



**HAL**  
open science

## High-dose trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and clindamycin for *Staphylococcus aureus* endocarditis

Hervé Tissot-Dupont, Frederique Gouriet, Leopold Oliver, Matthieu Jamme, Jean-Paul Casalta, Marie-Therese Jimeno, Florent Arregle, Cecile Lavoute, Sandrine Hubert, Mary Philip, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Hervé Tissot-Dupont, Frederique Gouriet, Leopold Oliver, Matthieu Jamme, Jean-Paul Casalta, et al.. High-dose trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and clindamycin for *Staphylococcus aureus* endocarditis. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, Elsevier, 2019, 54 (2), pp.143-148. 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2019.06.006 . hal-02465226

HAL Id: hal-02465226

<https://hal-amu.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02465226>

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

## **High dose trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and clindamycin for *Staphylococcus aureus* endocarditis.**

Hervé Tissot-Dupont, MD, PhD<sup>1§</sup>; Frédérique Gouriet, MD, PhD<sup>1§</sup>; Leopold Oliver, MD<sup>3§</sup>;  
Matthieu Jamme, MD<sup>4</sup>; Jean-Paul Casalta, MD<sup>1</sup>; Marie-Thérèse Jimeno, MD<sup>5</sup>; Florent  
Arregle, MD<sup>2</sup>; Cécile Lavoute, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Sandrine Hubert, MD<sup>2</sup>; Mary Philip, MD<sup>2</sup>; Hélène  
Martel, MD<sup>2</sup>; Alberto Riberi, MD<sup>6</sup>; Gilbert Habib, MD, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Didier Raoult, MD, PhD<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Aix Marseille Univ., IRD, AP-HM, MEPHI, IHU Méditerranée Infection, 19-21 Boulevard  
Jean Moulin 13005 Marseille, France

<sup>2</sup> Service de Cardiologie, Hôpital de la Timone, 264 rue St Pierre, 13385 Marseille cedex 5,  
France

<sup>3</sup> USIC - SOS endocardites Fédération de cardiologie, CHU Henri Mondor, 51 avenue du  
Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94000 Créteil, France

<sup>4</sup> Urgences Néphrologiques et Transplantation Rénale, CHU Tenon, APHP, 4 rue de la Chine  
75020 Paris, France

<sup>5</sup> Service d'Information Médicale, Hôpital de la Timone, 264 rue St Pierre, 13385 Marseille  
cedex 5, France

<sup>6</sup> Service de Chirurgie Cardiaque, Hôpital de la Timone, 264 rue St Pierre, 13385 Marseille  
cedex 5, France

§: The three first authors have equally participated to this work

**Keywords:** Endocarditis, *Staphylococcus aureus*, oral route, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole,  
clindamycin, mortality

**Words count:** 2444

Running title: *S. aureus* endocarditis oral treatment

### **Funding**

This work was supported by the French State, managed by the “Agence Nationale pour la Recherche” including the "Programme d'Investissement d'Avenir" under the reference Méditerranée Infection 10-IAHU-03

**Conflicts of Interest:** none

**Ethical Committee:** 2019-004.

Corresponding author: **Didier RAOULT**

Tel +33 4 13 73 20 51

Fax + 33 4 13 73 20 52

Email: didier.raoult@gmail.com

Alternate corresponding author: **Hervé TISSOT-DUPONT**

Tel +33 4 13 73 20 51

Fax +33 4 13 73 20 52

Email: herve.tissot-dupont@mediterranee-infection.com

1 **ABSTRACT**

2

3 **Objective:** The mortality rate from *Staphylococcus aureus* endocarditis remains as high as  
4 20-30% despite better medical and surgical treatment. This study evaluates the efficiency and  
5 tolerance of a combination of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and clindamycin (T&C) +/-  
6 rifampicin and gentamicin, with rapid switch to oral T&C.

7 **Methods:** Before-after intervention study to compare the outcome of 170 control patients  
8 before the introduction of the T&C protocol (2001 to 2011) to 171 patients in the T&C group  
9 (2012 to 2016). All patients diagnosed as *S. aureus*-infective endocarditis, and referred to our  
10 center between 2001 and 2016 were included. Between 2001 and 2011, the patients were  
11 given a standardized antibiotic treatment: oxacillin or vancomycin, for 6 weeks, plus  
12 gentamicin for 5 days. Since February 2012, the antibiotic protocol includes high dose of  
13 T&C (intravenously, switched to oral at day 7). Rifampicin and gentamicin can be added (if  
14 blood culture positive after 48 hours or cardiac abscess).

15 **Results:** The two groups were slightly different. In intention to treat, the global mortality rate  
16 was lower in the T&C group (19.3% vs 30% -  $p=0.024$ ), as well as the in-hospital mortality  
17 (9.9% vs 18.2% -  $p=0.03$ ), and the 30-days mortality (7.1% vs 14.2% -  $p=0.05$ ). The mean  
18 duration of hospital stay alive was significantly shorter in the T&C group (30 vs 39 days -  
19  $p=0.005$ )

20 **Conclusions:** The management of *S. aureus* IE in our multidisciplinary team, using a rapid  
21 shift to oral antibiotic with T&C, shows promising results reducing length of hospital stay and  
22 the mortality rate.

