimize the DNA extraction. The filtration of the supernatant was performed in the NucleoSpin Inhibitor Removal Column followed by 11 000 x g for 1 min. DNA binding was done in the Nucleo Spin Soil column after adding 250µl of Buffer SB to the supernatant followed by 11 000 x g for 1 min. A series of successive DNA washing was performed: 500 µl buffer SB (11 000 x g, 3 sec), 550 µl buffer SW1 (11 000 x g, 3 sec), 700 µl SW2 (2 sec vortex, 11 000 x g, 3 sec). At each step, flow-through were discarded. Finally, DNA was eluted in 30 µl of Buffer SE followed by

incubation at room temperature for 1 min and 11 000 x g for 30 seconds and kept at -20°C until further analysis. DNA quantification was performed in the ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technology, Wilmington, DE).

2.5 Quantitative real-time PCR analysis (qPCR)

Abundances of total bacteria, actinobacteria and fungi were assessed using a quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) method. Microbial abundance was quantified as copy number of a target gene. Partial 16S rRNA gene sequences were amplified from the total bacteria using primers COM1 (5' – CAGCAGCCGCGGTAATAC - 3') and 769R (5' – ATCCTGTTTGMTMCCCVCRC - 3', annealing temperature 59°C) (Dorn-In *et al.* 2015), and from actinobacteria using primers S-P-Acti-1154-a-S-19 (5' – GRDACYGCCGGGGTYAACT - 3' annealing temperature 59°C) and S-P-Acti-1339-a-A-18 (5' – TCWGCATTACTAGCGAC - 3') (Pfeiffer *et al.* 2014). Partial 18S rRNA genes from fungi were amplified with primers FF390 (5'-CGATAACGAACGAGACCT-3') and FR1 (5'-AICCATTC AATCGGTAIT-3', annealing temperature 50°C) (Vainio and Hantula 2000). All PCR reactions were done 15 µl total volume containing 1× SYBR® Green Gotaq PCR Master Mix (Promega), primers at 600 nM for total bacteria and fungi, and 200 nM for actinobacteria, and 0.2-2 ng environmental DNA. The cycling parameters were: 10 min at 95°C followed by 45 cycles at 95°C (15 sec), 59°C (30 sec), 72°C (30 sec). Melting curves were recorded to ensure qPCR specificity, and gel electrophoresis analyses were performed to confirm the expected size of amplified products. All qPCR reactions were run in triplicate on StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). To quantify the target gene copy numbers, standard dilution curves of known concentrations of previously

cloned standards of the target genes were determined. Baseline and threshold calculations and data analysis were performed with the StepOne v. 2.2.2 software.

The primer pair recommended by Dorn-In *et al.* (2015) for amplification of bacterial 16S rRNA gene fragment from plant samples, Com1/769R (Fredriksson, Hermansson and Wilén 2013; Rastogi *et al.* 2010) was used for quantitative real-time PCR analysis of the total bacteria. The primer pair does not amplify 16 rRNA genes from chloroplasts, however, consequently also its coverage of the domain Bacteria is incomplete. In silico analysis using TestPrime 1.0 (Klindworth *et al.* 2013) against the Silva database, release 132 (www.arb-silva.de), showed 75% overall coverage of Bacteria with varying representation of the phyla, e.g. 88% Proteobacteria, 81.9% Firmicutes, 93.6% Bacteroidetes, but only 56.2% Actinobacteria, 20.5% Acidobacteria, and 17.5% Verrucomicrobia. Therefore, the results can be used as a proxy of bacterial abundance when comparing between samples, but not to compare with qPCR quantification of individual bacterial phyla or lower taxonomic units using group-specific primers.

2.6 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in R v.3.1.3 software (R Development Core Team, 2017). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine differences between the initial parameters of litter plant species and between forest sites for each litter species and microbial group. Three-way ANOVA was also used to test the effects of forest sites (S), litter species (L) and drought treatment (D) on litter mass loss and microbial abundance (total bacteria (B), actinobacteria (A), fungi (F) and the respective B/F and A/F ratios, followed by

post-hoc Tukey tests. Microbial abundance was log-transformed to fit the assumption of normality and homoscedasticity.

3. Results

3.1 Initial litter composition

Initial litter characteristics varied significantly among species (Table 2, One-way ANOVA, $P < 0.05$). *Q. ilex* showed a significantly higher N (9.62 mg g^{-1}) and P (3.48 mg g^{-1}) contents than the two other species, particularly *P. halepensis* (5.36 mg g^{-1} and 1.56 mg g^{-1}), which resulted in a lowest C/N and C/P ratio for *Q. ilex* and highest ratios for *P. halepensis* (Table 2). Regarding the cations, *Q. pubescens* showed significantly higher content of Ca (32.90 mg g^{-1}) and Mg (2.83 mg g^{-1}), and lower content of K (0.84 mg g^{-1}) and Na (0.04 mg g^{-1}) than the two other species. Concerning the structural compounds of the leaves, *Q. ilex* had higher lignin (337.38 mg g^{-1}) and cellulose content (204.99 mg g^{-1}) than the two other species. Finally, *Q. pubescens* showed higher total phenolics content compared to *Q. ilex*, and the highest specific leaf area (SLA) (133.13 mg g^{-1}) and water holding capacity (WHC) (146.90 mg g^{-1}). *P. halepensis* showed higher water-soluble compounds (WSC) (340.02 mg g^{-1}), and lower WHC (113.89 mg g^{-1}).

3.2 Litter mass loss

After 357 days of decomposition, litter mass loss was statistically different among sites and plant species with interaction effects (Table 3). On average, significantly higher mass loss occurred at *Q. pubescens* forest (36.94%) in comparison with *Q. ilex* forest

(33.88%) and *P. halepensis* forest (33.80%). Regarding the litter, *P. halepensis* (36.81%) and *Q. ilex* (35.07%) lost significantly higher mass than *Q. pubescens* (32.72%). Concerning interactions, *P. halepensis* lost most of its mass at *Q. pubescens* and *P. halepensis* forests compared to the *Q. ilex* forest (Fig. 1a). No significant effect of rain exclusion was found on the litter mass loss (Table 3).

