How development sculpts hippocampal circuits and function

Rosa Cossart^{1*} and Rustem Khazipov^{1,2}

¹Aix Marseille Univ, Inserm, INMED, Turing Center for Living Systems, Marseille France; and

²Laboratory of Neurobiology, Kazan Federal University, Kazan Russia

*Corresponding author: Rosa Cossart (rosa.cossart@inserm.fr; INMED, INSERM U1249, 163 route de Luminy, BP. 13, 13273 Marseille cedex 9, France)

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	3
Lasting traces of early development in adult hippocampal circuits	4
Emergence of the hippocampal structure	10
Hippocampal neurogenesis.....	10
Migration of hippocampal cells.....	11
Maturation of the cellular properties of hippocampal cells	13
Developmental cell death	14
Wiring of hippocampal circuits	15
Early hippocampal dynamics.....	17
Spontaneous activity	18
Spontaneous uncorrelated activity	19
Spontaneous uncorrelated activity	19
Synchronous plateau assemblies	20
Giant Depolarizing Potentials (GDPs): spontaneous synapse-driven activity.....	21
Early Sharp Waves and tails in vivo	23
Intermittent beta-gamma and theta oscillations.....	25
Role of GABAergic circuits in coordinating early hippocampal dynamics	27
Emergence of hippocampal sequences	29
Development of hippocampus-dependent cognitive functions	31
Summary and conclusion	33
References.....	42

Abstract

In mammals, the selective transformation of transient experience into stored memory occurs in the hippocampus, which develops representations of specific events in the context in which they occur. In this review, we focus on the development of hippocampal circuits and the self-organized dynamics embedded within them since the latter critically support the role of the hippocampus in learning and memory. We first discuss evidence that adult hippocampal cells and circuits are sculpted by development as early as during embryonic neurogenesis. We argue that these primary developmental programs provide a scaffold onto which later experience of the external world can be grafted. Next, we review the different sequences in the development of hippocampal cells and circuits at anatomical and functional levels. We cover a period extending from neurogenesis and migration to the appearance of phenotypic diversity within hippocampal cells, and their wiring into functional networks. We describe the progressive emergence of network dynamics in the hippocampus, from sensorimotor-driven early sharp waves to sequences of place cells tracking relational information. We outline the critical turn points and discontinuities in that developmental journey, and close by formulating open questions. We propose that rewinding the process of hippocampal development helps understand the main organization principles of memory circuits.

Graphical abstract

CLINICAL HIGHLIGHTS

- Hippocampal circuits produce cognitive maps in the form of sequences of neuronal activation representing space and time.
- Internal dynamics are important for hippocampal function and partly preconfigured, possibly during development.
- The functional organization of the adult hippocampus is not only formed through experience-dependent plasticity, but partly hardwired at the earliest stages of development, including embryonic neurogenesis.
- The emergence of recurrent connectivity is a critical step in the development of the hippocampal structure
- Activity-dependent wiring of hippocampal circuits is supported by a sequence of early spontaneous activities progressively emerging during the first postnatal month in rodents, which corresponds to the last trimester of gestation.
- Self-generated movements trigger hippocampal activity in a bottom-up fashion at early perinatal stages
- The hippocampus may perform generalization based on statistical learning from the sensory world before being able to support egocentric episodic memory.
- The study of hippocampal development in the context of circuit physiology will open the way for cracking memory circuits in the brain in health and disease

86

87

Introduction

88

89 The fundamental and clinical research in the hippocampal field over the past seventy
90 years has significantly contributed to our understanding of the circuit basis of memory.
91 Indeed, it is now well accepted that the hippocampus is not only a region for place
92 representation and navigation, but also a key brain structure involved in episodic memory and
93 planning (42, 206, 224). All these processes rely on the ability of hippocampal networks to
94 form cognitive maps stored as sequences of related experienced events and visited places that
95 can be mentally traveled through in space and time. This review aims at describing how and
96 when these circuits emerge during development and signifying how early development
97 scaffolds our memory networks.

97

98 Hippocampal cognitive maps are produced by sequences of transient neuronal
99 activation that keep track of and order spatial and non-spatial information (continuously
100 varying) in an allocentric or egocentric reference frame (15, 92, 147, 208). They may unfold
101 at various temporal scales, from several seconds, the timescale of behavior, to a few
102 milliseconds, nested and compressed within the period of the theta rhythm (~8Hz) or within
103 sharp-wave ripples (~200Hz) (43, 80). Interestingly, hippocampal sequences do not simply
104 represent serially ordered external information, rather, they arise from the interaction
105 between environmental inputs and internal dynamics supported by the intrinsic functional
106 properties of the hippocampal network (43, 135). The internal functional organization of
107 hippocampal circuits is indeed a major contributor to sequence generation. The sequential
108 activation of hippocampal neurons can be disengaged from external signals at all timescales.
109 Hence, hippocampal sequences unfolding at the behavioral timescale have been recorded in
110 the absence of changing sensory or feedback cues, mainly during running behavior (79, 127,
111 148, 208, 260). Similarly, still during exploration, but nested within the period of a theta
112 cycle, sequences representing the ongoing trajectory in space at an accelerated rate that are
113 involved in decision and planning also emerge from the integration of sensori-motor
114 information into internal dynamics (80). Most notably, hippocampal sequences critically
115 involved in memory encoding and consolidation are also observed offline during quiet rest or
116 sleep, when body or environmental control over dynamics are minimal (156, 230, 266). In
117 addition, hippocampal sequences are rooted within functional circuits that are remarkably
rigid against transient perturbation (260, 278) and stable across days (109). It is possible

that hippocampal sequences originate from a reservoir of predefined sequences wired prior to experience, as evidenced by the “preplay” phenomenon (50, 77, 107, 159). Finally, the development of hippocampus-dependent memory is protracted and reflected by the late emergence of internally-generated sequences (91, 197, 216).

In sum, sequences of neuronal activation, a basic circuit motif of hippocampal function in memory, are produced by specific functional connectivity schemes which are partly prewired. This basic prewiring may originate throughout the construction of hippocampal circuits during development. This review will illustrate how early development, from embryonic neurogenesis to perinatal neuronal maturation and postnatal formation of local and long-range connections, provides an interesting framework to gain understanding of hippocampal function at circuit level. We will mainly focus on CA1, however, when possible, we will use examples from other hippocampal sub-regions to illustrate how general principles can be extended. First, we will summarize the growing body of literature indicating that many developmental traces remain in adult hippocampal circuits at various levels of analysis and different spatial scales. In other words, early developmental programs, prior to experience, seem to provide a strong scaffold on the organization of adult hippocampal networks. One way to understand the circuit basis of sequence prewiring is to deconstruct circuits as they mature, given that development offers natural sequential time windows on distinct circuits as they develop and progressively give rise to different dynamics and function. We will thus review the emergence of hippocampal structure. Next, we will present the progressive emergence of hippocampal dynamics. We will show how different dynamics emerge sequentially, further demonstrating how development offers natural dissection of hippocampal circuits. Last, we will show how the progressive emergence of hippocampus-dependent cognitive functions reflects the developmental timelines reviewed in the other sections. This review will outline the early postnatal period as a major time window of hippocampal development, including critical activity-dependent turn points. We will close this review by formulating open questions.

Lasting traces of early development in adult hippocampal circuits

The hippocampus is an elongated brain structure spanning three main anatomical axes (Figure 1A): (i) a longitudinal axis from the septal (dorsal) to the temporal (ventral) pole; (ii) a