23

24

25 **Abstract: 249 words**

## 26 **Introduction**

27           Despite better medical and surgical treatment, the hospital mortality rate from  
28 infective endocarditis (IE) is still as high as 20-50% [1–3] depending on the study.  
29 *Staphylococcus aureus* is now the most common cause of IE, around 26% of the cases [4] and  
30 Health care–associated IE is more frequent than community-acquired and intravenous drug  
31 user associated infection [5]. Patients with *S. aureus* IE present more aggressive forms [3]  
32 associated with higher rates of stroke, systemic embolization and persistent bacteremia [5]. *S.*  
33 *aureus* is an important prognostic factor in IE [6] usually with a high mortality rate of about  
34 13-28% [1,2]. Managing patients with IE is a real challenge. The introduction of a  
35 standardized multidisciplinary team approach has reduced the mortality rate from 28% to 13%  
36 in- hospital mortality in an Italian team [7] and from 18.5% to less than 10% in one year  
37 mortality in our team [8]. However, in our center, early mortality (< 90-days) rate had risen  
38 from 9% in 2000-2006 to 12% in 2006-2008 and to 15% in 2009-2012 [9]. Preliminary works  
39 have tried to explain this rise of mortality by both a lower coordination with surgery  
40 following a change of the head of the cardiac surgery department [10], and an increasing  
41 proportion of *S. aureus* IE (from 11 to 19% in 10 years). This infection was the most severe,  
42 with a mortality rate of 20.4% at 90-day, mainly due to septic shocks [9], particularly in  
43 sepsis-induced multiple organ dysfunction syndrome in *S. aureus* prosthetic valve IE.

44           In order to improve the septic control of *S. aureus* IE and to reduce the mortality rate  
45 in our center, we decided to modify the antibiotic protocol (T&C), combining a high dose of  
46 trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMZ) with clindamycin for anti-toxin activity [11],  
47 intravenously with a rapid oral switch at day 7. A preliminary study published in March 2013  
48 presented promising results [9] with a significant drop of mortality from 15% between 2009  
49 and 2011 to 8% in 2012 with T&C. Since October 2013, in view of the persistence of early  
50 deaths due to sepsis (cardiac abscess or persistence of positive blood culture), and according

51 to the literature that confirms the persistence of *S. aureus* bacteremia as a predictor of poor  
52 outcome [12] rifampicin and gentamicin were associated with T&C in case of cardiac abscess  
53 and persistent bacteremia.

54 We report our experience of a high dose of T&C +/- rifampicin and gentamicin with  
55 rapid switch to oral therapy. In comparison with the conventional treatment. This is not a  
56 randomized trial because the new protocol was decided to handle the increased mortality in  
57 our center.

58

## 59 **Material and methods:**

### 60 *Patients*

61 We conducted a prospective a study from 2001-2017. Missing data were  
62 retrospectively recorded. Our study population is defined as all patients referred to our center  
63 between December 2001 and January 2017, and who have been diagnosed with definitive *S.*  
64 *aureus* IE, according to the modified Duke criteria [13], and after 2015 to ESC guidelines  
65 [14]. We collected data on clinical features and epidemiological data. The patients were  
66 managed by our multidisciplinary team including cardiologist, microbiologist, cardiac  
67 surgeon, radiologist, neurologist and anesthetist. Patient follow-up includes clinical exam,  
68 weight, routine blood test, blood culture after 24 and 48h hours of treatment,  
69 electrocardiograms, echocardiography (1 per week TTE or TOE), PET/CT (since 2011), body  
70 scanner (+/- arteriography) and evaluation of antibiotic side effect.

71 We studied overall mortality during hospital stay, within 30 days and 90 days, and causes of  
72 death within 30 days and 90 days. The analysis was undertaken in intention to treat and on  
73 treatment.

74

### 75 *Therapeutic Protocols*

76 Starting on the 1<sup>st</sup> of December 2001, all patients with an IE due to *S. aureus* were  
77 given a standardized antibiotic treatment [8]: oxacillin 12 g/day intravenously during six  
78 weeks for methicillin sensible *S. aureus*, or vancomycin 30 mg/kg/day intravenously  
79 (discontinuous) during six weeks for MRSA. This antibiotic-therapy was combined with one  
80 daily injection of 3 mg/kg of gentamicin for five days. In case of renal dysfunction, the doses  
81 of aminoglycoside, vancomycin and oxacillin were adjusted according to the antibiotics  
82 serum levels.

83 Starting on the 1<sup>st</sup> of February 2012, the antibiotic protocol T&C combined a high  
84 dose of TMP-SMZ (960 mg/4800 mg per day in six daily discontinuous intravenous  
85 injections, maximal dose adapted to weight and renal function), and clindamycin (1800  
86 mg/day in three discontinuous injections) for a period of seven days. The treatment was  
87 switched at day 7 to oral TMP-SMZ 160/800 only (six tablets a day for a five weeks period,  
88 also adapted to weight and renal function) [14] without clindamycin . When blood cultures  
89 were still positive after 48h or in the presence of a cardiac abscess at TTE, a combination of  
90 rifampicin intravenously (1800 mg/day) and gentamicin intravenously (180 mg/day) for seven  
91 days was added to the protocol. Patients who had previously received antibiotic treatment for  
92 more than 5 days were not included in the T&C group because the efficacy of T&C could not  
93 be evaluated, but received the same management of those included in our study. All *S. aureus*  
94 strains isolated from blood cultures in the T&C were susceptible to TMP-SMZ and  
95 clindamycin.

