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Changes in malaria epidemiology in France and worldwide, 2000–2015

Évolution épidémiologique du paludisme au niveau mondial et national, 2000–2015
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In 2015, 212 million new cases of malaria were reported, causing 429,000 deaths. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated a 41% decrease in the number of new cases worldwide between 2000 and 2015. The number of deaths from malaria fell by 62% worldwide and by 71% in Africa. In mainland France, malaria is mainly imported by travelers or migrants from endemic areas, in particular sub-Saharan Africa (95%). In France, the number of imported malaria cases, mainly due to *Plasmodium falciparum* (85%), was estimated at about 82,000 for the period 2000–2015. Over the same period, 6,468 cases of malaria were reported in the French armed forces, of which 2,430 cases (37.6%) were considered as imported because occurring outside of endemic areas. The number of malaria cases also fell between 2000 and 2015 in Mayotte and French Guiana, a malaria transmission zone. Mayotte has entered the elimination phase in 2015. The number of deaths from malaria fell by 62% worldwide and by 71% in Africa. In mainland France, between 300 and 500 cases have been reported annually in recent years. The decline in morbidity and mortality is usually attributed to vector control measures and improved access to effective treatments. However, the *Anopheles* mosquitoes that transmit the disease have developed resistance against most insecticides. Similarly, malaria parasites have developed resistance against most of the antimalarial drugs used as prevention or treatment, even the latest marketed combinations such as artemisinin-based combination therapies.
1. Trends in the global incidence of malaria since 2000

Reliable global epidemiological surveillance for malaria has only recently been implemented. In 1998, in the wake of the launch of the "Roll Back Malaria" program, the World Health Organization (WHO) and UNICEF joined forces to produce a statistical summary for the African continent [1], followed by the first World Malaria Report in 2005 based on the cases and deaths reported by 107 malaria-endemic countries. At present, these reports are the most comprehensive single source of information available on malaria. Each yearly report provides figures for nearly all areas worldwide where there is a risk of contracting malaria, estimates of global morbidity and mortality for all reporting countries, and information on the coverage of key interventions to prevent malaria in tropical Africa.

Based on data from disparate sources, the WHO estimated at the beginning of 2000 that 3.2 billion people worldwide were at risk of contracting malaria and that the previous year had seen 350 million new cases of malaria with 839,000 deaths, mainly children under the age of 5 years in sub-Saharan Africa.

In 2015, malaria was still endemic in 91 countries, of which 43 countries in sub-Saharan Africa, and 212 million new cases and 429,000 deaths were reported. Moreover, 90% of cases of malaria and 92% of deaths occurred in sub-Saharan Africa [2]. At the global level, more than 90% of deaths were due to *Plasmodium falciparum* (99% in Africa) and 7.2% of deaths were due to *Plasmodium vivax*.

From 2000 to 2015, 57 countries achieved a 75% decrease in the number of cases of malaria, whereas in 18 other countries the incidence fell by 50% to 75%. The WHO estimated that over this period the global decline in new cases of infection was 41%. At the same time, malaria-related deaths decreased by 61% globally and by 71% in Africa. Finally, a growing number of countries made remarkable progress towards the goal of malaria elimination with 33 countries reporting less than 1000 cases in 2015 compared with only 13 in 2000 [3]. To address these objectives, malaria funding increased from 960 million USD invested in 2000 to 2.9 billion USD in 2015.

Nevertheless, these impressive figures should be interpreted with caution bearing in mind the reservations on the completeness of the data collected at the beginning of the period. The WHO’s procedure for estimating the number of cases and deaths was also rectified during this period, in particular at the time of the 2011 World Malaria Report [4] and lastly, the estimated incidences reported by the WHO’s network of surveillance organizations (that collect data for 10–14% of cases worldwide) are inadequately documented to provide reliable trends for key countries in sub-Saharan Africa. Of particular concern, it seems that the WHO’s estimations probably largely underestimate the number of malaria cases and related deaths in subjects over the age of 5 [5].

However, it is reasonable to consider that globally the numbers and the main trends described do not significantly depart from reality. Other studies using mathematical models but limited to African countries (in particular an international work group coordinated by Oxford university) reported results that were overall in line with the WHO’s statistics [6,7].

The Global Technical Strategy for Malaria 2016–2030 approved by the World Health Assembly in May 2015 [8] defines the goal for 2030: a 90% decrease of the incidence rate of malaria and the related death rate, elimination of malaria in at least 35 countries with transmission in 2015, and the prevention of malaria re-establishment in countries free of the disease in 2015. However, the geographical distribution of malaria shows much disparity with 13 African countries accounting for the great majority of the global malaria burden with 80% of cases and 75% of reported deaths.

The decrease observed in the morbidity and mortality rates is generally imputed to vector control measures (insecticide-treated mosquito nets and indoors spraying of insecticides), improved malaria diagnosis using rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs), generalized access to effective antimalarial drugs (artemisinin-based combination therapy, ACT), and the efficacy of intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy (IPTp).