transverse axis following the path of the trisynaptic circuit, from Dentate Gyrus to CA3c, b, a to CA1c, b, a; and (iii) a radial axis, from deep (closer to the alveus) to superficial (closer to the fissure). Numerous studies indicate that the intrinsic morpho-physiological properties of principal neurons (47, 75, 76, 122, 158, 176, 181, 257), their gene expression (47, 74), local and long-range connectivity, and ultimately their function often segregate along these axes in the adult. We argue that embryonic temporal origin or neuronal “birthdate” (final cell division), likely acts as a major segregating factor contributing to most of the diversity. Indeed, several functional traits of regional specializations can be predicted from temporal origin (Table 1). Before reviewing how the hippocampal structure develops in detail in the next section, we will briefly summarize the temporal order of neurogenesis along the three hippocampal axes mentioned above. Most of what we know about the main gradients of formation of different hippocampal circuits comes from early studies using ³H-thymidine autoradiography. In mice, hippocampal neurons are born between E10 and birth (with the exception of the Dentate Gyrus). We will mainly focus on CA1 but use examples from other hippocampal sub-regions to illustrate how this general principle can be extended to all hippocampal regions. The two hippocampal axes displaying the most significant differences in their time of origin are the transverse and radial axes (46). CA2 neurons (and subiculum) are born first, followed by distal CA1 (CA1a and b, i.e. closer to subiculum, see Figure 1A) and distal CA3 (CA3 a-b, i.e. closer to CA2) (22, 46). In the transverse axis, CA1c (closer to CA2) and CA3c (closer to the Dentate Gyrus) are the last regions of Ammon’s horn to be born, just before the Dentate Gyrus, which continues adding new neurons into adulthood(46). The peak of neurogenesis in mice in CA3 (E14) occurs one day before CA1 (E15) (12). In the radial axis, as in the neocortex, successive generations of glutamatergic neurons occupying the principal pyramidal and granule cell layers of the hippocampus migrate past the existing earlier born neurons thus creating layers in an “inside-out” fashion. Therefore, superficial neurons (closer to the *stratum radiatum*) are in general born later than deep neurons (closer to the *stratum oriens*). It is of note that this deep to superficial gradient is less pronounced in CA3 than CA1 (22). In contrast, there is no obvious developmental gradient of neurogenesis along the dorsoventral axis in the CA1 region, unlike CA3 or entorhinal cortex, where ventral neurons are born significantly later than dorsal ones (22, 73). In sum, in CA1, earlier born (eb) neurons are preferentially found throughout the dorsoventral axis, in CA1a,b (distal), and in deep radial positions, whereas later born (lb) neurons are located in CA1c and closer to the

stratum radiatum (Figure 1A). This gradient matches the quantitative differences in the passive and active electrophysiological properties of pyramidal neurons (PN) in the adult CA1. Indeed, adult CA1 PNs occupying regions where PNs are presumably born earlier display a smaller HCN-mediated h-current (I_h) (176, 181), a lower membrane resistance (R_m) (103, 181)) as well as higher excitability (47, 189) and bursting propensity (see Table 1 and Figure 1B). Interestingly, cells located in the *subiculum* or CA2, two subregions with an earlier temporal origin than CA1, also display a smaller I_h (163) (but see (234)), a lower R_m (129, 163, 234), higher excitability (207), and burst propensity (69). It should be noted that CA2 itself was recently shown to display significant heterogeneity along the proximo-distal axes (93), despite its overall earlier temporal origin compared to CA1 and CA3, possibly indicating the need for in depth examination of its embryonic developmental schedule. The diversity of intrinsic electrophysiological properties among CA3 PNs also distributes along the proximo-distal axis, revealing a similar trend for R_m with the earlier born distal CA3 (CA3a) displaying a lower R_m than proximal CA3 cells (234). Different trends for I_h and excitability have been reported with earlier born regions (CA2, CA3a) displaying a larger I_h and lower excitability, but higher burst propensity (20, 69, 234). We will see in the next section how these cellular properties follow a stereotyped schedule during development, serving as ideal proxies of neuronal maturation stage, as if the delays in maturation originating from different neuronal birthdates partly remained in the adult. As expected from the lack of clear developmental gradient in CA1 along the longitudinal axis, the dorso-ventral segregation of these properties is not as clear, while a continuous genetic gradient has been observed (47). That said, PNs located in the dorsal part of CA1 (possibly slightly older than the ventral region), display less I_h , a lower R_m and a lower action potential threshold (9, 12, 22, 73). The link between birthdate and integration into adult hippocampal circuits is particularly striking when considering connectivity. This was already evident in seminal early studies that noted how the order of neurogenesis in the entorhinal cortex, proceeding from lateral to medial, also strictly correlated with the order of its termination on CA1 PNs, with afferent fibers from older cells of origin (lateral entorhinal cortex) projecting to older CA1 pyramidal cells (CA1a), while afferent fibers from younger cells (medial entorhinal cortex) projected to younger CA1 pyramidal cells (CA1b,c, (22)). This isochronic patterning of entorhinal projections has been recently further dissected at functional level across the transverse and radial CA1 axes (180) and also applies to the inputs from the early generated CA2 region, projecting onto deep

CA1PNs (145, 202, 257). Similarly, in the dorsal CA3, entorhinal inputs (early born) are more abundant in the earlier born distal CA3 region (CA3a&b) whereas the opposite trend is observed for the later generated mossy fiber inputs that are more abundant in the later born proximal CA3, again following a temporal matching rule (234). Interestingly, the distal dendritic length of pyramidal neurons in CA2 and CA3 correlates with their burst propensity and their response to *stratum lacunosum* stimulation (69, 116). As observed for entorhinal afferents, output fibers from presumably early-born or late-born hippocampal regions also target older versus younger laminae of the lateral septum and mamillary body pars posterior, respectively (10). In addition, superficial CA1 pyramidal cells are more likely to project to the entorhinal cortex than deep cells which preferentially target earlier-born reward-related structures such as the striatum (231). This rule by which the temporal order of neurogenesis imposes the patterning of connectivity to form isochronic circuits has been more recently directly evidenced at the single-cell level throughout the hippocampal glutamatergic trisynaptic circuit (63). This again may be a direct consequence of the mechanisms by which these circuits form during development (see below).

Maybe even more than for local excitatory glutamatergic circuits, the overall mesoscopic organization of adult GABAergic inhibitory circuits is particularly interesting to revisit from the perspective of developmental timing (Figure 1C). Indeed, both along the radial and transverse axes of development, it appears that late born PN (superficial) and subregions (CA3c) are more likely to drive CA1 interneurons, while early born regions (CA2, CA3a) and cells (deep) receive stronger inhibitory inputs (72, 158, 207, 234, 257). In addition, the fine temporal pairing rule of connectivity also seems to apply to GABAergic circuits, since early born PV cells target deep CA1 cells while late born PV cells target superficial CA1 cells (72). The equation between birthdate and laminar position also holds for hippocampal GABAergic neurons, where neurons born in the Medial Ganglionic Eminence (MGE), generated earlier, distribute in deep layers (*stratum oriens and pyramidale*), while cells born in the Caudal Ganglionic Eminence (CGE) mainly locate in superficial layers (*stratum lacunosum moleculare*) (245). This fine organization of inhibitory and excitatory circuits according to birth order may be predetermined at the earliest stages of development given that glutamatergic ensembles sharing a common clonal origin also share common presynaptic perisomatic GABAergic inputs (271).

The pre-existing differences in excitability or connectivity rooted in the different temporal origins of hippocampal neurons should result in functional differences (89). Interestingly, linked to the advent of large-scale approaches to record neuronal activity there has been a recent rise of interest in the analysis of the heterogeneity in place field properties among hippocampal neurons. These recent studies mostly use extracellular recordings and/or head-fixed preparations, which should be taken into account when interpreting the findings given the unprecise spatial resolution of the former and the difference in place cell properties reported in the latter (2, 49, 217). Regardless, when combining the information of many recent reports a clear picture emerges by which early born subregions and PNs (i.e. CA2, CA3a, CA1a,b, and deep CA1) are comprised of a higher fraction of place-modulated neurons (59, 189), however, their spatial coding specificity is poorer (59, 97, 113, 119, 207) as they are more likely to display multiple and/or wider and less stable place fields than their younger counterparts (CA1c, CA3c, superficial CA1, Figure 1D). The latter not only display highly selective and stable place fields, but are also better at discriminating transient tactile, olfactory or object information (97, 163). One interesting possibility could be that PNs located in the deep CA1 sublayer, although place-modulated, comprise cells representing contextual identity rather than a spatial map. Indeed it was recently established that “engram cells” (cells expressing cfos after presentation of a novel context), like many deep CA1 PNs (189) and unlike other place cells, exhibit higher firing rates, larger place fields with poorer information content, and higher modulation by entorhinal inputs (241). In other words, hippocampal function may be roughly divided into two functional categories according to birthdate, where regions and cells generated early would serve a generalizing function and later ones would assist content discrimination. This is a general rule that may even extend to the function of the late-generated dentate gyrus and CA3c (157, 178, 234). More particularly, in CA1, older PNs are presumably better tuned to receive external sensory inputs as their firing is more anchored to external landmarks while later born PNs, would be more likely to convey an internal “memory stream”, more likely to participate in SWRs, and more likely to convey self-referenced information, with slower if any remapping and more stable place maps (59, 97, 107, 145, 189). This hypothesis agrees with the earlier maturation of unstable landmark-based place cells (223, 265), the “overgeneralizing” infantile memory, and protracted emergence of episodic memory and idiothetic navigation (216).