96  
97 We compared the T&C group with the control group (oxacillin and gentamicin or  
98 vancomycin and gentamicin protocol). The primary efficiency endpoint was mortality (global,  
99 at day 30, at day 90). Length of stay in hospital, the causes of death within 30 days and 90  
100 days and the emergence of acute renal insufficiency were also studied.

101            ***Statistical Analysis***

102            The data were initially collected from the patient's records on an Excel table. The  
103 analyses were performed using R Software (version 3.2.3). Continuous variables for  
104 individuals were expressed as mean  $\pm$  confidence interval, and were compared using student's  
105 t-test. Categorical variables were expressed as a percentage and were compared using Fisher's  
106 t-test. A multivariate analysis was performed on the significant variables, using a logit linear  
107 regression.

108            **Results**

109            *Patient's characteristics in the T&C group compared to the control group*

110            A total of 341 patients were included in this study: 171 patients in the T&C group and  
111 170 patients in the control group (table 1). After univariate analysis, the two groups were  
112 almost comparable, except for age ( $64.4 \pm 17.3$  vs.  $59.4 \pm 16.8$  years old;  $p=0.007$ ), and  
113 elevated blood pressure (35.7% vs 24.7%;  $p=0.034$ ), which were significantly higher or more  
114 frequent in the T&C group. The clinical features were almost comparable, except for fever  
115 (78.4% vs 89.4%;  $p=0.007$ ), heart murmur (38% vs 50%;  $p=0.029$ ) and mycotic aneurism  
116 (2.3% vs 7.1%;  $p=0.043$ ). For echocardiography features, the two groups were comparable  
117 expect for the presence of vegetation (64.3% vs 81.8%;  $p<0.001$ ). For biological features, the  
118 T&C group and the control group were comparable for leukocytosis count and protein C  
119 reactive level, but not for serum creatinine level ( $138.2 \pm 17.3$  vs  $176.8 \pm 32.4$   $\mu\text{mol/l}$ ;  
120  $p=0.048$ ). After multivariate analysis including all above mentioned variables, only fever  
121 ( $p=0.04$ ) and vegetation ( $p=0.003$ ) have revealed to be independently significantly different.

122            *Outcome in the T&C group compared to control group:*

123            The average length of hospital stay for patients who did not die during hospitalization  
124 was significantly shorter in the T&C group than in the control group, in intention to treat



125 (29.8 ± 3.8 days vs 39.0 ± 5.2 days – p=0.005) and on treatment (26.4 ± 3.8 days vs 36.9 ± 4.8  
126 days - p=0.0007) (Table 2).

127         After a median follow up of 166 days following the diagnosis and the treatment of IE,  
128 the outcome of the T&C group was associated with a 2-fold lower global mortality rate in  
129 intention to treat (19.3% vs 30% p=0.024 ; OR=0.56 [0.34-0.92]), with a 2-fold lower in-  
130 hospital mortality rate in intention to treat (9.9% vs 18.2% p=0.03 ; OR=0.49 [0.26-0.93]),  
131 and on treatment (10.1% vs 14.7% p=0.036 ; OR=0.46 [0.23-0.92]). The mortality rate at day  
132 30 was also 2 time lower in intention to treat (7.1% vs 14.2% p=0.05 ; OR=0.46 [0.22-0,96]),  
133 and on treatment (7.4% vs 15.3% p=0.05 ; OR=0.44 [0.20-0.99]) (Table 2). However, the  
134 mortality rate at day 90 was not significantly different among the two groups in intention to  
135 treat (16.4% vs 21.2%; p=0.32) and on treatment (15.0% vs 20.5%; p=0.32) (Table 2).

136         The analysis of the causes of in-hospital death showed that sepsis or multi-organ  
137 failure was almost twice more often associated with the control group (8.2%) than with the  
138 T&C group (4.7%), although the trend is not statistically significant (Table 2). In the T&C  
139 group, septic failure was observed in 8 patients, of whom only 4 had rifampicin and  
140 gentamicin (4 patients were treated before 2013). The T&C protocol was stopped prematurely  
141 in two cases because of acute renal failure and in one case because of microbiological failure  
142 with an antibiotic switch by daptomycin and linezolid (without success and finally the patient  
143 underwent a cardiac transplantation). In one case the patient died after *S. aureus* IE relapse.

144         The analysis of mortality within 30 days showed that severe sepsis/multi-organ failure  
145 was also 2 times more often associated with the control group (5.9%) than with the T&C  
146 group (3.0%) although the trend is also not statistically significant (Table 2).

147         *Compliance to antibiotic protocols:*

148 Compliance to the antibiotic protocols was not statistically different between the two  
149 groups: 33/171 (19.3%) antibiotic modifications in the T&C group versus 44/170 (25.9%) in  
150 the control group (p=0.16) (Table 3, 4).