3.3 Microbial abundance and structure

Abundances of actinobacteria, total bacteria and fungi differed significantly according to forest sites, when control and amplified drought conditions were combined (Table 3, Fig. 1). The highest abundances of all microbial groups were at *P. halepensis* forest and lowest at *Q. ilex* forest. However, it differed according to plant litter species producing a site x species interaction effect (Table 3; Fig. 1). Actinobacteria and total bacteria had the highest abundance in *Q. ilex* litter and lowest in *P. halepensis* at *Q. ilex* and *P. halepensis* forests, respectively (Fig. 1b, c). Roughly, higher abundance of fungi was observed in *P. halepensis* litter at *Q. pubescens* forest, *Q. ilex* litter at *Q. ilex* forest and *Q. pubescens* litter at *P. halepensis* forest (Fig. 1d). Regarding the actinobacteria to fungi (A/F) ratio, it was significantly higher in *Q. pubescens* and *Q. ilex* litter and lower in *P. halepensis* litter at *Q. pubescens* and *Q. ilex* forests (Fig. 1e). Total bacteria to fungi (B/F) ratio was significantly higher in *Q. ilex* litter and lowest in *P. halepensis* litter, at *Q. ilex* and *P. halepensis* forests (Fig. 1f).

Overall, there was a significant increase of fungal abundance and a decrease of A/F ratio under amplified drought (AD) in comparison with natural conditions (Table 3). No main effect of drought conditions was observed for actinobacteria, total bacteria, and bacteria to fungi (B/F) ratio (Table 3). However, an interaction effect was observed between site and drought conditions for total bacteria, fungi and total bacteria to fungi ratio (Table 3; see

Supplementary Table 1). Thus, we observed a significant increase of total bacterial (Fig. 2a) and fungal abundance (Fig. 2b) under AD conditions at the *P. halepensis* forest, and a decrease of B/F ratio in *Q. pubescens* forest (Fig. 2c).

4. Discussion

In this study, we evaluated how reduction of rainfall and change in plant species may affect belowground microbial community's abundance and structure and consequently the litter decomposition process in three dominant Mediterranean forests of southern France. Our findings are that i) actinobacteria and total bacteria were more affected by changes of plant species than by the decrease of water availability; ii) fungi were positively impacted by the reduction of rainfall and iii) the future arrival of *P. halepensis* litter to *Q. pubescens* and *Q. ilex* forest and rainfall reduction could alter the relative proportion of fungi and bacteria in the community hence having implication in microbial decomposers activity.

4.1 Effects of litter quality on microbial communities and mass loss

Our results showed the importance of leaf litter initial litter with respect to microbial composition and litter mass loss dynamics. We observed higher abundance of actinobacteria and total bacteria in oak litter than in pine. This is in line with previous studies, which showed a preference of bacteria for high quality litter (i.e. low C/N, C/P, lignin: P and lignin/N ratio) (Hodge, Robinson and Fitter 2000 ; De Boer *et al.* 2005 ; Romaní *et al.* 2006). Both oaks *Q. pubescens* and *Q. ilex* are characterized by high N and P content, which are efficiently utilized by bacterial enzymes (Romani *et al.* 2006) and then are consistently considered key factors in litter decomposition and good predictors of litter mass loss (Moro and Domingo 2000; Garcia-Pausas, Casals and Romanya 2004; Güsewell and Gessner 2009). However, our results

only partially corroborate with this by showing that *Q. ilex* and *P. halepensis* litter had higher mass loss than *Q. pubescens*. That is possibly due to higher initial content of total phenolics determined in *Q. pubescens* compared to *Q. ilex*, which are known to inhibit litter microbial communities and N mineralization, during the early stage of decomposition (Souto, Chiapusio and Pellissier 2000 ; Lambers 1993; Ormeño *et al.* 2006 ; Chomel *et al.* 2014). This may explain the lower mass loss of its litter in comparison with *Q. ilex* and *P. halepensis*. The high mass loss of *P. halepensis* litter may be also explained by the leaching of high content of water-soluble compounds (WSC) (Tripathi and Singh 1992 ; Kaushal *et al.* 2012) and high Na content (Gressel *et al.* 1995), which both are known to positive correlated litter decay. Gressel *et al.* (1995) observed that salt accumulation in *P. halepensis* needles enhanced their breakdown compared to *Q. coccifera* leaves in a typical Mediterranean mixed woodland.

4.2 Effects of litter-site interaction on litter decomposition processes

The influence of plant species on litter decomposition varied according to the forest sites as shown by strong litter-site interaction. At *Q. pubescens* forest, we observed the highest abundance of fungi associated with *P. halepensis* litter, associated to a reduction of the A/F ratio. This is consistent with the findings by Hodge *et al.* (2000) and Wardle *et al.* (2004) who showed that fungi are favoured by litter with high C/N ratio. In addition, fungi are rather considered specialists and are more equipped enzymatically to assimilate recalcitrant material than more generalist bacteria (Møller, Miller and Kjøller 1999; Romani *et al.* 2006). The high abundance of total bacteria observed in *P. halepensis* litter may be explained by the synergistic relationship between bacteria and fungi because bacteria may benefit by the presence of fungi, which may provide them resources that bacteria were not able to acquire on their own (Romani *et al.* 2006). At *Q. ilex* forest, microorganisms were more numerous with

Q. ilex litter in comparison with the two other species, which suggests the high specificity of decomposers for decomposing the autochthonous litter in this forest (Ayres *et al.* 2009). However, this difference in microbial abundance did not lead to a significant difference in mass loss of *Q. ilex* litter in its own forest compared to the two other forests. This may due to the presence of decomposers able to use different sources of carbon. Decomposers can also develop preferences for some resources they are not used to (St John *et al.*, 2011). Taking into account the three litter species, our results showed that *P. halepensis* forest had generally higher microbial abundance (actinobacteria, total bacteria and fungi) than other sites, possibly because this is the only mixed woodland out of the three studied forests and it was demonstrated that an increase of plant diversity promotes litter microbial growth (Chapman and Newman 2010 ; Santonja *et al.* 2017). Indeed, litter dissimilarity may decrease resource competition between functional groups and thus increase the abundance, activity, and hence efficiency of decomposers (Barbe *et al.* 2017).