While neuronal physiology and function seem to match well the temporal schedules of development across the main hippocampal axes at the population level (Figure 1), there are exceptions to this statement. For example, resting membrane potential, action potential threshold or dendritic morphology do not seem to segregate that well along the radial and transverse axes as a function of temporal origin (47, 75, 158, 163, 181). The distribution of soma position itself can diverge from developmental axes at the population level when examining specific neuronal subtypes (as reported for stellate cells in EC LII vs. pyramidal cells in EC LIII, (73)). Hence, hippocampal cells originating from the earliest stages of neurogenesis (around E10) are often uniformly distributed rather than anatomically clustered at specific locations (46, 221). Altogether, this indicates that the link between developmental origin and adult position and function may also need to be examined at single neuron level. Various fate-mapping approaches have been developed to permanently label individual neurons at the moment they exit cell division. With these methods, it has been shown that the adult phenotype of the diverse population of hippocampal GABAergic neurons is rooted in their spatio-temporal embryonic origins (16, 51, 126, 211, 244, 245, 259). A particularly appealing population of cells are those pioneering hippocampal neurogenesis, the earliest cohorts of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons (Figure 2). Using inducible genetic fate-mapping that allows for the labelling of neuronal precursors according to the developmental schedule at which they express specific sets of transcription factors, pioneer GABAergic cells develop into a network of long-range projecting GABAergic neurons linking the adult hippocampus to the septum and entorhinal cortex (259). These cells are morphologically and neurochemically diverse but share this major distinctive anatomical feature. In addition, pioneer GABA cells display specific intrinsic excitability and connectivity schemes (30), including a bias for long-range targets and local excitatory inputs. *In vivo*, they signal a variety of network states (30), thus sharing this generalization function with their glutamatergic early born counterparts. A similar approach has been applied for glutamatergic neurons in CA3 and DG (175, 221). Like pioneer GABA cells, the earliest born glutamatergic neurons display distinctive neuronal physiology but diverse morphologies, with a lower excitability in early born DG neurons (221) and a higher propensity to trigger network bursts in the absence of fast inhibition (175) or to control local network transfer function (151, 221). Interestingly, both early born GABA and glutamatergic neurons share a stronger network influence than other cells, in CA1, CA3, Dentate Gyrus and entorhinal cortex (8, 30, 100, 175, 211, 221).

It is thus becoming increasingly clear that development provides a significant scaffold to hippocampal circuits that can be revealed at both the single-cell and population levels. Therefore, studying the developmental establishment of functional circuits should provide a unique tool to dissect the rules governing adult hippocampal organization. The next sessions will review the emergence of a mature hippocampus at the structural and functional level.

Emergence of the hippocampal structure

One mechanism by which temporal origin may structure neuronal phenotypes in the hippocampus is by providing the opening step in a chain of stereotyped processes involving preset sequences of transcription factor signaling (242) and activity-dependent regulations occurring during migration, early postnatal cellular maturation and later integration into functional networks. There is indeed a tight correlation between several markers of development and the age of individual cells (8, 73, 175). We will now describe the timing of all of these early steps, focusing on rodent literature and on events that are more prominent or different in the hippocampus.

Hippocampal neurogenesis

Molecular signals from the cortical hem, a source of Wingless-related (WNT) and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling located in the embryonic dorsomedial telencephalon, instruct the formation of the hippocampus as opposed to the neocortex (98, 173). Similar to their counterparts in the neocortex, excitatory glutamatergic neurons in the hippocampus are produced locally by progenitors in the ventricular zone of the primordial hippocampal area, adjacent to the cortical hem (12, 22, 205, 277), while prospective inhibitory GABAergic neurons originate from the medial and caudal ganglionic eminences in the ventral telencephalon (212, 245). The time span of hippocampal neurogenesis in rodents is compressed within less than ten embryonic days, from day 10 to 18. In humans, hippocampal neurogenesis occurs within 2 weeks, from Gestational Week (GW) 16 to 18 (277). In the mouse, pyramidal cells are generated between E10 and E18, with a peak at E14 in CA3 and E15 in CA1 (Figure 3). Subiculum and CA2 terminate neurogenesis earlier (E15) than CA1 and CA3 (E16). As in the neocortex, the peak of neurogenesis for GABAergic neurons occurs earlier (around E12) than for glutamatergic cells. GABAergic neurons are born

between E9 and birth and the timing of their birth has a significant impact on their adult fate. In the hippocampus, MGE-derived GABAergic neurons are globally born earlier than CGE-derived neurons. Interestingly, hippocampal MGE-derived interneurons are generated earlier and within a narrower temporal window than their neocortical homologs (210). MGE-derived interneurons include PV-expressing GABA neurons (basket cells, axo-axonic, bistratified cells), Ivy cells, SST-expressing GABA cells (like OLM cells or long-range GABA cells), and a subset of Neurogliaform cells (210). The earliest born GABA cells (E9), presumably of MGE origin, operate as hub neurons during early postnatal development and later become a diverse population of GABA neurons, including a subset of somatostatin-expressing cells, with an extrahippocampal target (30, 259). The peak of neurogenesis of SST and PV interneurons in CA1 occurs at the same time, two days after the earliest cells are born, at E11.5, which contrasts with the neocortex, where PV neurogenesis is delayed from SST by about 2 days. Two days later (E13.5), the peak of neurogenesis for nNOS-expressing interneurons occurs, and later still (E15.5), that of PV-expressing chandelier cells. In other words, there seems to be a paced wave of neurogenesis among MGE-derived interneurons, initiated by long-range hub neurons, followed by basket and O-LM cells, nNOS interneurons and closed by chandelier cells (Figure 3). Hippocampal CGE-derived interneurons include CCK-, VIP-, CR-, reelin-M2R- and some SST-expressing interneurons as well as a subset of Neurogliaform cells. These are generated later than MGE-derived cells, with CCK-, VIP-, and M2R- interneurons generated at around E13 followed at E16 by CR- cells. In contrast, reelin- and CoupTFII- expressing cells are produced consistently throughout this developmental period. We will see below how the emergence of a recurrent network provided by local interneurons is a critical step in the patterning of internal hippocampal dynamics. We will also see how hippocampal developmental studies may receive inspiration from studies performed in the neocortex, where the circuit maturation and fate-mapping of interneurons is more advanced than in the hippocampus, where most of the information described above comes from a single study by McBain and colleagues (245).

Migration of hippocampal cells

The hippocampus is quite different from the neocortex regarding neuronal migration. Interestingly, multiple migration modes, speeds and routes have been reported depending on birthdate. In mice *Cornus Ammonis* (CA), migration occurs from embryonic neurogenesis to

the end of the first postnatal week, when the last interneurons find their final position. Hippocampal migration is a slower process than in the neocortex (about one week for CA glutamatergic neurons and two days for GABA neurons, Figure 3). As in the neocortex, hippocampal glutamatergic cells and GABAergic neurons follow different routes. In contrast to their neocortical counterparts, hippocampal pyramidal neurons do not migrate straight along a single radial glial fiber, but instead can spend some days in a multipolar state above the ventricular zone and later migrate in a “climbing mode” along different radial processes (144), which eventually even bend perpendicularly to the radial axis (271). Early-born pyramidal neurons migrate faster than later-born ones, which take 7–9 days to reach their final destinations, as they remain frozen for about 3 days in CA1 and 4 days in CA3 in the multipolar state (114, 144). One major difference with the neocortex, related to these peculiar migration modes, is the fact that clonally related glutamatergic neurons in the CA1 region are arranged horizontally rather than vertically, due to their migration along horizontally bending radial glia (271).

Migration is also longer for hippocampal GABA neurons (48–72 h) than neocortical ones (24–48 h), maybe due to the longer distance to be traveled (245). They invade the hippocampus when pyramidal neurons are already settled and acquire their final position within the first postnatal week. Again, migration depends on birth order, with early born GABA cells migrating at a slower pace (more than 2 days to reach the hippocampus) than later born ones (Figure 3) (245). Interestingly, the first GABA cells colonize CA1 (from the subiculum) one day before CA3 (at around E14) through the superficial tangential migratory stream (closer to the alveus), whereas the deep stream stops at the CA1/CA3 border (172). Only after E16 do interneurons reach CA3 from the superficial migratory stream only, and by E17 the Dentate Gyrus (172). Overall, interneurons are present in CA1 as early as E17, before pyramidal cells (83). Interestingly, in contrast to the neocortex, hippocampal interneurons migrate primarily through the superficial path (from the pia in the neocortex), then radially to their final position. This process depends on AMPA-R activation (172), whereas migration of glutamatergic neurons depends on GABA_A-R activation (171). This indicates a possible crosstalk during migration between these two main cell-types which may result in the precise orchestration of their final positioning and wiring. It should be noted that migration still operates at a time when the first coordinated neuronal activity patterns emerge in the hippocampus (see below).