In many of the countries affected, mostly in Africa, social unrest, conflicts, and humanitarian disasters are major obstacles to reaching the goals. Prevention measures are also particularly difficult to implement in cross-border areas [9] and it is often in these areas that resistance to antimalarials and insecticides first appear. The control of insect vectors is losing ground. In sub-Saharan Africa 278 million people out of a total at-risk population of 840 million live in households without any mosquito nets. In 2015, less than 50% of pregnant women took a dose of IPTp, and less than 25% took the minimum of two doses recommended by the WHO. Finally, despite considerable funding obtained for malaria control in 2015, the WHO believes that a substantial additional effort will be required to achieve the Millennium Development Goals for 2030. Annual funding will need to be increased to 6.2 billion USD by 2020, which seems difficult to attain in the current international setting.

A new malaria species that normally infects monkeys, *Plasmodium knowlesi*, has emerged in humans and is causing an increasing number of cases in South-East Asia. *P. knowlesi* is now the main species that causes malaria in humans in Malaysia [10,11]. Cases have also been reported in Indonesia, Thailand, Vietnam, and
Malaria is no longer endemic in many developed countries and was eliminated from most Western European countries between the 1930s and 1960s. However, the disease continues to represent a challenge in terms of prevention, diagnosis, and patient management. Malaria is imported both by travellers and migrants from endemic areas, as well as by visitors from endemic areas and armed forces personnel returning home after overseas operations. In mainland France, the developed country that reports the greatest number of cases of imported malaria, the disease is for the most part imported from sub-Saharan African countries (95% of cases) [13,14]. Imported malaria surveillance in mainland France relies on a network of about a hundred hospitals that report cases to the National Reference Center for Malaria (French acronym CNR). Member hospitals collect data for about 52% of cases of malaria that occur in mainland France (approximately 43,000 cases reported since 2000). The number of cases of imported malaria has thus been estimated at 82,000 for the period 2000–2015 [14], and shows varying, complex trends. A very significant decrease in the number of cases (−55%) was first observed between 2000 (8060 estimated cases/year) and 2011 (3600 estimated cases/year), followed by a substantial increase of more than 30% between 2012 (3600 estimated cases/year) and 2016 (4700 estimated cases/year) (Fig. 1). This recent increase seems inconsistent with the 41% decrease in the number of people traveling between France and endemic areas [2,12]. This species can cause severe malaria and death.

2. Incidence of imported malaria in mainland France from 2000 to 2015

Malaria is no longer endemic in many developed countries and was eliminated from most Western European countries between the 1930s and 1960s. However, the disease continues to represent a challenge in terms of prevention, diagnosis, and patient management. Malaria is imported both by travellers and migrants from endemic areas, as well as by visitors from endemic areas and armed forces personnel returning home after overseas operations. In mainland France, the developed country that reports the greatest number of cases of imported malaria, the disease is for the most part imported from sub-Saharan African countries (95% of cases) [13,14]. Imported malaria surveillance in mainland France relies on a network of about a hundred hospitals that report cases to the National Reference Center for Malaria (French acronym CNR). Member hospitals collect data for about 52% of cases of malaria that occur in mainland France (approximately 43,000 cases reported since 2000). The number of cases of imported malaria has thus been estimated at 82,000 for the period 2000–2015 [14], and shows varying, complex trends. A very significant decrease in the number of cases (−55%) was first observed between 2000 (8060 estimated cases/year) and 2011 (3600 estimated cases/year), followed by a substantial increase of more than 30% between 2012 (3600 estimated cases/year) and 2016 (4700 estimated cases/year) (Fig. 1). This recent increase seems inconsistent with the 41%−decrease in the number of cases of malaria estimated by the WHO for endemic areas since 2000 (−12% in Africa) which has further accelerated between 2012 and 2016 [2]. However, at the same time, the number of people traveling between France and endemic areas has risen (Fig. 1). The increase is of 48% for sub-Saharan Africa, and 32%, 35%, and 113% respectively for Western Africa, Eastern Africa (including Indian Ocean islands), and Southern Africa (CNR data based on figures from the French Civil Aviation Authority) [15]. A clear decrease in the incidence rate is still observed over the whole period for mainland France: 78% less cases of imported malaria with a considerable diminution between 2000 and 2007 followed by a stable rate afterwards (Fig. 2). By way of comparison, the global malaria incidence rate in endemic countries decreased steadily by 41% over the same period [2]. Many factors probably played a role in the trends observed, especially changes in the populations contracting malaria (origin, type), changes in behavior towards preventive medication, travel trends with different destinations, lengths of stay and repeated stays, and local trends in the malaria epidemic (incidence, geographical distribution, epidemic profile, resistance of isolates to antimalarials). In the great majority of the cases (95%), the patients contracted the disease in sub-Saharan Africa and this distribution is stable over the study period (mainly Ivory Coast, Cameroon, Mali, and Senegal). The patients were mainly of African origin, either French residents or newly arrived from Africa, with a marked increase between 2000 (63%) and 2015 (79%) (Fig. 3). Most cases were caused by Plasmodium falciparum (85%), followed by Plasmodium ovale (7%), P. vivax (5%), and lastly P. malariae. Two cases of malaria due to Plasmodium knowlesi were also reported, both successfully treated with chloroquine. Monthly case diagnosis distribution for all Plasmodium species revealed that diagnoses peaked between July and October, with a second peak of lesser intensity in January. This distribution reflects the periods when tourists return home after school holidays. In 90% of the cases, symptom onset occurred within two months after returning from the endemic area. A higher proportion of non-falciparum cases were observed during the months of lesser activity from February to March and from October to December. This is due to the longer incubation period for these species and, above all, to the ability to cause relapses due to the activation of hypnozoites in P. vivax and P. ovale malaria. At regular intervals, cases of airport malaria or “accidental” transmission (blood transfusion-induced malaria, posttransplant malaria) were reported [16,17]. On a more exceptional basis, cases of indigenous malaria were observed in France and in Italy, but also and of greater concern, in Greece [18,19]. The mosquito vectors are therefore still present.