151 In 10 of 171 patients (5.8%), T&C was stopped because of a microbiologic failure: 4  
152 received T&C rifampicin and gentamicin and 6 received only T&C. In 9 cases (5.3%) T&C  
153 was stopped because of acute renal failure, in 7 cases (4.1%) because of skin adverse  
154 reactions. Among the 138 patients treated with T&C, 39 (28.26%) required rifampicin and  
155 gentamicin, and 99 patients had only T&C (Table3). In this group, 3 patients died during  
156 hospitalization, 2 within 30 days, 7 within 90 days, 7 within 1 year and 7 after 1 year.

157 In the control group, treatment was stopped prematurely in 27 cases (acute renal  
158 failure (n=1), microbiological (n=10), skin adverse effect (n=4), other side effects (n=10),  
159 hematologic toxicity (n=2) (table 4). In this group, 6 patients died during hospitalization, 7  
160 within 90 days and 14 after 1 year.

161 The doses of TMP-SMZ had to be adjusted according to renal insufficiency in 58  
162 patients (33.9%) and in 17 patients in the control group (10%). The doses were also adjusted  
163 for cytolytic hepatitis in 8 patients in the T&C group. T&C treatment was stopped  
164 prematurely in 33 patients (19.3%) and in 27 patients in the control group (16%).

#### 165 *Relapses and recurrence observed in the T&C group*

166 We defined a relapse as a new episode of endocarditis caused by the same bacteria as  
167 in the initial case, after completion of treatment, based on blood or valve cultures. The  
168 occurrence of relapses, observed in 7/171 (4.1%) patients in the T&C group was not  
169 significantly different from 10/170 (5.9%) patients in the control group (p=0.46). However,  
170 the early relapses (< 30 days vs > 90 days) were significantly more frequent in the control  
171 group (9 early – 1 late) than in the T&C group (1 early – 6 late) (p=0.004).

172           We observed 6/171 (3.51%) IE recurrences with another micro-organism (2 *E.*  
173 *faecalis*, 3 *Streptococcus* sp.) in the T&C group, and 12/170 (7.06%) in the control group  
174 (p=0.15). They cannot be considered as treatment failures.

175

176           **Discussion**

177           Over a 5 years period of management of *S. aureus*, IE mortality rate of patients treated  
178 with T&C was comparable to other published cohorts. The mortality rates were twice lower in  
179 T&C group than among controls, as overall, 30-days, and in-hospital mortality rates, as well  
180 in intention to treat as on treatment. Among the causes of death, severe sepsis and multi-organ  
181 failure was twice less frequent in the T&C group compared to the control group (although not  
182 significant). In the subgroup treated by T&C and rifampicin / gentamicin according to a  
183 microbiologic failure, we note only one death due to septic cause at 171 days in a patient with  
184 *S. aureus* IE relapse. Using T&C with a rapid switch to oral prescription allowing a  
185 significant reduction (10 days) of hospital stay duration.

186

187           TMP-SMZ is particularly effective on *S. aureus*, regardless of its sensitivity or  
188 resistance to methicillin [15][16], with a very low resistance rate in our center [9]. This  
189 treatment has been used for over 30 years at low standard dose with excellent oral  
190 bioavailability.(>90%) [17]. It has also proven effective and tolerable at higher doses in  
191 orthopedic implant infections [18]. In an observational study, Goldberg *et al.* reported similar  
192 outcomes for TMP-SMZ and vancomycin, no significant difference between outcome and  
193 mortality in the treatment of Methicillin resistant (MRSA) *S. aureus* bacteremia [19].  
194 Markowitz *et al* reported the possible inferiority of low dose TMP-SMZ to vancomycin for  
195 methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* bacteremia : in right side IE the cure rate was 64% (7/11) for  
196 TMP-SMZ versus 92% (11/12) for vancomycin (p=0.095) [20]. In a randomized controlled  
197 trial including 252 patients, Paul *et al.* concluded that TMP-SMZ did not achieve non-  
198 inferiority to vancomycin in the treatment of severe MRSA infections [21]. The difference  
199 was particularly marked in patients with bacteremia. Clindamycin has an excellent tissue  
200 diffusion, is bacteriostatic on *S. aureus*, and is the most down regulating agent for *S. aureus*

201 toxin secretion [11]. However the usefulness of clindamycin in *S. aureus* infections toxins is  
202 still debated. Vancomycin remains the reference treatment of IE due to MRSA [22]. New  
203 therapeutic solutions such as daptomycin [23], ceftazolin [24], or linezolid [2] have not  
204 produced better results. Contrary to the molecules recently introduced on the market, T&C are  
205 considerably cheaper and efficient.

206 Four to six weeks of intravenous antibiotic are currently recommended for *S. aureus*  
207 IE treatment [14] and most of the patients remain hospitalized during this period. Oral  
208 antibiotic therapy for treatment of IE is not well established [25,26]. Oral therapy was  
209 reported in right-sided in *S. aureus* IE [26] [27], using oral ciprofloxacin plus rifampicin  
210 [28,29], oral penicillin in a child [26], oral fucidic acid and linezolid, oral fucidic acid and  
211 rifampicin [27]. Recently, rapid oral shift in patients with left side IE in stable condition, was  
212 reported was non inferior to intravenous antibiotic treatment [25]. Rapid oral shift of  
213 antibiotic regimen in IE reduce the risk of catheter-related infection, the cost and the duration  
214 of hospital stay [27]. The tolerance of T&C was acceptable, with no major difference  
215 underlined concerning the development of an acute renal failure. In our study, there has been  
216 an adjustment of the doses of TMP-SMZ in a significant number of cases (33.9%). Dosage in  
217 plasma should allow a better management [30]. The treatment has even been prematurely  
218 interrupted in 19.3% of the case (of which 1/3 for acute renal failure and 1/3 for septic  
219 failure), which is comparable to the reference treatment, with linezolid and daptomycin  
220 [2,23].