404
405 *Maturation of the cellular properties of hippocampal cells*

406 Maturation, the progression of cellular properties to their adult values, is a long
407 process as revealed by the late transcriptional diversification of the dorsal and ventral parts of
408 the hippocampus between P28 and P45 (155), or by the continuous growth of hippocampal
409 volume until at least 5 years of age in non-human primates (152). It was recently proposed
410 that the maturation of the entorhinal-hippocampal network, as indirectly revealed by the
411 expression of specific anatomical markers (73), occurs sequentially along the main direction
412 of information flow through the circuit with stellate cells in layer 2 of the medial entorhinal
413 cortex being the first to display adult-like markers (P14), followed by CA3 (P20) then CA1
414 (P23) and 3 days later by dentate gyrus, subiculum, layer 5 of the medial and lateral
415 entorhinal cortices, and, last layer 2 of the lateral entorhinal cortex (> P30). This order of
416 maturation tracking the main information route in the hippocampus does not exactly match
417 the chronological order of neurogenesis described above, as the subiculum or LEC are
418 generated earlier than CA1 and CA3, which are both generated roughly at the same time. In
419 fact, in humans, CA1 neurons were recently shown to be “more mature” than CA3 neurons at
420 GW22 based on single-neuron transcriptomic data (277). Similarly in the rhesus macaque
421 monkey, quantification of structural and molecular markers reveals that CA1 reaches adult-
422 like volumes and levels of gene expression 6-months earlier than CA3, which only displays
423 mature properties after one year of age (152). In fact, these conclusions depend on the
424 biomarkers used to track cellular maturation. The previous study (73) used
425 immunohistochemical analysis of doublecortin, parvalbumin and bassoon expression. All
426 three markers are developmentally regulated but may not necessarily reflect the maturation
427 of functional neuronal properties. Rather, there seems to be a good match between birthdate
428 and cellular maturation. Indeed, the development of morpho-physiological intrinsic
429 properties, connectivity or membrane expression of KCC2 in fate-mapped glutamatergic and
430 GABAergic hippocampal neurons depends on their time of birth (8, 63, 175, 259).
431 Furthermore, this relation between maturation and birth date translates functionally in the
432 spontaneous activity observed at single-cell level (Figure 4C). Hence, developing hippocampal
433 neurons are sequentially involved in spontaneous activities coordinated first by electrical
434 synapses in the form of Synchronous Plateau Assemblies (SPA, Figures 4C&7), and later by

GABAergic synapses (7, 55) within Giant Depolarizing Potentials (GDPs, (24), Figures 4C,7&8).

Interestingly, these activities, described in slices, therefore isolated from extra-hippocampal and sensory influences, most likely reflect self-organized hippocampal dynamics emerging from the global maturation stage of the neuronal population. Within a given slice, individual cells will be either involved in SPA or GDP networks depending on their birthdate (8). In sum, the spontaneous activity of a given cell will reflect its birthdate, which in turn should contribute to its integration into functional networks with age-matched cells displaying similar activities, ultimately forming temporally-matched circuits as observed in adults (63). We have seen above that the two physiological cellular metrics that best segregated adult GABA and glutamatergic hippocampal neurons according to their presumed birthdate were I_h and R_m . Interestingly, the expression of both is tightly regulated during development. Membrane resistance and I_h typically linearly decrease as a function of age (at least until P35, Figure 4B (25, 76, 237)). Accordingly, all neurons involved in SPAs display a larger sag current than more mature cells involved in GDPs (55). Altogether, more mature neurons in the developing hippocampus have a lower sag and lower R_m , which is exactly the same difference as observed in the adult, once development is complete, between neurons with a putative older temporal origin and their peers. This is almost as if younger neurons never caught up with their older peers. In other words, the differences between hippocampal neurons seen in the adult may result from the naturally arrested maturing process of all cells following the same developmental journey from different starting points. This concept may even extend to connectivity patterns.

Developmental cell death

Most of the maturing hippocampal neurons end up wiring into functional networks, however a significant fraction of them are eliminated by cell death. This physiological process by which excess cells are removed through programmed apoptotic death is essential for the development of balanced networks (130, 199, 232, 268). Developmental apoptosis has been the recent focus of several excellent studies (29, 81, 213, 232, 268) in the developing neocortex (see (45, 269) for review). This phenomenon has not been reexamined as thoroughly in the hippocampus as in the neocortex. However, the hippocampus is known to display similar features. As in the neocortex, developmental apoptosis is mainly observed

during the first postnatal week in rodents and affects both glutamatergic and GABAergic cells. In the neocortex, cell death occurs in excitatory cells between birth and P5 and between P5 and P10 in interneurons (268) and results in the disappearance of more than one third of both cell types (45, 232, 269). As in the neocortex, developmental apoptosis involving hippocampal principal cells and interneurons is strongly stimulated by ethanol (124, 167). The temporal schedule and the intensity of developmental cell death also depends on the cell type in the hippocampus and it displays regional differences. For example, the hippocampal subregions that appear to display most signs of apoptosis are the CA1 stratum oriens and the distal CA1 (94). The density of apoptotic cell debris peaks at around P4 in the mouse CA1, together with microglial cell density (90) while fragmented DNA was preferentially observed at P1 in rat pups (264). Some subpopulations like CGE-derived hippocampal interneurons decrease by up to 80% between birth and P10 (246). In contrast to the neocortex, the population of pioneer Cajal-Retzius cells residing in the hippocampus displays a delayed cell death, independent from caspase 3 activity and with almost twice as many surviving cells compared to the neocortex (14, 45). Cell death does not simply coincide with periods of high levels of spontaneous neuronal activity, it is an activity-dependent process (whereby increased activity generally promotes survival) (45, 167, 199, 269). The molecular mechanisms linking electrical activity to developmental apoptosis are starting to be elucidated (198, 213, 269). Interestingly, apoptosis seems to depend on the precise dynamics and mechanisms supporting spontaneous activity (29, 81, 198). It will be of great interest to determine the type of spontaneous activity preferentially regulating cell-death in the hippocampus. The time course and distribution of apoptosis in the hippocampus as well as the coupling between maturation and cell death through calcineurin (213) suggest involvement of SPAs (55). Future work is needed to gain a better understanding of the mechanisms and developmental profile of programmed cell death in the hippocampus.

Wiring of hippocampal circuits

Local recurrent connectivity and long-range extrahippocampal inputs differ in their developmental profile, the former globally emerging later (postnatally, (99, 179)) than the latter (before birth, see below and Figure 5). Most sensory information is conveyed to the hippocampus through the entorhinal cortex. The LEC matures before MEC and projects onto older CA1 pyramidal cells (CA1a) while MEC targets CA1b and c (22). In rats, axons emerging

from pyramidal-like cell bodies located in the entorhinal cortex are first found in the *alveus* of CA1 as early as E16 (alvear and commissural pathway) and one day later in the *lacunosum moleculare* (temporoammonic pathway), almost a week before the EC innervates the outer molecular layer of the DG (from P2, Figure 5 (68, 236)). This early innervation of CA1 contrasts with the fact that layer 2 stellate cells contacting the DG were shown to mature earlier than pyramidal cells (73). Therefore, axons originating from the EC innervate CA1 before birth in the oriens and lacunosum moleculare, and GABAergic neurons, in particular neurons with an extra-hippocampal target (259) together with Cajal-Retzius cells (13, 14, 48, 235) are the first candidate postsynaptic targets to be present (83). In turn, CA1 and DG Cajal-Retzius cells send axonal projections to the EC as early as E17. Next, a notable increase in the density of entorhinal axons terminating in the hippocampus is observed at birth and maturation of these afferents extends until P5 (Figure 5) (236). Interestingly, this early innervation of CA1 by the EC is functionally reflected by the fact that EC activation precedes early sharp waves in the hippocampus at perinatal stages (see below, (254)). The septum, which in the adult is critically involved in generating theta sequences and organizing internal hippocampal dynamics (262) but also in conveying unexpected sensory inputs (276), sends inputs to the hippocampus before birth in rodents, with putative CA1 interneurons being targeted as early as E16 (236), followed by pyramidal cells (E17, Figure 5). Interestingly, hippocampal GABAergic projections may pioneer the hippocampo-septal circuit by sending axons to the medial septal region, thereby guiding outgrowing septohippocampal fibers (236, 259). Like for EC inputs, septal projection continues to mature after birth, in particular the projections to the *strata radiatum* and *lacunosum*, but reaches adult patterns as early as P10. Thus, a general sketch emerges by which inputs from the EC and septum reach CA1 a few days before birth and target GABAergic interneurons, including those with a long-range extra-hippocampal projection. Reciprocal long-range GABAergic connections may thus critically pioneer interactions between the hippocampus and other brain areas, in particular those conveying sensory information. In this framework, the perinatal development of one particularly interesting GABAergic input originating from the nucleus incertus in the brainstem, with a function in memory encoding (239), remains to be further examined. Commissural projections, connecting hippocampi from both hemispheres seem to develop slightly later, with dorsal ones developing earlier than ventral ones, and the DG being innervated only after P5 (236). Just after birth, projections onto the intermediate/ventral CA1

from the *nucleus reuniens* in the Vento Medial Thalamus, a major hub in reciprocal hippocampo-prefrontal interactions, have been described as early as P1, whereas the hippocampus sends projections back to *nucleus reuniens* only at P5 (Figure 5) (112). Besides extrahippocampal GABAergic and glutamatergic inputs, acetylcholine, dopamine and serotonin releasing afferents develop at early postnatal stages, where they also influence local circuits (35, 37, 153, 194).