4.3 Effects of rainfall reduction on litter mass loss and microbial community

Contrary to our expectations, amplified drought conditions (AD) had no effect on litter mass loss after one year of decomposition. This may be explained by the extremely dry year during which the study was performed (Saunier *et al.* 2018). Actinobacteria were not directly affected by AD conditions, contrary to previous studies (Bouskill *et al.* 2013 ; Felsmann *et al.* 2015). Nevertheless, a reduction in the A/F ratio was observed, probably due to the positive effect of AD conditions on fungal abundance. The increase of fungal abundance under drier conditions was also observed in other Mediterranean forests (Hawkes *et al.* 2011; Sherman, Sternberg and Steinberger 2012 ; Allison *et al.* 2013 ; Alster *et al.* 2013). It is well recognized that fungi are more resistant to drought than bacteria (De Vries and Shade 2013 ;

Guhr *et al.* 2015) and might get competitive advantage over bacteria during dry periods (Six
 2012 ; Yueste *et al.* 2011). Moreover, the expansion of fungal hyphae reported by some
 studies under drought periods (Allison *et al.* 2013 ; Zeglin *et al.* 2013) may result from the
 reduction of predatory pressure (i.e. grazers activity or number) under water stressed
 conditions (Davet 2004 ; Bapiri, Bååth and Rousk 2010 ; Barnard, Osborne and Firestone
 2013). The observed decrease of the A/F ratio under AD conditions in *Q. pubescens* litter was
 possibly related to the persistence of phenolics due to the decrease of leaching processes
 under AD conditions, which delayed microbial colonization by decomposers in that litter
 (Chomel *et al.* 2014). Contrary to fungi, total bacteria were not affected by changes in drought
 conditions. Bacterial biomass stability under drought was also observed by Hartmann (2017),
 and it was explained by independence of bacteria on water film for dispersal
 (Mohammadipanah and Wink 2016). Nevertheless, those results varied according to forest
 site and litter type. For example, in the *P. halepensis* forest an increase of total bacteria
 biomass was observed under AD conditions, which may be explained by i) natural selection
 of the most drought-tolerant bacterial groups (Barnard, Osborne and Firestone 2013) and/or ii)
 bacteria resilience to drought resulting from their predominating r-selected life strategy,
 which enables rapid recovery after a period of disturbance (i.e. drought) (Barnard, Osborne
 and Firestone 2013; Meisner, Rousk and Bååth 2015).

5. Conclusions

Our results showed that microbial litter decomposers respond differently to
 intensification of water stress conditions in the Mediterranean forests. Actinobacteria and total
 bacteria were more negatively affected by plant litter changes (*Q. ilex* and *Q. pubescens*
 higher than *P. halepensis*), than by the direct rainfall reduction. In contrary, fungal

communities seemed to be more tolerant and even favored to water limitation. Also, the potential resilience of bacterial communities was proposed, while fungal response seemed to reflect a higher degree of adaptation to decreased water availability. Finally, the arrival of *P. halepensis* litter to a *Q. pubescens* and *Q. ilex* forest may change litter microbial communities, leading to a decrease of A/F and B/F ratios and promoting fungi and certain groups of bacteria, which are more capable of using complex carbon sources. Therefore, the intensification of drought conditions in Mediterranean forest may introduce a fungi dominated community over bacteria, by either the capability of degrading more recalcitrant litter or/and tolerance to future climate conditions.

6. Funding

This research was financially supported by the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR) with the project SecPriMe2 (ANR-12-BSV7-0016-01), the BioDivMeX Mistrals program and partially also by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, European Regional Development Fund-Project No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000845 and grant No. LTC17075 and Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic, institutional support MZE-RO0418.

This work is a contribution to Labex OT-Med (n° ANR-11-LABX-0061) and has received funding from Excellence Initiative of Aix-Marseille University - A*MIDEX, a French "Investissements d'Avenir" programme". We also thank the French Region PACA and Europe for the PhD grant attributed to Susana Pereira.

7. Acknowledgments

We thank all the people that contributed in the set-up of the experiment and in the field campaigns especially to Sylvie Dupouyet, Jean-Philippe Orts and Jean-Marc Ourcival. We thank to Anne Haguénauer from Endoume Marine Station (Marseille, France) for her efficient orientation in the lab and whole team of CRI - Crop Research Institute (Prague, Czech Republic) - for their unconditional help and support. The three experimental sites were annually supported by the research infrastructure AnaEE-France (ANR-11-INBS-0001) and by Allenvi through the SOERE F-ORE-T. We acknowledge the use of the COOPERATE database (Reiter IM, Castagnoli G, Rotureau A 2015 "Cooperate database", <https://cooperate.obs-hp.fr/db>) run by the CNRS Downloaded from FR3098 ECCOREV and OSU-Pythéas with support from the Labex OT-Med . We also thank the French Region PACA and Europe for the PhD grant attributed to Susana Pereira.

8. References

- Acosta-Martinez V, Cotton J, Gardner T *et al.* Predominant bacterial and fungal assemblages in agricultural soils during a record drought/heat wave and linkages to enzyme activities of biogeochemical cycling. *Applied soil ecology* 2014;**84**:69–82.
- Allison SD, Lu Y, Weihe C *et al.* Microbial abundance and composition influence litter decomposition response to environmental change. *Ecology* 2013;**94**:714–25.
- Alster CJ, German DP, Lu Y *et al.* Microbial enzymatic responses to drought and to nitrogen addition in a southern California grassland. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 2013;**64**:68–79.