The clinical course of imported malaria is sometimes dramatic. Patients who contract this curable disease still die in some cases today even if they are diagnosed rapidly and receive appropriate treatment. From 2008 to 2011, the case fatality rate for Plasmodium falciparum malaria was 5.5 per 1000 in the United States [20]. The figures are very similar in the main European Union countries (except for Italy, insufficient data), where 21,247 cases of Plasmodium falciparum malaria were reported between 2008 and 2012, and associated with 111 deaths, i.e. a case fatality rate of 5.2 per thousand [21]. In mainland France, the case fatality rate was 0.4% (mostly adults) and was stable over the whole period [14]. However, severe P. falciparum malaria is becoming increasingly frequent, both in proportion and absolute numbers, from 2.13% (84 cases) in 2000 to 12.4% (295 cases) in 2015 (Fig. 4). This is in contrast with the significant reduction in the case fatality rate for patients presenting with severe malaria which fell from 12% to 2%. Treatment with intravenous artemesinat was introduced in 2011 and is now the most frequently prescribed treatment (75% of cases) for severe malaria [14]. There are several likely explanations for these two contrasting trends, observed even though no changes were made to the criteria used to define severe malaria over this period. A first plausible explanation is a change in the population presenting with imported malaria in France with a higher proportion of subjects of African origin who have been shown to have a lower mortality rate for severe malaria than subjects of Caucasian origin [22,23]. Another explanation, or a factor that adds to the previous explanation, is that the distribution of the criteria for severe malaria has shifted with time towards criteria of lesser severity (for example, the negative prognostic value of liver involvement with elevated serum bilirubin is less than that of respiratory distress or coma). A third explanation could be the improved management of severe cases, either because they are diagnosed faster or because treatment is more effective, in particular with the gradual replacement of intravenous quinine by intravenous artemesinat. These points need to be further documented.

3. Incidence of imported malaria in overseas France

In the French Caribbean islands, imported malaria is relatively stable since 2000 with 10 to 15 cases per year in Martinique and the same number in Guadeloupe [24]. These cases are mostly imported from Central and West Africa (42%), French Guiana (32%), and Haiti (23%). Due to the presence of insect vectors in this region of the Caribbean, such cases must be reported to the health authorities to rapidly implement an entomo-epidemiological survey and vector control measures around the patients. The aim of such measures being to prevent new cases resulting from indigenous transmission. P. falciparum is the main species identified (74%), followed by P. vivax (17%). Cases of imported malaria are also reported in La Réunion. Between 2000 and 2009, 1319 cases of malaria were observed in travelers having spent time in Comoros or Mayotte [24]. The number of cases of imported malaria has fallen significantly over recent years (40 cases in 2013, 19 in 2014, and 26 in 2015) in La Réunion, as is the case in New Caledonia where about 20 cases are reported per year in travelers arriving from Vanuatu.
Fig. 1. Number of cases of imported malaria reported (orange) and estimated (yellow) and number of individuals traveling to malaria-endemic areas (blue line) between 2000 and 2015 in mainland France.

Nombre de cas de paludisme d’importation déclarés (orange) et estimés (jaune) avec le nombre de voyageurs vers les zones d’endémie pour le paludisme (courbe bleue) pour la période 2000–2015 en France métropolitaine.

French National Reference Center for malaria, October 2017.

Fig. 2. Malaria incidence rate (cases per 1000 travelers) and death rate (cases per 100,000 travelers) between 2000 and 2015 in mainland France.

Évolution du taux d’incidence (cas pour 1000 voyageurs) et du taux de mortalité (cas pour 100 000 voyageurs) liés au paludisme pour la période 2000–2015 en France métropolitaine.

French National Reference Center for malaria, October 2017.
4. French overseas territories with endemic malaria: the situations in Mayotte and French Guiana

Mayotte and French Guiana are French overseas territories where malaria can be contracted.