While long-range inputs seem to settle before birth, the first postnatal week is the time when local recurrent connectivity emerges (Figure 5). Dendritic GABAergic innervation develops before the perisomatic GABAergic coverage (58, 179, 251), and most likely after long-range GABAergic connectivity (259) (Figure 5). In general, the intrinsic morphophysiological properties of GABA neurons develop according to their birthdate, following a stereotyped sequence (8), with early born interneurons contributing to a significant fraction of local axonal coverage (211). Interestingly, the end of the first postnatal week marks an abrupt surge of recurrent connectivity with the emergence of perisomatic GABAergic innervation in the CA1 pyramidal layer and the exuberant branching of CA3 axon collaterals (99, 111, 179, 238). This is also the time when connectivity between CA3 and CA1 develops, starting from P2 (Figure 5) (84). A similar phenomenon has been reported in the neocortex, including recently through imaging of perisomatic GABAergic domains in the barrel cortex (195). Interestingly, in that region, transient targeting of deep layer somatostatin interneurons by early thalamic inputs contributes to the emergence of perisomatic inhibition (177, 249). Following that idea, one could speculate that hippocampal somatostatin interneurons, activated by extrahippocampal inputs, whether or not of thalamic origin, would directly support the development of perisomatic GABAergic synapses, as in the neocortex. We would thus like to propose a general scheme by which early bottom-up inputs, conveyed through canonical or non-canonical paths would foster the emergence of recurrent connectivity in an activity-dependent manner. Such recurrent connectivity, emerging at the end of the first postnatal week, before active exploration, gives birth to “smart networks” capable of learning and sustaining self-organized internal dynamics (204).

Early hippocampal dynamics

We will focus here on the first postnatal month in rodents since that is the time it takes for the emergence of the mnemonic and navigational functions of the hippocampus (Figure 6,9,11&12). Reconciling prior studies on the emergence of hippocampal dynamics into a unified picture is a difficult task for three main reasons. First because the menagerie of hippocampal dynamics described during the first postnatal month were obtained using either electrophysiological recordings or calcium imaging, with only a few studies performing both simultaneously (not *in vivo*); it is therefore difficult to bridge that experimental gap. Second, because early hippocampal network activities were most often dissected mechanistically in slices (or even cultures) and their *in vivo* counterpart is not always known. Third, early hippocampal dynamics have been studied along two different perspectives, either looking at patterns that are thought to be important for the maturation of functional circuits (usually referred to as *spontaneous activity*) or tracking the emergence of “typical” hippocampal patterns observed in the adult such as theta sequences and ripples, in the context of gaining understanding about hippocampal function.

Spontaneous activity

The now classical paradigm by which the brain is thought to operate as it develops, was first evidenced in a pioneering work on prenatal development of the visual system in primates by Rakic (214, 215)) and formulated in a seminal review by Katz and Shatz (134) as follows:

“Early in development, internally generated spontaneous activity sculpts circuits on the basis of the brain's “best guess” at the initial configuration of connections necessary for function and survival. With maturation of the sense organs, the developing brain relies less on spontaneous activity and increasingly on sensory experience. The sequential combination of spontaneously generated and experience-dependent neural activity endows the brain with an ongoing ability to accommodate to dynamically changing inputs during development and throughout life.”

This developmental paradigm has been verified through a prism of various systems and species, and was largely elaborated in:

- the visual system of rodents, which are born blind and in which spontaneous waves of activity in the light-insensitive retina drive most of the activity in the visual thalamus, cortex

and superior colliculus during the neonatal period, which is also a critical period for activity-dependent formation of retinotopic maps (1, 17, 28, 54, 106, 110).

- the auditory system of rodents, where spontaneous activity in the sound-insensitive cochlea similarly drives activity in auditory relay centers and auditory cortex during the period of “deafness of immaturity” (19, 186, 247, 261)

- in somatosensory circuits, in which sensory feedback from spontaneous myoclonic movements triggers activity, in a somatotopic fashion, in somatosensory regions of the spinal cord, thalamus and cortex, and most likely participates in the activity-dependent formation of cortical sensory maps during the critical period of thalamocortical plasticity (125, 143, 184, 273).

Together, these studies across three different sensory cortical areas show that early perinatal cortical dynamics are not strictly generated locally but rather driven by spontaneous activity originating from the sensory periphery which itself is still insensitive to environmental sensory stimuli (visual, auditory) or from spontaneous movement feedback in the somatosensory system. The latter system formally violates the theory of “internally-generated spontaneous activity in sensory organs” as it responds to external stimuli before birth and can be driven by tactile stimulation from the mother or the siblings (6, 188), probably reflecting the vital implication of somatosensation in survival including feeding behavior. In the same way, early activity in the olfactory-processing lateral entorhinal and piriform cortices and olfactory bulb is patterned by olfactory stimuli - dependent theta oscillations in olfactory bulb (105), and is thus not internally-generated, in strict terms, again probably due to the vital role of olfaction in survival as required for mother recognition without visual and auditory abilities.

In the hippocampus, a variety of *spontaneous activity* patterns have been documented at various developmental stages *in vivo* and *in vitro* using electrophysiological and imaging approaches (Figure 6 and Table 2). We will review these early hippocampal activity patterns in chronological order with an attempt to propose a unified classification, mechanism and function. We will also discuss whether these early patterns are transient in development, or whether they are early precursors of adult patterns (Figure 9).

Spontaneous uncorrelated activity

Spontaneous uncorrelated activity is the earliest form of activity, characterized by sporadic calcium spikes poorly correlated between neurons and reported in hippocampal slices *in vitro*

(Figures 6B&A&B7) during embryonic stages (55). This form of activity has been also reported in the neocortex (7, 34) and cerebellum (146) and it reflects the absence of functional electrical or chemical synapses between neurons. These earliest developmental stages are also characterized by very slow non-synaptic activity transients which are driven by the paracrine actions of glutamate and GABA (67). None of these patterns have been reported *in vivo* so far and their physiological functions are thought to involve neuronal differentiation and migration.

Synchronous plateau assemblies (SPA): spontaneous gap-junction mediated activity

These emerge at birth and represent the earliest form of spontaneous coordinated activity in the hippocampus (Figure 7). SPAs are local synchronizations recorded in slices, and likely a general step in the evolution of spontaneous neuronal activity, since similar patterns have been reported in the developing neocortex (7, 82) and striatum (64). SPAs are characterized by recurring membrane potential oscillations (1Hz) producing action potential firing and a sustained calcium plateau for periods of about ten seconds (55). They are highly voltage-dependent as they involve Ih and L-type calcium channel activation, and are synchronized across small groups of neurons via electrical synapses (Figure 7C&D) (55). Both GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons are involved in SPAs. One appealing possibility is that SPAs transiently synchronize clonally-related neurons, as shown in the neocortex (275); this remains an open question since hippocampal sister PNs have not yet been recorded at early postnatal stages (271). SPAs are modulated by oxytocin and peak at birth (55). They are progressively and actively shut-down by the emergence of GABAergic inputs, in particular GDPs (Figure 7C). The transition between SPAs and GDPs appears as a critical developmental checkpoint for each CA1 neuron (55). For a few days around birth, SPA and GDP circuits co-exist, and it is likely that for each individual neuron the time course of its recruitment into SPAs and then GDPs is intrinsically determined by its birthdate (Figure 4A) (8). SPAs have not yet been reported *in vivo*. Given that SPA assemblies are sparse and scattered, and that the electrophysiological signal associated with them is comprised of slow depolarizations, one would not expect them to produce any prominent extracellular electrophysiological event. Therefore, SPAs can be easily overlooked during *in vivo* extracellular recordings and calcium imaging would be needed. The traces left by SPAs at later stages remain to be determined. One interesting hypothesis is that the large calcium transients associated with SPAs guide the

formation of common excitatory and inhibitory inputs (84, 271) onto subsets of neurons that later form stable assemblies in the adult hippocampus. The filopodia and giant miniature events displayed by SPA cells indirectly support this role of SPAs in local circuit wiring(11).