221 Our study has some limitations: this is not a clinical trial, we are a reference center and  
222 our experience may not be reproduced in other settings, particularly in patients with MRSA,  
223 who represent only 10% of our study population. It is a non-randomized study in a  
224 monocentric study in a reference center. The number of patients lost to follow-up in the T&C  
225 group was 2 (1.1 %) versus 1 (0.5%) in the control group at day 30 and 6 (3.5%) versus 5

226 (2.9%) at day 90. Patients in T&C group had less frequently a vegetation (110 patients versus  
227 139 patients  $p=0.0004$ ). This may suggest patients with less severe infections. In the T&C  
228 group 39 patients with persistent bacteremia and septic failure were treated with gentamicin  
229 and rifampicin this may contribute to the effectiveness of our treatment.

### 230 **Conclusions**

231 The management of *S. aureus* IE in our multidisciplinary team, using a rapid oral  
232 antibiotic shift with TMP-SMZ, shows promising results, reduces the length of hospital stay,  
233 the mortality rate and sepsis-induced multiple organ dysfunction syndrome. This treatment is  
234 a safe alternative treatment in *S. aureus* IE.

235

### 236 **Declarations**

237 **Funding:** Supported by the French State, managed by the “Agence Nationale pour la  
238 Recherche” including the "Programme d'Investissement d'Avenir" under the reference  
239 Méditerranée Infection 10-IAHU-03

240 **Competing Interests:** None

241 **Ethical Approval:** Ethical Committee approval number: 2019-004

242 **References**

- 243 [1] Abdallah L, Habib G, Remadi J-P, Salaun E, Casalta J-P, Tribouilloy C. Comparison of  
244 prognoses of *Staphylococcus aureus* left-sided prosthetic endocarditis and prosthetic  
245 endocarditis caused by other pathogens. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2016;109:542–9.  
246 doi:10.1016/j.acvd.2016.02.010.
- 247 [2] Lauridsen TK, Bruun LE, Rasmussen RV, Arpi M, Risum N, Moser C, et al. Linezolid  
248 as rescue treatment for left-sided infective endocarditis: an observational, retrospective,  
249 multicenter study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2012;31:2567–74.  
250 doi:10.1007/s10096-012-1597-7.
- 251 [3] Fernández Guerrero ML, González López JJ, Goyenechea A, Fraile J, de Górgolas M.  
252 Endocarditis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*: A reappraisal of the epidemiologic,  
253 clinical, and pathologic manifestations with analysis of factors determining outcome.  
254 Medicine (Baltimore) 2009;88:1–22. doi:10.1097/MD.0b013e318194da65.
- 255 [4] Selton-Suty C, Célard M, Le Moing V, Doco-Lecompte T, Chirouze C, Iung B, et al.  
256 Preeminence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in infective endocarditis: a 1-year population-  
257 based survey. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am 2012;54:1230–9.  
258 doi:10.1093/cid/cis199.
- 259 [5] Fowler VG, Miro JM, Hoen B, Cabell CH, Abrutyn E, Rubinstein E, et al.  
260 *Staphylococcus aureus* endocarditis: a consequence of medical progress. JAMA  
261 2005;293:3012–21. doi:10.1001/jama.293.24.3012.
- 262 [6] Miro JM, Anguera I, Cabell CH, Chen AY, Stafford JA, Corey GR, et al.  
263 *Staphylococcus aureus* native valve infective endocarditis: report of 566 episodes from  
264 the International Collaboration on Endocarditis Merged Database. Clin Infect Dis Off  
265 Publ Infect Dis Soc Am 2005;41:507–14. doi:10.1086/431979.

- 266 [7] Chirillo F, Scotton P, Rocco F, Rigoli R, Borsatto F, Pedrocco A, et al. Impact of a  
267 multidisciplinary management strategy on the outcome of patients with native valve  
268 infective endocarditis. *Am J Cardiol* 2013;112:1171–6.  
269 doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.05.060.
- 270 [8] Botelho-Nevers E, Thuny F, Casalta J, Richet H, Gouriet F, Collart F, et al. Dramatic  
271 reduction in infective endocarditis-related mortality with a management-based approach.  
272 *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:1290–8. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2009.192.
- 273 [9] Casalta J-P, Zaratzian C, Hubert S, Thuny F, Gouriet F, Habib G, et al. Treatment of  
274 *Staphylococcus aureus* endocarditis with high doses of trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole  
275 and clindamycin, Preliminary report. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2013;42:190–1.  
276 doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2013.05.002.
- 277 [10] Thuny F, Grisoli D, Collart F, Habib G, Raoult D. Management of infective  
278 endocarditis: challenges and perspectives. *Lancet Lond Engl* 2012;379:965–75.  
279 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60755-1.
- 280 [11] Dumitrescu O, Boisset S, Badiou C, Bes M, Benito Y, Reverdy M-E, et al. Effect of  
281 Antibiotics on *Staphylococcus aureus* Producing Panton-Valentine Leukocidin.  
282 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007;51:1515–9. doi:10.1128/AAC.01201-06.
- 283 [12] Hsu M-S, Huang Y-T, Hsu H-S, Liao C-H. Sequential time to positivity of blood  
284 cultures can be a predictor of prognosis of patients with persistent *Staphylococcus*  
285 *aureus* bacteraemia. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2014;20:892–8. doi:10.1111/1469-  
286 0691.12608.
- 287 [13] Li JS, Sexton DJ, Mick N, Nettles R, Fowler VG, Ryan T, et al. Proposed Modifications  
288 to the Duke Criteria for the Diagnosis of Infective Endocarditis. *Clin Infect Dis*  
289 2000;30:633–8. doi:10.1086/313753.