430 Anandan R, Dharumadurai D, Manogaran GP. An introduction to actinobacteria.
 431 *Actinobacteria-Basics and Biotechnological Applications*. InTech, 2016.

432 Ayres E, Steltzer H, Berg S *et al*. Soil biota accelerate decomposition in high-elevation
 433 forests by specializing in the breakdown of litter produced by the plant species above
 434 them. *Journal of Ecology* 2009;**97**:901–12.

435 Bapiri A, Bååth E, Rousk J. Drying–rewetting cycles affect fungal and bacterial growth
 436 differently in an arable soil. *Microbial ecology* 2010;**60**:419–28.

437 Barbero M, Bonin G, Loisel R *et al*. Changes and disturbances of forest ecosystems caused by
 438 human activities in the western part of the mediterranean basin. *Vegetatio* 1990, DOI:
 439 10.1007/BF00042952.

440 Barbe, L., Jung, V., Prinzing, A., *et al*. Functionally dissimilar neighbors accelerate litter
 441 decomposition in two grass species. *New Phytologist* 2017;**214**: 1092-1102.

442 Barnard RL, Osborne CA, Firestone MK. Responses of soil bacterial and fungal communities
 443 to extreme desiccation and rewetting. *The ISME journal* 2013;**7**:2229.

444 Bertrand R, Lenoir J, Piedallu C *et al*. Changes in plant community composition lag behind
 445 climate warming in lowland forests. *Nature* 2011;**479**:517.

446 De Boer W, Folman LB, Summerbell RC *et al*. Living in a fungal world: Impact of fungi on
 447 soil bacterial niche development. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 2005, DOI:
 448 10.1016/j.femsre.2004.11.005.

449 Bouskill NJ, Lim HC, Borglin S *et al*. Pre-exposure to drought increases the resistance of
 450 tropical forest soil bacterial communities to extended drought. *The ISME journal*
 451 2013;**7**:384.

452 Chapman SK, Newman GS. Biodiversity at the plant–soil interface: microbial abundance and
 453 community structure respond to litter mixing. *Oecologia* 2010;**162**:763–9.

454 Chomel M, Fernandez C, Bousquet M, Mlou A *et al.* Secondary metabolites of *Pinus*
 455 *halepensis* alter decomposer organisms and litter decomposition during afforestation of
 456 abandoned agricultural zones. *Journal of Ecology* 2014;**102**:411–24.

457 Cleveland CC, Reed SC, Keller AB *et al.* Litter quality versus soil microbial community
 458 controls over decomposition: a quantitative analysis. *Oecologia* 2014;**174**:283–94.

459 Davet P. *Microbial Ecology of Soil and Plant Growth*. CRC Press, 2004.

460 Dorn-In S, Bassitta R, Schwaiger K *et al.* Specific amplification of bacterial DNA by
 461 optimized so-called universal bacterial primers in samples rich of plant DNA. *Journal of*
 462 *microbiological methods* 2015;**113**:50–6.

463 Felsmann K, Baudis M, Gimbel K *et al.* Soil Bacterial Community Structure Responses to
 464 Precipitation Reduction and Forest Management in Forest Ecosystems across Germany.
 465 Balcazar JL (ed.). *PLOS ONE* 2015;**10**:e0122539.

466 Fierer N, Bradford MA, Jackson RB. Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria.
 467 *Ecology* 2007;**88**:1354–64.

468 Folin O, Denis W. A. colorimetric method for the determination of phenols (and phenol
 469 derivatives) in urine. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 1915; 22.2: 305-308.

470 Fortunel C, Garnier E, Joffre R *et al.* Leaf traits capture the effects of land use changes and
 471 climate on litter decomposability of grasslands across Europe. *Ecology* 2009, DOI:
 472 10.1890/08-0418.1.

473 Fredriksson NJ, Hermansson M, Wiln B-M. The choice of PCR primers has great impact on

474 assessments of bacterial community diversity and dynamics in a wastewater treatment
 475 plant. *PLoS One* 2013;**8**:e76431.

476 Garcia-Pausas J, Casals P, Romanya J. Litter decomposition and faunal activity in
 477 Mediterranean forest soils: effects of N content and the moss layer. *Soil Biology and*
 478 *Biochemistry* 2004;**36**:989–97.

479 Garcia-Pausas J, Paterson E. Microbial community abundance and structure are determinants
 480 of soil organic matter mineralisation in the presence of labile carbon. *Soil Biology and*
 481 *Biochemistry* 2011;**43**:1705–13.

482 Gessner MO, Swan CM, Dang CK *et al.* Diversity meets decomposition. *Trends in ecology &*
 483 *evolution* 2010;**25**:372–80.

484 Gholz HL, Wedin DA, Smitherman SM *et al.* Long-term dynamics of pine and hardwood
 485 litter in contrasting environments: Toward a global model of decomposition. *Global*
 486 *Change Biology* 2000, DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00349.x.

487 Giorgi F, Lionello P. Climate change projections for the Mediterranean region. *Global and*
 488 *Planetary Change* 2008;**63**:90–104.

489 Gressel N, Inbar Y, Singer A *et al.* Chemical and spectroscopic properties of leaf litter and
 490 decomposed organic matter in the Carmel Range, Israel. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*
 491 1995;**27**:23–31.

492 Guhr A, Borken W, Spohn M *et al.* Redistribution of soil water by a saprotrophic fungus
 493 enhances carbon mineralization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
 494 2015;**112**:14647–51.

495 Guiot J, Cramer W. Climate change: The 2015 Paris Agreement thresholds and Mediterranean

496 basin ecosystems. *Science* 2016;**354**:465–8.

497 Güsewell S, Gessner MO. N: P ratios influence litter decomposition and colonization by fungi
498 and bacteria in microcosms. *Functional Ecology* 2009;**23**:211–9.

499 Hartmann M, Brunner I, Hagedorn F *et al.* A decade of irrigation transforms the soil
500 microbiome of a semi-arid pine forest. *Molecular ecology* 2017;**26**:1190–206.