Giant Depolarizing Potentials (GDPs): spontaneous synapse-driven activity

Giant Depolarizing Potentials (GDPs) are historically the first population activity pattern described in hippocampal slices of neonatal rodents (24, 96, 111, 142) (Figure 8A&B). GDPs can be observed in hippocampal slices and the intact hippocampal formation *in vitro* starting from the perinatal period and vanish at the end of the second postnatal week (Figures 6&8). GDPs are associated with population bursts and elevations of intracellular calcium lasting several hundreds of milliseconds and occurring at a frequency of ~10/min. Participation of neurons in GDPs decreases along with a reduced number of neurons excited by GABA during the second postnatal week (96, 142, 250). GDPs typically originate from the CA3 region of the hippocampus which operates as a GDP-generator because of: (i) a relatively high amount of recurrent excitatory glutamatergic connections between PNs, (ii) spontaneous bursting of many CA3 PNs supported by non-inactivating sodium conductance and low expression of potassium channels involved in I_m (185, 228, 229, 253) and (iii) the presence of highly connected GABAergic hub neurons (33). From CA3, GDPs typically propagate to CA1 and to DG, but they may also originate in CA1 and backpropagate to CA3 (32, 185, 228, 253, 263). Backpropagation of GDPs may be supported by CA1 GABAergic hub cells with extended axonal morphology (30). In the preparation of interconnected hippocampi *in vitro* (136), GDPs propagate to the contralateral hippocampus via the ventral hippocampal commissure and medial septum and EC (138, 160). Interestingly, in EC-hippocampal slices, spontaneous bursts of activity in EC fail to propagate to the hippocampus (62, 201). In the longitudinal axis, GDPs typically originate in the septal (dorsal) part of the hippocampus and propagate relatively slowly (7-10 mm/min) towards the ventral hippocampus (160). This may reflect the earlier birthdate of dorsal CA3 neurons than ventral ones.

GDPs are generated by the collective discharge of PNs and INs whose excitation is supported by complex interactions between depolarizing/shunting GABA and glutamate-activated synaptic conductances (140, 162, 185). GDPs are only observed during the period when GABA exerts depolarizing and excitatory actions, and they are completely suppressed by the NKCC1 antagonist bumetanide, which also suppresses the depolarizing and excitatory

effects of GABA (85, 253). Yet, the action of GABA at the network level also involves inhibitory shunting effects and dynamic changes in the driving force acting on currents through GABA channels during GDPs. For example, GABA may exert depolarizing action at the GDP onset but switch polarity to hyperpolarizing at the GDP peak (140) (Figure 8C). In addition, intracellular chloride concentration and thus GABA actions not only significantly vary between neurons, but also change during GDPs (165, 166). This dualism in GABA action explains the diverse effects of drugs modulating GABA(A) receptor functions and manipulations with INs excitability. In line with excitatory GABA actions, GDPs are promoted by positive allosteric GABA(A) modulators and agonists (137) and by optogenetic stimulation of SOM-INs (95), and suppressed by optogenetic inhibition of MGE-derived INs (including SOM-INs; interestingly, inhibition of CGE-derived INs affects GDPs less) (Figure 8G) (263). On the other hand, GDPs are transformed to epileptiform discharges after blockade of GABA(A) receptors (137, 253). The dualism in GABA actions also involves inhibitory effects of GABA mediated by presynaptic (183) and postsynaptic (139) GABA(B) receptors, which contribute to the termination of GDPs similarly to the GABA(B) receptor mediated termination of SPWs in adult animals (88).

GABAergic hub cells are likely important players in the coordination of hippocampal dynamics at the end of the first postnatal week in rodents. They are characterized by : (1) high output functional connectivity (i.e. they are active before most cells in the network); (2) high effective connectivity since their stimulation significantly affects network dynamics; (3) high anatomical connectivity with widespread axonal arborization crossing subfield boundaries; and (4) they receive more excitatory postsynaptic potentials and have a lower threshold for action potential generation than other INs (33). Hub neurons are most likely involved in the coordination of GDPs, however, their exact role is more complex than acting as pacemakers. Indeed, even though hub cells are spontaneously active at the onset of GDPs and have many postsynaptic targets (33), their stimulation may trigger GDPs (Figure 8E) but most often results in desynchronization (i.e. a decrease in the frequency of GDPs or a progressive phase delay in the period of GDPs (33), Figure 8F). Such desynchronization may have several causes, including a shunting or inhibitory action of GABA in some cells or an out of phase stimulation of intrinsic pacemakers. In addition, genetic fate mapping experiments showed that early-generated GABA cells form a sub-population of hub neurons (211). Accordingly, the maturation of the intrinsic morpho-physiological properties of early born hub cells as well as

their functional network integration, nicely parallel the developmental emergence of GDPs (259).

The age of non-hub cells also influences their participation in GDPs. As discussed above, the date of transition from an SPA to GDP network depends on the age of the cell (8, 175). This is also supported by clear correlation between the emergence of synaptic currents (that marks a transition from SPAs to GDPs) and the level of morphological differentiation of PNs and INs (118, 251), also seen in fetal macaque hippocampal slices (141). It is equally possible that the developmental excitatory to inhibitory switch in the action of GABA is set by birthdate as shown for early-born GABA cells (259). Thus, the dates of both entry to and exit from GDP-circuits could be individually determined for each neuron according to its age.

GDPs have been suggested as instrumental for synaptic plasticity and to guide the formation of intrahippocampal circuitry including a transition from silent NMDA receptor -mediated synapses to functional AMPA/NMDA receptor-mediated synapses, and the for maturation of GABAergic synapses (133, 190). Interestingly, the peak of GDP expression in CA1 matches the emergence of local recurrent connections (both CA3 and local GABAergic networks around P7), suggesting they could serve as a biomarker of this stage of circuit development. As such, it seems important to know whether a homologous pattern involving similar circuits and dynamics, can be observed *in vivo*. A major feature of GDPs is their internal generation in the hippocampal circuit in the absence of any input and their main generative mechanism involving recurrent excitation within the CA3 network similar to adult SPWs. Below, we provide evidence that early Sharp Waves (eSPWs) are the activity pattern during which the internal circuit of the hippocampus is first rehearsed, as observed with GDPs, but within a large-scale network involving the EC, the hippocampus and sensory-motor circuits.

Early Sharp Waves and tails in vivo

Early sharp waves (eSPWs) frequently followed by afterdischarges (so-called “tails”) are the earliest coordinated activity pattern reported *in vivo*, starting from P1 in the rodent CA1 (Figures 6&9). Based on their developmental timeline and their significant association with polysynaptic GABAergic events, eSPWs were initially described as the *in vivo* counterpart of GDPs (161). However, this equation may need to be slightly revised according to recent findings.

Overall, eSPWs are very similar to the electrophysiological phenotype of adult SPWs (besides the absence of ripple oscillations, Figure 9B, C & Figure 11B). Like adult SPWs, they are highly synchronized bilaterally between the left and right hippocampi (255), and along the longitudinal axis (256), with a higher initiation probability in the septal pole and a speed of propagation (250 mm/s) slightly lower than in adult animals (350 mm/s, (209)), but much higher than the speed of GDP propagation in the intact hippocampus in vitro (7–10 mm/s, (160)). However, while adult SPW-Rs are spontaneous top-down events, which are self-generated in the hippocampal circuit, eSPWs are mainly bottom-up events involving the inputs from EC, which are activated during myoclonic movements (startles and twitches, Figure 9B&D). Therefore, eSPWs are distinct from adult SPWs despite the similarity in electrophysiological traits. This is typical for many developmental activity patterns such as neocortical delta waves, spindle-bursts and early gamma oscillations, which look similar to but are mechanistically different from adult delta-waves, sleep spindles and gamma oscillations (143, 187, 272).