- 290 [14] Habib G, Lancellotti P, Antunes MJ, Bongiorni MG, Casalta J-P, Del Zotti F, et al. 2015  
291 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis: The Task Force for the  
292 Management of Infective Endocarditis of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC).  
293 Endorsed by: European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), the  
294 European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM). *Eur Heart J* 2015;36:3075–128.  
295 doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehv319.
- 296 [15] Fridkin SK, Hageman JC, Morrison M, Sanza LT, Como-Sabetti K, Jernigan JA, et al.  
297 Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Disease in Three Communities. *N Engl J*  
298 *Med* 2005;352:1436–44. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa043252.
- 299 [16] Naimi TS, LeDell KH, Como-Sabetti K, et al. Comparison of community- and health  
300 care-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *JAMA*  
301 2003;290:2976–84. doi:10.1001/jama.290.22.2976.
- 302 [17] VanEperen AS, Segreti J. Empirical therapy in Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*  
303 *aureus* infections: An Up-To-Date approach. *J Infect Chemother Off J Jpn Soc*  
304 *Chemother* 2016;22:351–9. doi:10.1016/j.jiac.2016.02.012.
- 305 [18] Stein A, Bataille JF, Drancourt M, Curvale G, Argenson JN, Groulier P, et al.  
306 Ambulatory Treatment of Multidrug-Resistant *Staphylococcus*-Infected Orthopedic  
307 Implants with High-Dose Oral Co-trimoxazole (Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole).  
308 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998;42:3086–91.
- 309 [19] Goldberg E, Paul M, Talker O, Samra Z, Raskin M, Hazzan R, et al. Co-trimoxazole  
310 versus vancomycin for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*  
311 bacteraemia: a retrospective cohort study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;65:1779–83.  
312 doi:10.1093/jac/dkq179.

- 313 [20] Markowitz N, Quinn EL, Saravolatz LD. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole compared  
314 with vancomycin for the treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *Ann Intern Med*  
315 1992;117:390–8.
- 316 [21] Paul M, Bishara J, Yahav D, Goldberg E, Neuberger A, Ghanem-Zoubi N, et al.  
317 Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole versus vancomycin for severe infections caused by  
318 methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*  
319 2015;350:h2219.
- 320 [22] Habib G, Hoen B, Tornos P, Thuny F, Prendergast B, Vilacosta I, et al. Guidelines on  
321 the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of infective endocarditis (new version 2009):  
322 The Task Force on the Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Infective Endocarditis of  
323 the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2009;30:2369–413.  
324 doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehp285.
- 325 [23] Fowler VG, Boucher HW, Corey GR, Abrutyn E, Karchmer AW, Rupp ME, et al.  
326 Daptomycin versus Standard Therapy for Bacteremia and Endocarditis Caused by  
327 *Staphylococcus aureus*. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:653–65. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa053783.
- 328 [24] Tattevin P, Boutoille D, Vitrat V, Van Grunderbeeck N, Revest M, Dupont M, et al.  
329 Salvage treatment of methicillin-resistant staphylococcal endocarditis with ceftaroline: a  
330 multicentre observational study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2014;69:2010–3.  
331 doi:10.1093/jac/dku085.
- 332 [25] Iversen K, Ihlemann N, Gill SU, Madsen T, Elming H, Jensen KT, et al. Partial Oral  
333 versus Intravenous Antibiotic Treatment of Endocarditis. *N Engl J Med* 2018.  
334 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1808312.
- 335 [26] Al-Omari A, Cameron DW, Lee C, Corrales-Medina VF. Oral antibiotic therapy for the  
336 treatment of infective endocarditis: a systematic review. *BMC Infect Dis* 2014;14:140.  
337 doi:10.1186/1471-2334-14-140.

- 338 [27] Iversen K, Høst N, Bruun NE, Elming H, Pump B, Christensen JJ, et al. Partial oral  
339 treatment of endocarditis. *Am Heart J* 2013;165:116–22. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2012.11.006.
- 340 [28] Tebas P, Martinez Ruiz R, Roman F, Mendaza P, Rodriguez Diaz JC, Daza R, et al.  
341 Early resistance to rifampin and ciprofloxacin in the treatment of right-sided  
342 *Staphylococcus aureus* endocarditis. *J Infect Dis* 1991;163:204–5.
- 343 [29] Heldman AW, Hartert TV, Ray SC, Daoud EG, Kowalski TE, Pompili VJ, et al. Oral  
344 antibiotic treatment of right-sided staphylococcal endocarditis in injection drug users:  
345 prospective randomized comparison with parenteral therapy. *Am J Med* 1996;101:68–  
346 76.
- 347 [30] Muhammed Ameen S, Rolain J-M, Le Poullain M-N, Roux V, Raoult D, Drancourt M.  
348 Serum concentration of co-trimoxazole during a high-dosage regimen. *J Antimicrob*  
349 *Chemother* 2014;69:757–60. doi:10.1093/jac/dkt400.