Geographically-speaking part of the Comoros islands, Mayotte became an overseas department of France (101st department) in 2011. Faced with a regular increase in malaria-related morbidity and mortality, the health authorities reinforced in 2001 the measures taken to control the disease. Indoor residual deltamethrin spraying was initiated in 2001 at the same time as the use of rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) to actively screen patients presenting with fever. In 2007 artemether-lumefantrine was included in new treatment protocols [25]. Finally in 2010, the authorities conducted a
campaign of mass distribution of long-lasting insecticidal mosquito nets (LLINs). All these measures have significantly reduced the number of cases of malaria in Mayotte, from more than 500 cases in 2006–2007 to less than 25 cases per year since 2014 (Fig. 5) [26]. In addition, the number of cases of imported malaria that increased from 2006 to 2009 started to decrease after 2010 reaching less than 15 cases in 2014 (Table 1). After a first decline in 2011, malaria transmission has shown a marked decrease since 2013 with less than 5 cases per year. Since 2011, Mayotte is considered to have entered the elimination phase of malaria. Nevertheless, 11 cases of indigenous malaria were reported in Mayotte in 2016. This demonstrates the difficulty of completely eliminating indigenous malaria when many people travel to and from malaria endemic countries, even on an island where substantial prevention and vector control measures are implemented.

French Guiana is part of France since 1604. This European territory located on the Guiana Shield, a massive formation of Precambrian rock that is covered with tropical rainforest and has exceptionally abundant mineral resources such as gold and bauxite. French Guiana has a population of about 250,000 inhabitants who nearly all live along the Atlantic coast. Eighty per cent of the territory is covered by tropical rainforest and the road network is limited to the coastal area so some inland communities can only be reached by plane or boat.

At the beginning of the 1950s, malaria was widespread in all regions of French Guiana despite there being little data on the level of endemicity. Anti-malarial measures combining indoor residual spraying of dwellings and widespread prescription of 4-aminoquinolines, in particular the distribution of salts of amodiaquine from 1967 to 1978, were successful and eliminated malaria transmission in coastal regions [27,28]. Inland and along the rivers, varying levels of endemicity remain depending on both the disease-control measures taken and population changes. An important increase in the number of cases was reported in the 1980s [29], with sometimes more than 5000 cases per year and an incidence rate ranging from 360 to 485 cases per 1000 inhabitants. During the 1990s, French Guiana was one of the three countries in America with the highest number of malaria cases, mostly due to *P. falciparum*. At that time, the two main regions of malaria outbreak were the border areas along the Maroni River to the West (Suriname) and the Oyapock River to the East [30]. *P. vivax* is the most common *Plasmodium* species since 2005 (70% of cases in 2015) and the annual number of cases has been on the decline with only 258 cases reported in 2016 (Fig. 6) [31,32]. Imported malaria is extremely limited in French Guiana with only one or two cases every year from Haiti or Africa (data from the Endemic area service of the CNR). However, even if the number of cases reported is useful to monitor trends, the figures are underestimated because a certain number of individuals are not diagnosed and managed by the French health care system. Many of the infected people working in the rainforest mines practice self-medication or cross the border for diagnosis out of fear of prosecution by the French authorities [33,34].

At present it should be considered that there are two distinct patterns of malaria transmission in French Guiana (Fig. 7): on the one hand a high and probably stable transmission rate in the gold-mining regions in the center of the country and, on the other hand, seasonal outbreaks of “indigenous” malaria in some villages in the region around Saint-Georges and along the upper reaches of the Maroni River. The most recent study conducted in the gold-mining region between January and June 2015 included 421 gold-miners, mostly men (70.6%) and mostly Brazilian (93.8%) [35]. The average prevalence of *Plasmodium* parasite carriage determined by PCR

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reported</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imported</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown origin</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

was 22.3% (95% CI: 18.3–26.3) with 84% of asymptomatic carriers. Species identified were mainly *P. falciparum* (47.9%) followed by *P. vivax* (37.2%), with 10.6% of mixed *P. falciparum/vivax* infections. These results confirm clinical observations and previous studies that demonstrated that the main malaria transmission area in the gold-mining region is found in the localities of Maripasoula and Saul [33]. Furthermore, this transmission causes frequent outbreaks in the armed forces that fight illegal gold mining and in the villages where gold miners reside, even temporarily [36–39]. This alarming situation is however quite contrasted, and some gold mining areas are only marginally (3–5% of cases) or not at all affected by malaria (Fig. 7). Apart from the transmission in gold mining regions,
sporadic, seasonal outbreaks of indigenous malaria are observed today in the Oyapock region of French Guiana in some neighborhoods of the localities of Saint-Georges and Maripasoula. In these localities, 3–4% of the inhabitants are asymptomatic carriers, mostly infected with *P. vivax* [40]. In these regions, malaria also affects children [32].