The current source density profile of eSPWs in CA1 is characterized by two prominent sinks located in strata radiatum and lacunosum-moleculare reflecting a co-activation of intrahippocampal inputs from CA3 together with EC inputs (Figure 9B) (174, 255, 256). Both superficial MEC and hippocampal units fire during eSPWs, with MEC L2/3, DG and CA1 units showing the highest participation rates, and with MEC neurons firing before hippocampal neurons (255). In addition, MEC burst-driven eSPWs are reliably preceded by myoclonic movements (Figure 9D) (255), characteristic of active sleep during the neonatal period in rodents (and fetal stages in humans). Based on these observations, the following network model of eSPWs has been proposed (Figure 9B) (255). First, myoclonic movements generate sensory feedback, which triggers activity bursts (early gamma and spindle-burst oscillations) in the primary somatosensory cortex (S1) (6, 125, 143, 192, 273). S1 activity is further conveyed to the MEC where it ignites an activity burst consisting of a sharp potential and a beta-gamma oscillation. MEC L2/3 bursts are further conveyed from the MEC to the hippocampus through two streams: (i) the temporoammonic pathway from MEC L3 to the distal apical dendrites of CA1 pyramidal cells and (ii) the perforant path from MEC L2 to the DG and CA3. Neuronal excitation in CA3 is amplified by a recurrent excitatory CA3 network similarly to what occurs during adult SPWs (44, 57, 274) and GDPs in vitro (162) and activates Schaffer collateral input to CA1. Thus, both inputs from EC and CA3 are co-activated

during MEC bursts/ eSPWs and their co-activation drives excitation of CA1 neurons. Notably, the first functional glutamatergic synapses at P0 were only observed in CA1 PNs with apical dendrites reaching the stratum lacunosum-moleculare (251). This raises the hypothesis that the first glutamatergic synapses on CA1 PNs are of MEC L3 origin. The development of CA3-CA1 synapses is delayed: they are absent at P0-1, and start to form only from P2 initially mainly as NMDA receptor based “silent” synapses (84). This suggests that temporoammonic EC inputs to CA1 mature earlier than Schaffer collateral inputs from CA3, and that the direct EC – drive is pivotal for the generation of eSPWs in CA1. In agreement with this hypothesis, severing the connections between the EC and the hippocampus suppresses CA1 unit activation following movements (192). How S1 conveys sensory feedback from movements to EC is less clear, however. As there is no direct input from S1 to EC, this should involve some intermediate areas/structures such as the perirhinal cortex (39, 60, 267). In addition to the cortico-cortical interactions, the link between movements and eSPWs may also involve subcortical pathways such as projections from the nucleus reuniens from the higher order ventromedial thalamus directly to CA1 (258) or projections from the medial septum to the EC (276). Importantly, eSPWs become less frequent and dissociate from myoclonic movements but persist in the “cerveau isole” preparation after severing external inputs through a supracollicular transection (132), indicating that they are also internally-generated events and that sensory input plays only a triggering role by analogy to S1 and V1 spindle-bursts (6, 110, 143). It would be of interest to test whether sensory inputs or spontaneous activity from other modalities (retinal wave driven spindle-bursts and Slow Activity Transients (SATs) in the visual system, cochlear-driven bursts in the auditory system, and olfactory bulb- driven activity in the olfactory system) are also capable of triggering eSPWs. In addition, the hippocampus is likely not the end-point but rather an intermediate station in the large-scale bottom-up network activated by sensory feedback from myocloni Excitation during eSPWs is further broadcasted from CA1 to the prefrontal cortex (3) and probably to other output targets including the subiculum, the deep layers of EC, and the supramamillary nucleus of the hypothalamus.

Intermittent beta-gamma and theta oscillations

While eSPWs are the amplest electrographic events in the neonatal hippocampus, neuronal firing can also be observed during intermittent 1-5 s long population bursts. In about

half of the cases, these bursts are observed following an eSPW and are called “Sharp-and-tail” events (161). In CA1, population bursts are often associated with transient oscillations at a frequency of 20-30Hz (so-called *hippocampal beta* (174), or *gamma* (132, 191) oscillations). Similar bouts of short-lived oscillations were also found in CA3 starting from P5 (149, 248). These *beta/gamma* Hippocampal Network Oscillations (HNOs) increase in amplitude and frequency (towards the gamma frequency range) with age, and become modulated by theta rhythms starting from P8 (191). The activity bursts in which beta/gamma oscillations are intermingled with theta oscillations are often referred to as theta-bursts (3, 36, 65, 112). HNOs are characterized by current-source density profiles similar to eSPWs with sinks in CA1 *strata lacunosum-moleculare* and *radiatum* (174). Like eSPWs, HNOs co-occur with movements (174, 191) suggesting that they may also be driven by EC. Moreover, activity coherence between S1 and CA1 is higher in the beta (20-30 Hz) frequency range and is significantly reduced after lesion of the follicular (branch of CN-V) nerve (66). Since neonatal EC activity is organized in sharp potentials and *beta-gamma* bursts (201, 227, 252, 255), both types of EC activity likely contribute to the transfer of movement-triggered sensory feedback to the hippocampus. However, the EC is not the only driver of CA1 activity. Indeed, the intrahippocampal CA3 recurrent network may also be involved in the generation of HNOs, at least from the end of the first postnatal week, as indicated: (1) by the presence of a sink in *stratum radiatum* for Hippocampal Beta Oscillations (HBOs) (174); and (2) the parallel development of oscillatory activity at the beta frequency in CA3 and an increase in HNO frequency, amplitude and theta-modulation (149, 248). We propose that HNOs may not be a developmentally transient network activity pattern but rather precursors of mature slow/fast gamma oscillations, whose generation involves CA3 and EC as in adults, but display a lower fundamental frequency due to slower conduction delays in developing circuits and immature inhibition.

One last major subtype of hippocampal network activity patterns are theta oscillations, which in the adult rodent are observed during episodes of locomotion, active engagement, or REM sleep and provide an internal clock distributing CA1 dynamics into spike sequences (80, 262). It should be noted that theta oscillations do not necessarily generalize across species. Indeed, LFP fluctuations in humans, non-human primates, and bats tend to be either non-rhythmic, or concentrated in short oscillatory bouts as well as being task and cognitive state-dependent (40, 41, 86, 101, 128). However, the absence of a continuous theta rhythm, as reported in

bats, does not necessarily imply that the temporal phase coding thought to be intrinsically linked to theta in rodents is absent (86). Furthermore, the dissociation between the LFP signal periodicity, cell assembly synchronicity and phase coding may need to be considered when studying the development of theta oscillations, even in rodents.

In the adult rodent, CA1 theta oscillations are supported by the interplay between inputs from the septum, MEC, and CA3 with local and long-range GABAergic neurons and resonant intrinsic firing properties. The development of theta oscillations in the hippocampus was first described by LeBlanc and Bland in the form of intermittent bursts of activity within the theta frequency range occurring in CA1 and DG from P8-9 during movement and starting from P10 during RUN/REM-states or following cholinergic-agonist application (154). Starting from P8-10, theta-coherent activities synchronize the hippocampus, red nucleus, LEC, prefrontal cortex and ventromedial thalamus (3, 36, 65, 112). Notably, pharmacological inactivation of the medial septum blocks hippocampal theta oscillations at P12 (3, 36, 65, 112). From P10 to P23-28, theta oscillations increase in amplitude and frequency from 4 to 7 Hz. This developmental profile for theta oscillations has been confirmed by several studies (65, 161, 191), with a few reports suggesting an earlier emergence at P1-2 in the form of short bouts at 7-8 Hz frequency (36, 131). These events in younger animals may well result from the passive propagation of cortical spindle-bursts to the hippocampus (132). The exact network mechanisms underlying emergence and developmental changes in hippocampal theta oscillations, which likely involve maturation of theta-generative properties in CA3 and EC networks, local, notably inhibitory CA1 circuits, as well as cholinergic and noradrenalinergic control remain an open question for future investigations.