501 Hättenschwiler S, Jørgensen HB. Carbon quality rather than stoichiometry controls litter
502 decomposition in a tropical rain forest. *Journal of Ecology* 2010;**98**:754–63.

503 Hawkes C V, Kivlin SN, Rocca JD *et al.* Fungal community responses to precipitation.
504 *Global Change Biology* 2011;**17**:1637–45.

505 Hertig E, Trambly Y. Regional downscaling of Mediterranean droughts under past and
506 future climatic conditions. *Global and Planetary Change* 2017;**151**:36–48.

507 Hodge A, Robinson D, Fitter A. Are microorganisms more effective than plants at competing
508 for nitrogen? *Trends in plant science* 2000;**5**:304–8.

509 IPCC. *Climate Change 2013 - The Physical Science Basis*. Intergovernmental Panel on
510 Climate Change (ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014.

511 Kaushal R, Verma KS, Chaturvedi OP *et al.* Leaf litter decomposition and nutrient dynamics
512 in four multipurpose tree species. *Range Management and Agroforestry* 2012;**33**:20–7.

513 Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T *et al.* Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene
514 PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies.
515 *Nucleic acids research* 2013;**41**:e1–e1.

516 Lambers H. Rising CO₂, secondary plant metabolism, plant-herbivore interactions and litter

517 decomposition. In: Rozema J., Lambers H, Van de Geijn SC, Cambridge ML (eds) CO₂
518 and biosphere. *Advances in vegetation science*, vol 14. Springer, Dordrecht, 1993: 263-
519 271.

520

521 Lewin GR, Carlos C, Chevrette MG *et al.* Evolution and ecology of Actinobacteria and their
522 bioenergy applications. *Annual review of microbiology* 2016;**70**:235–54.

523 Limousin JM, Rambal S, Ourcival JM, Joffre R. Modelling rainfall interception in a
524 Mediterranean Quercus ilex ecosystem: lesson from a throughfall exclusion
525 experiment. *Journal of Hydrology* 2008;**357**(1-2): 57-66.

526 Lunghini D, Granito VM, Di Lonardo DP *et al.* Fungal diversity of saprotrophic litter fungi in
527 a Mediterranean maquis environment. *Mycologia* 2013;**105**:1499–515.

528 Manzoni S, Schimel JP, Porporato A. Responses of soil microbial communities to water
529 stress: Results from a meta-analysis. *Ecology* 2012, DOI: 10.1890/11-0026.1.

530 McCarthy AJ, Williams ST. Actinomycetes as agents of biodegradation in the environment: a
531 review. *Gene* 1992;**115**:189–92.

532 Meisner A, Rousk J, Bååth E. Prolonged drought changes the bacterial growth response to
533 rewetting. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 2015;**88**:314–22.

534 Mohammadipanah F, Wink J. Actinobacteria from arid and desert habitats: diversity and
535 biological activity. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2016;**6**:1541.

536 Møller J, Miller M, Kjølner A. Fungal–bacterial interaction on beech leaves: influence on
537 decomposition and dissolved organic carbon quality. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*
538 1999;**31**:367–74.

539 Morales P, Hickler T, Rowell DP *et al.* Changes in European ecosystem productivity and
 540 carbon balance driven by regional climate model output. *Global Change Biology* 2007,
 541 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01289.x.

542 Moro MJ, Domingo F. Litter decomposition in four woody species in a Mediterranean
 543 climate: Weight loss, N and P dynamics. *Annals of Botany* 2000, DOI:
 544 10.1006/anbo.2000.1269.

545 Ogaya R, Peñuelas J. Tree growth, mortality, and above-ground biomass accumulation in a
 546 holm oak forest under a five-year experimental field drought. *Plant Ecology* 2007, DOI:
 547 10.1007/s11258-006-9184-6.

548 Okoro CK, Brown R, Jones AL *et al.* Diversity of culturable actinomycetes in hyper-arid soils
 549 of the Atacama Desert, Chile. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 2009;**95**:121–33.

550 Ormeño E, Baldy V, Ballini C *et al.* Effects of environmental factors and leaf chemistry on
 551 leaf litter colonization by fungi in a Mediterranean shrubland. *Pedobiologia* 2006;**50**:1–
 552 10.

553 Peñuelas J, Estiarte M, Kimball BA *et al.* Variety of responses of plant phenolic concentration
 554 to CO₂ enrichment. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 1996, DOI: 10.1093/jxb/47.9.1463.

555 Peñuelas J, Rico L, Ogaya R *et al.* Summer season and long-term drought increase the
 556 richness of bacteria and fungi in the foliar phyllosphere of *Quercus ilex* in a mixed
 557 Mediterranean forest. *Plant Biology* 2012;**14**:565–75.

558 Pfeiffer S, Pastar M, Mitter B *et al.* Improved group-specific primers based on the full SILVA
 559 16S rRNA gene reference database. *Environmental Microbiology* 2014;**16**:2389–407.

560 Rastogi G, Tech JJ, Coaker GL *et al.* A PCR-based toolbox for the culture-independent

561 quantification of total bacterial abundances in plant environments. *Journal of*
562 *Microbiological Methods* 2010;**83**:127–32.

563 Romani AM, Fischer H, Mille-Lindblom C *et al.* Interactions of bacteria and fungi on
564 decomposing litter: Differential extracellular enzyme activities. *Ecology* 2006, DOI:
565 10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[2559:IOBAFO]2.0.CO;2.

566 Sagova-Mareckova M, Omelka M, Cermak L *et al.* Microbial Communities Show Parallels at
567 Sites with Distinct Litter and Soil Characteristics. *Applied and Environmental*
568 *Microbiology* 2011;**77**:7560–7.

569 Santonja M, Baldy V, Fernandez C *et al.* Potential Shift in Plant Communities with Climate
570 Change: Outcome on Litter Decomposition and Nutrient Release in a Mediterranean Oak
571 Forest. *Ecosystems* 2015;**18**:1253–68.