ACT was only introduced in French Guiana in 2007 even though recommended for use in this region since 2002. Malaria control strategies are difficult to implement in this region for several reasons: French regulations still prohibit the use of single doses of primaquine to eliminate circulating gametocytes, patient management is delayed by the requirement to obtain individual temporary use authorization before using primaquine to kill hypnozoites during indigenous malaria outbreaks, health centers lack the diagnostic capacity required to diagnose G6PD deficiency and finally, malaria transmission in gold mining areas occurs in illegal immigrants living in remote regions that are not readily accessible. Taken together, these conditions facilitate continuing malaria transmission. *P. vivax* is most common along the rivers and its continued transmission relies on the relapses it causes, and *P. falciparum* is predominant in the rainforest and is an effective indicator of delayed diagnosis and patient management. Nonetheless, no malaria-related deaths have been reported since 2014. In 2013, three deaths were reported in gold miners who sought medical care at a very late stage and had other significant comorbidities. Each year 8–14% of the patients diagnosed with malaria are admitted to hospital.

5. Particular case of armed forces personnel

Although globally on the decline throughout the world, malaria is still a particular issue for the French armed forces. This can be explained by the frequent involvement of French armed forces in operations in endemic areas where the local situation increases the risk of contracting the disease: crisis situations, displaced populations, illegal immigrants, and disorganized health services. In such settings, soldiers are exposed to a high risk of malaria, as was the case during operations in the Ivory Coast [41] and the Central African Republic, or during gold mine monitoring operations in French Guiana [38]. During such operations, the lack of compliance with preventive measures can lead to significant outbreaks [41–45]. Exposure was shown to be maximum during the initial phases of an operation, crisis situations during the course of an operation, troop rotations, and when back to mainland France [41].

From January 2000 to December 2015, 6468 cases of malaria were reported in the French armed forces, of which 2430 (37.6%) were imported and occurred outside of endemic areas. Fig. 8 shows the trends for the incidence and incidence rate over this period. The peak incidence rates observed in 2003–2004, 2008–2009, and 2014 respectively reflect the beginning of the Opération Licorne in the Ivory Coast, Opération Harpie in French Guiana (against illegal gold mining), and the beginning of the Opération Sangaris in the Central African Republic. The main region of malaria infection was Central and Western Africa with 60.8% of reported cases, followed by French Guiana with 33.5% of cases, and the Sahel-Saharan strip with 4% of cases. Troops infected in Africa presented with *P. falciparum* (predominant species), *P. ovale*, and *P. malariae* infections (Fig. 9), whereas *P. vivax* malaria was most common in French Guiana.

Severe *P. falciparum* malaria accounted for 2.1% of cases and occurred more frequently after returning to mainland than in the endemic areas (2.8% vs. 1.7%; *P* = 0.003). Eight deaths were reported, five of which occurred between 2011 and 2015. In one of these cases, failure of prophylaxis was confirmed: the phenotype and genotype of the isolated *P. falciparum* strain revealed reduced susceptibility to doxycycline [46].

Doxycycline is the most frequently used antimarial prophylactic drug since 2003 (92.5%). A lack of compliance with malaria prophylaxis was demonstrated in 53.2% of cases, more frequently after returning to France than in the endemic country (61.5% vs. 49.5%, *P* = 10⁻⁴). The most frequently prescribed treatment for uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria was quinine from 2000 to 2009, atovaquone-proguanil from 2010 to 2014, and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine since 2015. In 2015, out of the 181 cases of uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria, 54.7% were treated with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine, 28.2% with atovaquone-proguanil, 9.4% with quinine, 8.8% with artemether-lumefantrine, and 1.7% with mefloquine. Patients with severe *P. falciparum* malaria were most often prescribed intravenous quinine up to 2014, and then artesunate from 2015 on.

The impact of malaria on the operations of the French armed forces can be considerable, temporarily saturating evacuation capabilities and medical facilities [47,48] with a median period of unavailability of 7 days (extreme values: 0–90 days) adding up to more than 41,000 days of soldiers’ unavailability from 2010 to 2015.

6. Trends in resistance to antimalarial drugs

The first-line treatment of non-vomiting patients presenting with uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria is artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT): artemether-lumefantrine and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (available since 2013). A third combination is also available: atovaquone-proguanil. For severe *P. falciparum* malaria, a study conducted in 2005 demonstrated that intravenous artesunate was simpler to administer, acted faster, and decreased the mortality rate more efficiently than intravenous quinine in adults [49]. Intravenous artesunate is now the first-line treatment recommended for severe malaria.

Quinine, with a loading dose, is still recommended for severe malaria if artesunate is not available, while waiting for artesunate to become available, and to treat patients who contracted the disease in an area of known resistance to artesinin (combination of artesunate, quinine, and doxycycline). The administration of quinine does not prevent the use of artesunate a few hours later.