**Table 1 : Comparison of patient characteristics in the trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole & clindamycin (T&C) group to the control group using univariate analysis.**

MRSA: methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

	<b>T&amp;C group</b> N=171	<b>Control group</b> N=170	<b>p</b>	<b>OR</b>
<b>COMORBIDITIES</b>				
Mean Age (years) [range]	64.4 [13-94]	59.4 [19-102]	0.007	
Sex Male	115 (67.3%)	125 (73.5%)	0.23	
Prior IE	16 (9.4%)	13 (7.6%)	0.70	
Intravenous drug use	22 (12.9%)	26 (15.3%)	0.54	
HIV	3 (1.8%)	6 (3.5%)	0.34	
Diabetes	38 (22.2%)	30 (17.6%)	0.34	
Coronary artery disease	22 (12.9%)	18 (10.6%)	0.50	
Chronic lung injury	15 (9.1%)	18 (10.6%)	0.61	
Chronic renal failure	23 (13.5%)	27 (15.9%)	0.54	
Dialysis	7 (4.1%)	8 (4.7%)	0.80	
Elevated blood pressure	61 (35.7%)	42 (24.7%)	0.034	1.4 [1.03-2.1]
Alcohol	18 (8.8%)	11 (6.5%)	0.54	
Myocardial infarction	20 (11.7%)	18 (10.6%)	0.86	
Autoimmune disease	10 (5.8%)	6 (3.5%)	0.44	
History of cancer	18 (10.5%)	23 (13.5%)	0.41	

Leukemia / Lymphoma	5 (2.9%)	7 (4.1%)	0.41
Charlson comorbidity index	3 [0-10]	3 [1-5]	0.27

#### **IE CHARACTERISTICS (non-exclusive)**

Native valve IE	97 (56.7%)	96 (56.5%)	1
Valvular prosthesis IE	43 (25.1%)	34 (20.0%)	0.3
Cardiac device related IE*	48 (28.1%)	48 (28.2%)	1
Bicuspid valve	6 (3.5%)	8 (4.7%)	0.59
MRSA	21 (12.3%)	19 (11.2%)	0.87

#### **CLINICAL FEATURES**

Fever**	134 (78.4%)	152 (89.4%)	0.007	0.88 [0.80-0.96]
Acute heart failure	38 (22.2%)	43 (25.3%)	0.53	
Cardiogenic shock	13 (7.6%)	7 (4.1%)	0.25	
Septic shock	24 (14.0%)	12 (7.1%)	0.051	
Heart murmur	65 (38.0%)	85 (50%)	0.029	0.76 [0.60-0.97]
Embolism	81 (47.4%)	94 (55.3%)	0.16	
Major cerebral bleeding	14 (8.2%)	21 (12.4%)	0.22	
Spondylodiscitis	19 (11.1%)	9 (5.3%)	0.074	
Mycotic aneurism	4 (2.3%)	12 (7.1%)	0.043	0.33 [0.11-1.00]

#### **ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC FEATURES**

Aortic IE	57 (33.3%)	57 (33.5%)	1
Mitral IE	51 (29.8%)	62 (36.5%)	0.13
Tricuspid IE	37 (21.6%)	30 (17.6%)	0.41

Cardiac device related IE	45 (26.3%)	48 (28.2%)	0.72
Vegetation	110 (64.3%)	139 (81.8%)	0.0004 0.79 [0.69-0.90]
Annular abscess	36 (21.1%)	27 (15.9%)	0.26
Pseudo aneurysm	9 (5.3%)	8 (4.7%)	1
Severe valvular insufficiency	48 (28.1%)	49 (28.8%)	0.90
Valvular perforation	23 (13.5%)	34 (20.0%)	0.11
Left ventricle ejection fraction.	55 [20-75]	60 [20-70]	0.69

#### **BIOLOGICAL FEATURES (means)**

Leukocytosis (Giga/l)	11.9 [2.1-28]	11.5 [2.9-32]	0.5
C reactive protein (mg/l)	146 [3-523]	168 [2-455]	0.15
Serum creatinin ( $\mu$ mol/l)	138 [9-898]	174 [30-933]	0.048

#### **FOLLOW UP**

Relapse	7(4.1%)	10(5.9%)	0.046
Recurrences	6(3.5%)	12(7.06%)	0.15
Persistent bacteremia		10 (5.9%)	

---

\*including pacemaker, defibrillator and dialysis catheter \*\* temperature  $\geq$  38°C on admission

**Table 2: Comparison of patients' outcome in the T&C group vs the control group, as Intention to Treat and On Treatment**