572 Santonja M, Fernandez C, Gauquelin T, Baldy V. *Climate change effects on litter*
573 *decomposition: intensive drought leads to a strong decrease of litter mixture*
574 *interactions. Plant and Soil* 2015;**393**(1-2):69–8,doi:10.1007/s11104-015-2471-z.

575 Santonja M, Rancon A, Fromin N *et al.* Plant litter diversity increases microbial abundance,
576 fungal diversity, and carbon and nitrogen cycling in a Mediterranean shrubland. *Soil*
577 *Biology and Biochemistry* 2017, DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.04.006.

578 Saunier A, Ormeño E, Havaux M *et al.* Resistance of native oak to recurrent drought
579 conditions simulating predicted climatic changes in the Mediterranean region. *Plant, Cell*
580 *& Environment* 2018;**41**:2299–312.

581 Schimel J, Balser TC, Wallenstein M. Microbial stress-response physiology and its
582 implications for ecosystem function. *Ecology* 2007;**88**:1386–94.

583 Sherman C, Sternberg M, Steinberger Y. Effects of climate change on soil respiration and
584 carbon processing in Mediterranean and semi-arid regions: An experimental approach.
585 *European Journal of Soil Biology* 2012, DOI: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.06.001.

586 Six J. Fungal friends against drought. *Nature Climate Change* 2012, DOI:
587 10.1038/nclimate1392.

588 Souto XC, Chiapusio G, Pellissier F. Relationships between phenolics and soil
589 microorganisms in spruce forests: Significance for natural regeneration. *Journal of*
590 *Chemical Ecology*. 2000.

591 St. John MG, Orwin KH, Dickie IA. No “home” versus “away” effects of de- composition
592 found in a grassland–forest reciprocal litter transplant study. *Soil Biology and*
593 *Biochemistry* 2011; **43**:1482–1489.

594 Strickland MS, Lauber C, Fierer N *et al.* Testing the functional significance of microbial
595 community composition. *Ecology* 2009, DOI: 10.1890/08-0296.1.

596 Swift MJ, Heal OW, Anderson JM, et al. *Decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems*. Univ of
597 California Press, 1979.

598 Tripathi SK, Singh KP. Nutrient immobilization and release patterns during plant
599 decomposition in a dry tropical bamboo savanna, India. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*
600 1992, DOI: 10.1007/BF00346060.

601 Vainio EJ, Hantula J. Direct analysis of wood-inhabiting fungi using denaturing gradient gel
602 electrophoresis of amplified ribosomal DNA. *Mycological Research* 2000;**104**:927–36.

603 Van Soest PJ, Wine RH. Use of detergents in the analysis of fibrous feeds: IV. Determination
604 of plant cell-wall constituents. *Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists*

1967; 50: 50-55.

De Vries FT, Shade A. Controls on soil microbial community stability under climate change.

Frontiers in microbiology 2013;**4**:265.

Wardle DA, Bardgett RD, Klironomos JN *et al.* Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. *Science* 2004, DOI: 10.1126/science.1094875.

Wardle DA, Putten WH van der. Biodiversity, ecosystem functioning and above-ground-below-ground linkages. *Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning: Synthesis and Perspectives*. 2002.

Xu X, Thornton PE, Post WM. A global analysis of soil microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in terrestrial ecosystems. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 2013, DOI: 10.1111/geb.12029.

Yuste JC, Peñuelas J, Estiarte M *et al.* Drought-resistant fungi control soil organic matter decomposition and its response to temperature. *Global Change Biology* 2011;**17**:1475–86.

Zeglin LH, Bottomley PJ, Jumpponen A *et al.* Altered precipitation regime affects the function and composition of soil microbial communities on multiple time scales. *Ecology* 2013;**94**:2334–45.

Fig. 1 – Leaf litter mass loss (a) and associated leaf litter microbial decomposers abundances (actinobacteria (b), bacteria (c), fungi (d)), actinobacteria to fungi (e) and bacteria to fungi (f) ratios, for the three forests and litter species (control and amplified drought conditions were combined). Values are mean $\pm$ SE (n=14). Different letters denote significant differences between litter species within forest sites (e.g. both drought conditions combined), with $a > b > c$. DM = litter dry mass. *Qp* = *Quercus pubescens*, *Qi* = *Quercus ilex*, *Ph* = *Pinus halepensis*.

Fig. 2 – Effects of the two drought conditions (ND= natural drought: AD= amplified drought) on the total bacterial (a), fungal (b) abundances and bacteria to fungi ratio (c). Barplots are mean $\pm$ SE. Significant effects are indicated with respective symbols * for $P < 0.05$ and ** for $P < 0.01$. *Qp*= *Quercus pubescens*, *Qi*= *Quercus ilex*, *Ph*= *Pinus halepensis*.

Fig.1

Fig.2

Table 1 - Main characteristics of the three forest sites selected for this study. MAT= Mean Annual Temperature; MAP= Mean Annual Precipitation (for the study period: December 2014 to December 2015).

Forests	<i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd.	<i>Quercus ilex</i> L.	Mixed <i>Pinus halepensis</i> Mill.
Sites	Oak Observatory at the Observatoire de Haute Provence	Puéchabon	Font-Blanche
Location	43° 56' 115" N, 050 42' 642" E	43° 44' 29"N, 3°35' 45"E	43°14'27" N, 5°40'45" E
Altitude a.s.l. (m)	650	270	425
MAT (°C)	12.25	13.71	14.01
MAP (mm)	609	794	632
Soil type	pierric calcosol	rhodo-chromic luvisol	leptosol
Soil texture	clay	clay loam	clay
Soil pH	6.76	6.6	6.8
Surface rocks cover (%)	23	75	50
Dominant tree species	<i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd.	<i>Quercus ilex</i> L.	mixed <i>Pinus halepensis</i> Mill. / <i>Quercus ilex</i> L.
Other dominant plant species	<i>Acer monspessulanum</i> L	<i>Buxus sempervirens</i> L.	<i>Quercus coccifera</i> L.
	<i>Cotinus coggygria</i> Scop.	<i>Phyllirea latifolia</i> L.	<i>Phyllirea latifolia</i> L.
		<i>Pistacia terebinthus</i> L.	
		<i>Juniperus oxycedrus</i> L.	
Tree density (stems/ ha)	3503	4500	3368
Forest structure	even-age (70 years)	even-age (74 years)	uneven-age (61 years)
Rain exclusion system dimensions (m ²)	300	140	625
Rain exclusion (%)	33	30	30