Resistance to artesinin and its derivatives first emerged in South-East Asia in 2007 [50,51] on the border between Thailand and Cambodia. It spread to the whole of Cambodia and Thailand in 2011, and then to Laos in 2014. Another form of resistance with a different genetic profile was reported in Myanmar in 2014. According to the WHO, artesinin resistance is characterized by an increased parasite clearance time (PCT) during treatment (resistance if PCT > 5 hours) and/or persisting parasites on the third day of treatment, whereas resistance to the other molecule used in ACT is assessed by monitoring therapeutic efficacy in the patient for 28 days for lumefantrine or 42 days for piperaquine. Resistance to artesinin alone does not result in ACT failure. To qualify a region as a suspected area of artesinin resistance, at least 10% of treated subjects must display an increased parasite clearance time or persisting parasites on day 3 of treatment. Moreover to document resistance to ACT, resistance to both artesinin and its partner molecule, either piperaquine or lumefantrine, must be demonstrated. In Cambodia, parasites have developed resistance even to the most recent ACTs marketed such as dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine [52–54]. In this country, the number of new cases increased from 15.4% between 2011 and 2013 to 39% between 2012 and 2014 in the period of frequent treatment with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine, with a PCT > 5 hours for 84% of treated patients and persisting parasites on day 3 of treatment in 57% of patients. Resistance to the combination dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine was also confirmed in Vietnam in 2015 with a treatment failure rate of 35% [55]. In South
Vietnam, the proportion of patients with detectable parasitemia on day 3 of treatment with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine increased from 38% to 57% between 2011 and 2015 [56]. Over the same period, the parasite clearance half-life lengthened significantly from 3.75 hours to 6.60 hours [56]. The resistance to artemisinin derivatives observed in South-East Asia is associated with parasite phenotypes that are found to exhibit in vitro resistance [57,58] and the presence of certain mutations of the pfk13 gene that encodes the Plasmodium Kelch protein, such as the C580Y mutation [51,59]. The presence of more than 5% of Plasmodium strains containing the
C580Y mutation is sufficient to classify a region as a suspected area of resistance to artesinin and its derivatives. A region in which more than 5% of treated patients are infected with parasites carrying the C580Y mutation and where all patients display an increased parasite clearance time or persisting parasites on day 3 of treatment is considered a region of confirmed resistance to artesinin and its derivatives. Since the pfk13 gene has been identified as linked to resistance to artesinin and its derivatives, more than a hundred point mutations have been described worldwide for this gene. As of yet very few have been validated by homologous recombination [60].

Resistance to artesinin has not yet spread to Africa even if therapeutic failures are reported at regular intervals, for example in a traveler returning from Ethiopia 32 days after treatment with dihydroartesinin-piperqueaine [61]. Treatment with artemether-lumefantrine remains efficient in the African countries in which most patients treated for imported malaria in mainland France contract the disease. The current efficacy rates are 98–100% for Mali [62,63], 92–100% for the Central African Republic [64,65], 66–98% for the Republic of Congo [66,67], and 98–100% for Senegal [68,69]. No resistance mutations of the pfk13 gene, especially the C580Y mutation, have been detected in Africa [70–73], including Senegal [74,75], Mali [76], and Mayotte [77]. New mutations of the pfk13 gene have however been identified in African parasites but do not seem to be associated with artesinin derivative resistance [70,78–81]. The cases of therapeutic failure following treatment with artesunate or ACT observed in Africa or in cases of imported malaria from Africa are all associated with the presence of wild-type parasites that do not harbor mutations on the pfk13 gene [82–84].

The situation in the Guiana Shield region of South America is quite different because the pfk13 C580Y mutation was identified in isolates collected in French Guiana in 2010 [85]. In addition, the results of studies conducted in 2013 on the efficacy of artesinin treatment in Suriname demonstrated that some patients exhibited positive parasitemia on day 3 [86]. Although these results still need to be confirmed, as does the involvement of the pfk13 gene in artesinin resistance in parasites of South American genetic background, this situation is reminiscent of the emergence of chloroquine and sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine resistance in the past with resistant parasites developing independently and practically simultaneously in the same regions, South-East Asia and Amazonia [87]. In French Guiana, the pfk13 gene was sequenced in 541 isolates between 2009 and 2016 and led to the identification of a single mutation, M671I, in only one isolate (data from the Endemic area service of the CNR). Data from in vitro survival assays did not show any cause for alarm.

Besides resistance to artesinin and its derivatives, resistance to the partner drugs to artesinin should also be monitored for, in particular lumefantrine and piperqueaine which are used in France. Two genes that respectively encode Plasmen II and an exo- nuclease may be predictive of piperqueaine resistance in Cambodia although this has not been confirmed in other endemic countries [88,89]. An absence of mutation at codon 86 (N86) associated with a mutation at codon 184 (184F) of the P. falciparum multidrug resistance 1 (pfmdr1) gene that encodes an efflux pump are predictive of therapeutic failure with artemether-lumefantrine in Africa [90,91], especially in Burkina Faso [92,93] and Senegal [94]. An absence of mutation at codon 86 of the pfmdr1 gene was also reported after therapeutic failure in patients treated with artemether-lumefantrine or artesunate-mefloquine in Eastern Africa [95–97] whereas the mutant form (86Y) was observed after failure of treatment with the combination dihydroartesinin-piperqueaine in Uganda [98,99]. In French Guiana, all sequenced parasites exhibited the same pfmdr1 gene sequence which was therefore not predictive of resistance [100]. The parasites from isolates from this region all remained sensitive to lumefantrine in vitro (data from the Endemic area service of the CNR).