<b>OUTCOME</b>	<b>Intention to Treat</b>			<b>On Treatment</b>		
	<b>Control Group</b> N=170 (%)	<b>T&amp;C Group</b> N=171(%)	<b>p</b>	<b>Control Group</b> N= 126	<b>T&amp;C group</b> N=138 (%)	<b>p</b>
Septic failure	14 (8.2)	10 (5.8)	0.41	6 (3.5)	3 (2.2)	0.02
Surgery	114 (67.1)	89 (52.1)	0.006	73 (57.9)	73 (52.9)	0.46
Relapses	22 (12.9)	13 (7.6)	0.11	13 (7.6)	8 (5.8)	0.6
Mean Hospital stay (days)	34.1 ± 4.5	29.6 ± 3.9	0.14	32.2 ± 4.4	26.6 ± 4.1	0.06
Mean Hospital stay alive (days) *	39.0 ± 5.2	29.8 ± 3.8	0.005	36.9 ± 4.8	26.4 ± 3.8	0.0007
<b><i>In-Hospital death</i></b>	<b><i>31 / 170 (18.2)</i></b>	<b><i>17/ 171 (9.9)</i></b>	<b><i>0.03</i></b>	<b><i>25 / 126 (14.7)</i></b>	<b><i>14 / 138 (10.1)</i></b>	<b><i>0.036</i></b>
Sepsis / multi organ failure	14 (45.2)	8 (47.1)	1	9 (36.0)	5 (35.7)	1

Other causes	17 (54.8)	9 (52.9)		16 (64.0)	9 (64.3)	
<b><i>Death at day 30</i></b>	<b><i>24 / 169 (14.2)</i></b>	<b><i>12 / 169 (7.1)</i></b>	<b><i>0.05</i></b>	<b><i>19 / 125 (15.3)</i></b>	<b><i>10 / 136 (7.4)</i></b>	<b><i>0.05</i></b>
Sepsis / multi organ failure	10 (41.7)	5 (41.7)	1	6 (31.6)	3 (30.0)	1
Other causes	14 (58.3)	7 (58.3)		13 (68.4)	7 (70.0)	
<b><i>Death at day 90</i></b>	<b><i>35 / 165 (21.2)</i></b>	<b><i>27 / 165 (16.4)</i></b>	<b><i>0.32</i></b>	<b><i>25 / 122 (20.5)</i></b>	<b><i>20 / 132 (15.0)</i></b>	<b><i>0.32</i></b>
Sepsis / multi organ failure	14 (40.0)	8 (29.6)	0.43	9 (36.0)	5 (25.0)	0.52
Other causes	21 (60.0)	19 (70.4)		16 (64.0)	15 (75.0)	
<b><i>Global mortality**</i></b>	<b><i>51 / 170 (30.0)</i></b>	<b><i>33 / 171 (19.3)</i></b>	<b><i>0.024</i></b>	<b><i>37 / 126 (29.4)</i></b>	<b><i>28 / 138 (20.3)</i></b>	<b><i>0.11</i></b>
<b><i>One year mortality</i></b>	<b><i>45/170</i></b>	<b><i>34/171</i></b>	<b><i>0.16</i></b>	<b><i>32/126</i></b>	<b><i>24/138</i></b>	<b><i>0.3</i></b>

---

\*patients not died during the hospitalization

\*\* Mortality until last known follow-up



**Table 3: Protocol modifications in the Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole & Clindamycin (T&C) group**

<b>Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole &amp; clindamycin group</b>	<b>N=171</b>
<b>T&amp;C as first line treatment</b>	<b>69 (40.3 %)</b>
<b>Dose adaptation</b>	<b>58 (33.9%)</b>
<b>Persistent bacteriemia</b>	<b>39 (28%)</b>
<b>T&amp;C as second line treatment:</b>	<b>102 (59.6%)</b>
First-line treatment < 5 days:	
Cloxacillin-Gentamicin	43 (25.1%)
Vancomycin-Gentamicin	33 (19.3%)
Other (including C&C)	95 (55.6%)
<b>Interruption of T&amp;C:</b>	<b>33 (19.3%)</b>
Followed by a second or a third line treatment:	
Cloxacillin	21 (63.6 %)
Vancomycin	3 (9.1 %)
Other antibiotics	9 (27.3 %)
<b>Causes of interruption</b>	<b>33/171</b>
Acute renal failure due to T&C	9 (5.3 %)
Septic failure	10 (5.8 %)
Skin adverse effect	7 (4.1 %)
Digestive intolerance	1 (0.6 %)
Other	5 (2.9 %)
Medical decision	6 (3.5 %)
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> diarrhea	0

**Table 4: Protocol modifications in the control group**

---

<b>Control group</b>	<b>N=170</b>
	142
<b>Dose adaptation</b>	
Renal adaptation	17 (10%)
<b>First-line treatment</b>	
Cloxacillin-Gentamicin	80 (47%)
Vancomycin-Gentamicin	58 (34%)
<b>Cloxacillin-gentamicin or vancomycin as second line treatment &lt; 5 days</b>	32 (18%)
<b>Interruption</b>	27 (16%)
<b>Causes of interruption</b>	27/170
Acute renal failure	1
Septic failure	
Skin adverse effect	4
Other adverse effect	10
Hematologic toxicity	1
Medical decision	0
Others associated micro-organism	10

---