Table 2 - Initial leaf litter quality of each litter species: *Quercus pubescens*, *Quercus ilex* and *Pinus halepensis*. Values are mean $\pm$ SE (n=5). One-way ANOVA was performed to test differences between initial litter species. F-Ratio are indicated and P-values with the respective symbols * for $P < 0.05$, ** for $P < 0.01$, and *** for $P < 0.001$. Different letters denote significant differences among species with $a > b > c$.

	df	<i>Quercus pubescens</i>		<i>Quercus ilex</i>		<i>Pinus halepensis</i>		F-ratio	
Carbon	2	462.6 $\pm$ 2.65	c	478.1 $\pm$ 1.4	b	516.1 $\pm$ 1.6	a	198.80	***
Nitrogen	2	6.4 $\pm$ 0.2	b	9.6 $\pm$ 0.2	a	5.4 $\pm$ 0.1	c	146.30	***
Phosphorus	2	1.9 $\pm$ 0.1	b	3.5 $\pm$ 0.2	a	1.6 $\pm$ 0.1	b	64.6	***
Calcium	2	32.9 $\pm$ 0.8	a	25.1 $\pm$ 0.6	b	18.4 $\pm$ 0.2	c	168.1	***
Potassium	2	0.8 $\pm$ 0.01	c	1.8 $\pm$ 0.1	a	0.95 $\pm$ 0.01	b	370.7	***
Magnesium	2	2.8 $\pm$ 0.2	a	1.4 $\pm$ 0.01	b	1.5 $\pm$ 0.02	c	104.8	***
Sodium	2	0.04 $\pm$ 0.00	b	0.11 $\pm$ 0.00	a	0.11 $\pm$ 0.00	a	149.6	***
Total phenolics	2	40.8 $\pm$ 2.6	a	32.9 $\pm$ 1.58	b	38.6 $\pm$ 1.2	ab	4.7	*
Lignin	2	273.3 $\pm$ 9.0	b	337.4 $\pm$ 5.5	a	302.6 $\pm$ 10.6	b	13.8	***
Cellulose	2	159.1 $\pm$ 8.0	b	205 $\pm$ 4.2	a	150.8 $\pm$ 12.9	b	10.3	**
Hemicellulose	2	274.2 $\pm$ 22.5	a	270.0 $\pm$ 16.2	ab	206.6 $\pm$ 10.4	b	4.7	*
Water-soluble compounds	2	293.4 $\pm$ 12.1	b	187.6 $\pm$ 10.2	c	340.0 $\pm$ 7.1	a	60.9	***
Specific leaf area	2	133.1 $\pm$ 0.4	a	51.5 $\pm$ 0.9	c	83.5 $\pm$ 2.2	b	690.7	***
Water holding capacity	2	146.9 $\pm$ 1.0	a	137.2 $\pm$ 1.0	b	113.9 $\pm$ 1.03	c	285.5	***

Table 3 - ANOVA table of F-Ratio and P-values for the effects of forest sites (S), litter species (L) and drought conditions (D) on the mass loss, microbial biomass (actinobacteria, bacteria and fungi) and actinobacteria/ fungi (A/F) and bacteria/ fungi (B/F) ratios.

	<i>df</i>	Mass loss		Actino (A)		Bacteria (B)		Fungi (F)		A/F		B/F	
		<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
Sites (S)	2	8.81	0.0008	33.92	<0.0001	8.86	0.0003	30.38	<0.0001	6.11	0.0030	11.78	<0.0001
Litter species (L)	2	8.11	0.0022	16.31	<0.0001	4.58	0.0122	2.41	0.0945	53.55	<0.0001	11.34	<0.0001
Drought (D)	1	3.70	0.0571	0.09	0.7602	1.91	0.1688	6.20	0.0143	12.08	0.0007	1.17	0.2828
SxL	4	7.03	<0.0001	5.69	0.0003	20.56	<0.0001	21.01	<0.0001	7.67	<0.0001	11.49	<0.0001
SxD	2	0.43	0.6488	3.00	0.0539	7.25	0.0011	3.82	0.0251	0.18	0.8328	5.43	0.0056
LxD	2	0.14	0.8724	3.83	0.0246	1.36	0.2593	1.12	0.3313	3.34	0.0392	0.11	0.8986
SxLxD	4	0.10	0.9839	1.11	0.3540	2.72	0.0335	1.45	0.2229	2.12	0.0836	1.17	0.3295

Supplementary Table 1 - Summary table of microbial abundance (expressed as 10^{10} gene copy number g^{-1} litter dry mass) for each litter species at each forest site and drought condition (ND = Natural drought; AD = amplified drought). One-way ANOVAs were performed for differences among litter species per drought condition and forest site. F-Ratio are indicated and P-values with the respective symbols * for $P < 0.05$, ** for $P < 0.01$, and *** for $P < 0.001$. Different letters denote significant differences among litter species with $a > b$. T-tests was performed to test the effect of drought conditions for each species at each forest site. T-test are indicated and significant P-values ($P < 0.05$) are denoted in bold.