The first-line treatment of non-vomiting patients presenting with uncomplicated P. vivax malaria is based on chloroquine. P. vivax resistance to chloroquine (CQ) first appeared in Papua New Guinea in 1989, 32 years after the first case of P. falciparum resistance [101]. Since then it has spread to neighboring countries endemic for P. vivax infections: with cases reported as early as 1991 in the Indonesian island of Nias, 1993 in Myanmar, and 1995 in Bombay in India. In South America, the first cases of suspected resistance to CQ were described in Colombia (1989) and then in Brazil (1992) (Fig. 10). However, plasma levels did not confirm that these therapeutic failures were caused by parasite resistance to CQ [102]. Since then cases of documented resistance have been reported in isolates collected in French Guiana and Brazil [104,105]. In the Amazonian region, the chloroquine resistance rate ranges from 1.1% to 10.1% in the Manaus area (n = 11/109) after supervised treatment with CQ alone and 5.2% (n = 7/135) after concomitant treatment with CQ and primaquine (PQ) [106,107]. More recently in the Oiapoque region on the border between Brazil and French Guiana, therapeutic failure due to parasite resistance was reported in 95 patients who received supervised treatment with CQ and PQ, i.e. a resistance rate of 1.1% [108]. The therapeutic efficacy of CQ for the treatment of uncomplicated P. vivax mono-infections between 2009 and 2015 in French Guiana was estimated at 95% (95% CI: 91.7–98.3; n = 164/172) (data from the Endemic area service of the CNR). Most cases of failure were associated with the use of sub-clinical concentrations of chloroquine (n = 5/8). It may therefore be considered that P. vivax chloroquine resistance is found in French Guiana, but at the very low rate of 1.2% (95% CI: 0.2–8.2; n = 2/172). There is no need to update the recommended treatment guidelines for uncomplicated P. vivax malaria with such a low level of resistance. To prevent the spread of CQ resistance, primaquine should be prescribed to all carriers of P. vivax as quickly and as often as possible. In consequence, it seems important to assess the use of rapid diagnostic tests for G6PD deficiency or systematic screening for G6PD deficiency in all individuals who live in regions of malaria transmission.

7. Status and trends for malaria vectors

Only about sixty species of mosquitoes out of the more than 3500 species currently identified worldwide, all of which belong to the Anopheles genus, can transmit the Plasmodium parasites that cause malaria in humans. Less than 10 of these species are responsible for more than 99% of malaria transmission worldwide. Anopheles mosquitoes are not invasive insects and each continent has its own particular species [109].

In mainland France, where malaria was endemic until the beginning of the 20th century in the marshy areas of the Landes, Dombes, Brittany, Alsace, Corsica, and in the Rhone delta, 13 Anopheles species have been described. Among these species, An. atroparvus (mainland France) and An. labranchiae (Corsica) were considered to be the main vectors of the disease. A recent study conducted in the Camargue (Rhone delta) region demonstrated that A. hyrcanus, which is found in large numbers in the rice fields and is an aggressive human biter, could still harbor and transmit Plasmodium parasites [110]. An. plumbeus, that lays its eggs in water-filled tree-holes, was incriminated in two cases of indigenous malaria in Germany [111]. Although based on the presence of these species in France and Europe, there is potential for the resurgence of malaria transmission in certain areas, the risk is currently believed to be negligible [112].

In Africa, where malaria mortality is highest, the An. gambiae and An. funestus complex are the main vectors. In the wet savanna
The consequences of the loss of insecticides approved for LLINs [119]. This is currently the case for pyrethroids, the only insecticides resistant to at least one class of insecticides, and 50 to at least two classes. It is urgent that resistance management strategies be implemented [120]. Nevertheless, the operational impact of resistance to pyrethroids on the efficacy of LLINs is yet to be demonstrated. Various organochlorine, carbamate, or organophosphorus compounds could also be used for IRS. But again, resistance has been reported and some vector populations have developed resistance mechanisms acquired generation after generation by gene duplication or enhanced gene transcription [125]. However the enzymes are rarely selective and the toxic activity of some of its metabolites. Several classes of enzymes are involved in such enhanced detoxifying mechanisms, including P450 cytochrome oxidases, esterases, and glutathione-S-transferases [124]. The upregulation of the expression of these enzymes can be due to gene duplication or enhanced gene transcription [125]. However the enzymes are rarely selective and generally display a broad spectrum of activity. The insecticide resistance mechanisms acquired generation after generation by mosquitoes might therefore allow them to better tolerate other toxic molecules, such as urban pollutants, and help mosquitoes adapt to these new man-made environments [126,127].