			Forest sites			F	P	
			<i>Q. pubescens</i>	<i>Q. ilex</i>	<i>P. halepensis</i>			
<i>Quercus pubescens</i>	Actinobacteria	ND	49.55 ± 17.89 ab	15.52 ± 4.23 b	74.75 ± 17.67 a	6.05	**	
		AD	17.27 ± 5.13 b	7.15 ± 2.94 b	85.62 ± 14.22 a	19.95	***	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	-2.1757 ns	-1.9232 ns	0.93659 ns			
	Bacteria	ND	11.86 ± 3.10 a	10.41 ± 2.76 a	24.58 ± 4.25 a	33.51	ns	
		AD	8.12 ± 1.92 b	6.73 ± 3.94 b	53.70 ± 8.17 a	19.54	***	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	-0.65657 ns	-1.3221 ns	2.7689 0.0176			
	Fungi	ND	0.30 ± 0.09 b	0.26 ± 0.05 b	1.08 ± 0.17 a	13.91	***	
		AD	0.33 ± 0.13 b	0.16 ± 0.05 b	2.26 ± 0.23 a	33.35	***	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	0.089708 ns	-1.559 ns	4.1807 0.0018			
	Ratio A/F	ND	171.78 ± 40.21 a	58.32 ± 10.71 b	71.30 ± 18.25 b	5.60	*	
		AD	62.77 ± 14.26 a	38.00 ± 5.39 a	37.91 ± 5.47 a	2.34	ns	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	-3.391 0.0054	-1.6586 ns	-1.5571 ns			
	Ratio B/F	ND	24.48 ± 4.57 a	48.18 ± 10.46 a	35.92 ± 6.42 a	1.91	ns	
		AD	32.56 ± 5.13 a	29.48 ± 7.56 a	23.59 ± 2.65 a	0.67	ns	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	-0.91979 ns	-0.83125 ns	0.16864 ns			
	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	Actinobacteria	ND	63.26 ± 18.05 a	30.64 ± 8.66 a	28.44 ± 6.39 a	0.94	ns
			AD	39.9 ± 10.89 ab	19.45 ± 5.14 b	50.03 ± 8.88 a	5.33	*
			<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	-0.47229 ns	-0.63645 ns	1.8596 ns		
Bacteria		ND	18.66 ± 12.96 a	35.92 ± 9.87 a	17.00 ± 2.31 a	0.01	ns	
		AD	7.27 ± 1.92 b	24.97 ± 5.84 b	55.01 ± 11.58 a	15.89	***	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	-0.56905 ns	-0.44153 ns	3.4846 0.0061			
Fungi		ND	0.47 ± 0.14 a	0.47 ± 0.12 a	0.39 ± 0.06 a	29.61	ns	
		AD	0.54 ± 0.09 a	0.41 ± 0.14 a	0.90 ± 0.17 a	4.00	*	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	0.9151 ns	-0.34763 ns	3.2994 0.0073			
Ratio A/F		ND	129.45 ± 22.32 a	63.52 ± 9.21 b	72.76 ± 11.76 b	38.21	*	
		AD	70.58 ± 12.05 a	53.67 ± 5.53 a	59.00 ± 6.49 a	0.83	ns	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	-2.2672 0.0445	-0.78997 ns	-0.45703 ns			
Ratio B/F		ND	29.55 ± 10.32 b	77.12 ± 15.33 a	46.96 ± 7.00 ab	7.28	**	
		AD	12.96 ± 2.31 b	73.53 ± 14.96 a	64.72 ± 12.05 a	27.71	***	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	-1.9048 ns	-0.19647 ns	1.2028 ns			
<i>Pinus halepensis</i>		Actinobacteria	ND	17.53 ± 5.56 a	5.57 ± 1.79 b	17.98 ± 4.8 a	5.73	*
			AD	27.18 ± 2.79 a	6.58 ± 1.44 b	23.74 ± 7.53 a	14.48	***
			<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	1.5554 ns	0.43757 ns	0.64576 ns		
	Bacteria	ND	32.19 ± 4.07 a	6.59 ± 1.86 b	5.77 ± 0.89 b	29.41	***	
		AD	19.02 ± 1.91 a	17.52 ± 3.94 a	10.85 ± 0.82 a	29.38	ns	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	-2.88 0.0185	2.7371 0.0228	2.5945 0.0291			
	Fungi	ND	1.01 ± 0.1 a	0.23 ± 0.05 b	0.41 ± 0.07 b	15.48	***	
		AD	1.47 ± 0.41 a	0.41 ± 0.07 b	0.59 ± 0.08 b	9.75	**	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	0.97788 ns	2.1384 ns	1.7302 ns			
	Ratio A/F	ND	17.56 ± 5.16 b	22.63 ± 1.88 ab	45.56 ± 9.66 a	7.50	**	
		AD	24.90 ± 5.37 a	16.79 ± 3.51 a	43.48 ± 13.61 a	30.13	ns	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	0.98631 ns	-1.4713 ns	-0.1249 ns			
	Ratio B/F	ND	32.42 ± 3.27 a	28.16 ± 3.91 ab	19.43 ± 6.12 b	4.24	*	
		AD	18.67 ± 5.31 b	44.85 ± 7.37 a	19.67 ± 3.29 b	6.13	**	
		<i>t-test</i> <i>p-value</i>	-2.2045 ns	2.0002 ns	0.034746 ns

706

707 **Supplementary Figure 1** – Ombrothermic diagrams at a) *Quercus pubescens* forest, b)
708 *Quercus ilex* forest and c) *Pinus halepensis* forest study sites between December 2014 to
709 December 2015. Bar represents the mean monthly precipitation (mm) in black (ND= natural
710 drought) and in white (AD = amplified drought). The curve represents the mean monthly

temperature (°C). At each forest site, drought period is indicated by the horizontal arrow and the percentage represents the proportion of excluded rain compared to the natural drought plot.

a)

b)

c)

Supplementary Figure 2 – Rain exclusion devices in the three forest studied (a) *Quercus pubescens* forest at O₃HP, (b) *Quercus ilex* at Puéchabon and (c) *Pinus halepensis* at Font-Blanche.

Supplementary Figure 3 - Litterbags experimental design: 1) leaf litter of the three tree species (*Quercus pubescens*, *Quercus ilex* and *Pinus halepensis*) coming from natural drought (ND) were redistributed and 2) placed in the three different forests (*Quercus pubescens* O₃HP, *Quercus ilex* Puéchabon and *Pinus halepensis* Font-Blanche forest, respectively), under natural drought (ND) and amplified drought (AD) conditions.