In addition to genetic and metabolic resistance to insecticides, reports of changes in vector behavior are more and more common. Such changes include shifts in the peak biting activity from the middle of the night to dawn and dust when people are less likely to be protected by mosquito nets [128]. In the same way, the high selection pressure due to the massive use of insecticides indoors (LLINs and IRS) favor the development of exophilic species (that live and bite outdoors) that are not in contact with LLINs. None of the currently used vector control measures target the “residual transmission” of malaria parasites that takes place outdoors. Hence, the conditions of malaria transmission in Africa are changing. From a disease transmitted in rural areas by mosquitoes biting preferentially indoors during the night, malaria is today transmitted by mosquitoes resistant to insecticides, that are colonizing urban areas and have changed their biting times and places. New tools and integrated vector control strategies must now be developed, assessed, and implemented to address these new issues of resistance to insecticides and control of residual transmission [129].

8. Conclusion

Over the last 15 years, the rate of new malaria infections and the malaria death rate have significantly declined around the world. This trend is confirmed in French Guiana and Mayotte. Imported malaria in mainland France, however, has increased over the last 5 years. Plasmodium species are developing resistance to most of grasslands and the forests of Central Africa, other species such as An. nill and An. mouchetti are also involved in the transmission of malaria and can locally be the predominant species when their population density is high [113]. They preferentially bite humans rather than animals, at night, and follow people inside buildings and homes. Although originally considered to be found only in rural areas, some species are now colonizing the outskirts of large African cities, and can even be found in the center of towns, in polluted environments into which they had not yet ventured [114].

In South America and in particular French Guiana, the main malaria vector is An. darlingi. The species is widespread throughout the continent and very much prefers to feed on humans. It is a natural host for P. falciparum, P. vivax, and P. malariæ [115]. Other species have however been shown to contribute to malaria transmission, especially in gold-mining regions, such as An. intermedius, An. oswaldoi, An. nuneztovari, and An. marajoara [116,117].

Recent progress in fighting malaria, in terms of the significant decrease in the number of cases of severe malaria, has been largely attributed to enhanced vector control in many countries, in particular the large-scale distribution of long-lasting insecticidal mosquito nets (LLINs), sometimes associated with indoor residual spraying (IRS) of insecticides. It has been estimated that the use of LLINs alone prevented more than 450 million cases of malaria from 2000 to 2015 [6]. These gains are impressive, but remain fragile. The widespread use in human health, farming, and market gardening of an ever-smaller number of insecticide molecules has resulted in the emergence of vector resistance [118]. Parasite resistance is today a major issue and impacts most of the countries with the highest malaria burden. According to the WHO [2], out of the 73 malaria endemic countries that reported surveillance data on vector resistance to insecticides between 2010 and 2015, 60 countries detected resistance to at least one class of insecticides, and 50 to at least two classes. This is currently the case for pyrethroids, the only insecticides approved for LLINs [119]. The consequences of the loss of efficacy of LLINs, a major tool in the fight against malaria, would be disastrous and it is urgent that resistance management strategies be implemented [120]. Nevertheless, the operational impact of resistance to pyrethroids on the efficacy of LLINs is yet to be demonstrated. Various organochlorine, carbamate, or organophosphorus compounds could also be used for IRS. But again, resistance has been reported and some vector populations have developed multiple insecticide resistance, as observed in Cameroon [121] and Burkina Faso [122].

Various mechanisms of resistance to insecticides have been described. The best known and documented mechanisms involve point mutations in the genes that encode the protein targets of the insecticides. For example, the knockdown resistance (kdr) mutations of the voltage-gated sodium channel gene result in resistance to DDT (organochlorine pesticide) and pyrethroids [119], and the Ace1R mutations of the acetylcholinesterase gene in resistance to carbamates and organophosphorus compounds. Gene duplication has also been reported, especially in Benin and Burkina Faso [123]. Another mechanism of resistance to insecticides is to enhance the activity of detoxifying enzymes that directly metabolize the insecticide molecule, accelerate its elimination or inhibit the toxic activity of some of its metabolites. Several classes of enzymes are involved in such enhanced detoxifying mechanisms, including P450 cytochrome oxidases, esterases, and glutathione-S-transferases [124]. The upregulation of the expression of these enzymes can be due to gene duplication or enhanced gene transcription [125]. However the enzymes are rarely selective and generally display a broad spectrum of activity. The insecticide resistance mechanisms acquired generation after generation by mosquitoes might therefore allow them to better tolerate other toxic molecules, such as urban pollutants, and help mosquitoes adapt to these new man-made environments [126,127].

Fig. 10. Areas of P. vivax resistance to chloroquine in South America, 1999–2015 (according to Price et al., 2014 [102]).

Les différents foyers de résistance à la chloroquine de P. vivax en Amérique du Sud, 1999–2015 (d’après Price et al., 2014 [102]).
the antimalarial drugs used to prevent and treat malaria, even to the latest combination therapies marketed such as ACTs. Likewise, *Anopheles* mosquitoes, the insects that transmit the disease, are currently developing resistance to most insecticides. Continuous urgent effort is therefore required to reach the recently defined goals of malaria control and elimination. To fight the disease more effectively, the guidelines for the prevention and treatment of malaria should be updated, when necessary, and surveillance of the different epidemiological features and resistance patterns implemented to develop relevant strategies that include innovative initiatives taking into account the specific characteristics of each geographical area.
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