Role of GABAergic circuits in coordinating early hippocampal dynamics

While previous research has clearly identified instrumental roles of GABAergic neurons in the generation of GDPs *in vitro*, data on how interneurons shape network activity in the neonatal hippocampus *in vivo* remain sparse. On the one hand, barrages of synaptic GABAergic currents have been recorded during eSPWs and tails in CA1 PNs at P3-6 (161). Yet, manipulating interneuron activity did not provide consistent results (153). Lowering the excitability of hippocampal INs decreased the amplitude and frequency of eSPWs, while enhancing IN excitability did not affect eSPWs at P3 (Figure 10). At P7, manipulating GABAergic neuron excitability in either direction did not substantially affect eSPWs (200). In

contrast to these findings, immunotoxic lesion of CA1 INs decreased the occurrence of eSPWs in P7-8 pups (26). Also, manipulation of depolarizing GABA actions through NKCC1 deletion from telencephalic glutamatergic neurons decreased in-vitro excitatory GABA actions and impaired GDPs in neonatal hippocampal brain slices but had a minor impact on correlated spontaneous activity (eSPWs and HBOs) in the hippocampus of P3-4 mouse pups (102). Optogenetic activation of Dlx5/6 interneurons inhibited theta-bursts, whereas their activation boosted hippocampal activity in P8-10 mice (3). Thus, the roles of INs in shaping eSPWs and early oscillatory activity in the neonatal hippocampus are far from being understood. Further studies with the recording and targeted manipulation of specific subclasses of interneurons, including hub cells, are needed. In particular, the functional and structural wiring of CA1 GABAergic neurons remains to be described, including the nature of their extra-hippocampal inputs, the possible identification of transient scaffolds (177, 249), and their interconnectivity schemes. This needs to be examined in detail with a high sampling rate given the rapid changes occurring in the circuitry during the postnatal period. There are however some facts that can help in this endeavor. First, as discussed above, the development of perisomatic GABAergic innervation occurs quite late during cortical development (61, 70, 179, 187, 193). Second, eSPWs lack ripple-oscillations, whose generation involves perisomatic inhibition and which emerge during the second postnatal week and attain adult-like features by P20 (Figure 11B) (38, 130, 161). Third, inhibition based kainate-induced gamma CA3 oscillations also emerge during the second postnatal week (in a form of beta oscillations) and acquire an adult-like phenotype by the third postnatal week (248). Finally, while in adults EC inputs exert a global tonic inhibitory influence on hippocampal activity, in neonates, activation of the EC excites all neurons within the trisynaptic (EC layer 2 – DG – CA3 – CA1) and monosynaptic (EC layer 3 – CA1) EC-hippocampal circuits during eSPWs (254). These findings support the hypothesis that neonatal EC-hippocampal circuits operate without efficient feedforward inhibition during eSPW to assist the integration and plasticity of excitatory inputs from major pathways. CA1 is thus primarily driven by feedforward bottom-up excitation (Figure 9B). This is consistent with an activity-dependent instructive signal provided by MEC to drive maturation sequentially and unidirectionally through the intrinsic circuits of the entorhinal–hippocampal network during the postnatal period (73). We further hypothesize that the transformation from eSPWs to adult SPWs involves the maturation of the feedforward inhibitory circuits, with the

internalization of the primary SPW generator from EC to CA3 (also involving the development of the excitatory recurrent CA3 circuitry), the dissociation of eSPWs from movements together with a loss of EC drive to SPWs, and the emergence of ripple oscillations (Figures 6 and 9). Whether eSPWs themselves play a direct instructive role in the emergence of perisomatic axonal coverage is an open question.

Emergence of hippocampal sequences

The second postnatal week is the time when bottom-up driven eSPW and HNOs are progressively replaced by endogenous events in the form of SW-associated ripples and theta nesting gamma oscillations. As reviewed above, the emergence of these patterns is most likely supported anatomically by the appearance of recurrent networks comprising perisomatic inhibition and Schaffer Collateral CA3 inputs. At this stage, CA1 circuits switch from being mainly bottom-up driven by spontaneous activity originating from the sensory organs to displaying spontaneously recurring internal dynamics supported by intrahippocampal circuits, as revealed by the observation of recurring network bursts in the form of GDPs in preparations disconnected from external inputs such as slices. During this period, active exploration of the environment is limited, these spontaneous activities are therefore probably limited in their informational content and rather serve local network calibration purposes. The second postnatal week to a large extent remains a black box of hippocampal development that ends with the emergence of landmark-modulated place cells and stationary sequences (Figures 11 & 12). The early appearance of place cells bound to external cues together with reactivation of stationary places (91, 197) suggests an earlier development of allothetic (i.e. cue-based) representation in CA1 (Figure 12). Accordingly, CA1PNs coding for cue-enriched environments in the adult were preferentially found in the deep part of the CA1 *stratum pyramidale*, where older cells should eventually locate (92, 225).

Since mice do not yet actively navigate during the second postnatal week it is difficult to know whether sequences of events are replayed. The fast oscillations characteristic of the sharp wave-associated ripples, observed both during the sleep and awake states, with their sink in the stratum radiatum indicative of CA3 input, are not seen before the end of the second postnatal week (P14 in rats (38)). Whereas the power of the SWRs gradually

increased with age during the third postnatal week (Figure 11B), the intraripple frequency was reported as constant (38, 44). As detailed in many excellent reviews including (44), SWRs are often associated with the compressed reactivation of place cell spike sequences occurring during navigation, with a major role in memory processes. Although place cells and SWRs were reported to emerge roughly at the same time (150, 265), recent evidence indicates that reactivation of traveled paths is not observed until one week later (around P23, Figure 11D (91, 197)), the time when grid cells appear in the MEC (150). The same is true for theta sequences observed during navigation (91, 197) (Figure 11D). This would indicate that the circuits necessary for binding together discontinuous events (relational information) are still immature at the end of the second postnatal week (Figure 11&12). However, at the beginning of the third week, cell pairs that fire together a significant amount of time during running are also more likely to be coactive during sleep, indicating that some form of post-experience Hebbian plasticity, with a higher co-activity threshold, is likely to occur as soon as place cells emerge (197). In addition, phase precession, the phenomenon by which the timing of spikes within a theta cycle is progressively delayed as the animal traverses a given place field, is also already present at this time (197). Both phenomena suggest that internal CA1 dynamics begin to keep track of experience within proto-sequences.

Two lines of findings diverge regarding the emergence of experience-dependent sequences during the third postnatal week. Some report that sleep replay and theta sequences emerge in a coordinated manner and progress from reactivating single locations, then short paths to longer trajectories between P17 and P32 (197). Others (91), observe a prior emergence of sequences unrelated to experience in the form of “preplay”. The latter would indicate that a reservoir of preconfigured sequences is formed through an innate developmental program, serving as a backbone onto which future experience is mapped and encoded (Figure 11). These two views are comprehensively developed in recent reviews (78, 240). A three stage development of sequential activity patterns has thus recently been proposed (78): (1) end of second postnatal week: representation mode with “rate coding” of discrete locations (150, 265); (2) during the second postnatal week: emergence of preconfigured sequences, observed during rest or sleep in an age-dependent but experience-independent manner (but see (197)); (3) third postnatal week: age- and experience-dependent sequences of trajectories or episodes (theta sequences) are observed in higher proportions than preplay (91, 197). The earlier emergence of *rate coding* prior to *phase*

coding is somehow at odds with the recent finding that cells displaying a *rate code* are found in superficial layers and therefore born and mature after deep cells (225). Still, the fact that deep CA1 PNs comprise a higher proportion of place cells may partly explain this possible contradiction (189). It is also somehow inconsistent with the fact that spontaneous self-triggered body movements would tend to favor the initial development of *idiothetic* (i.e. based on self-referenced information) rather than *allothetic* (i.e. based on external landmarks) representations. Future work is needed to nail down these apparent discrepancies.

In conclusion, experience-dependent sequences would be the latest hippocampal pattern to mature during development (Figures 11&12). Whether internal preconfigured sequences emerge before remains a debated issue. That sequences reactivating non-spatial contents of experience appear earlier is also an open possibility. Indeed, the observation of place cells requires the animal to move in an environment, which is delayed compared with the development of other senses such as olfaction. It is now well established that the hippocampus also encodes non-spatial features (eg. time, odors, sound frequencies, conspecifics) (15, 148, 168) and ripples may therefore reactivate content other than spatial information (18).

Development of hippocampus-dependent cognitive functions

This section does not aim to provide a comprehensive review of the ontogeny of spatial cognition and episodic memory, the two cardinal hippocampal functions, since many excellent recent reviews are dedicated to this very matter (see for example (71, 123, 136, 152, 216, 226, 240)). Instead, our objective is to interpret the emergence of these functions in the light of the developmental origin of hippocampal diversity. One particularly appealing concept towards this goal is the idea that navigation across autobiographical events (episodic memory) and in the real world (spatial navigation) relies on two mechanisms of hippocampal representation, one that is map or schema-based and depends on external multisensory landmarks (allocentric) and the other that is self-referenced and often requires body movement (egocentric) (42). In the adult, both representations work together but one may dominate according to the availability of external cues (for example in the dark, egocentric navigation dominates). Besides, these representations differentially contribute to a given

cognitive function. Hence, it has been proposed (42) that map-based navigation would support allothetic navigation and semantic memory (i.e. generalization of knowledge independent from context) while self-referenced information would be central to path-integration and episodic memory (i.e. ‘mental travel’ in time and space in reference to self). Given that earlier born CA1 pyramids are better tuned to cue-based representation and generalization while those born later encode self-referenced information (see section “Lasting traces of early development in adult hippocampal circuits”), episodic memory would be expected to develop later than allothetic navigation and semantic memory.

The development of episodic memory has been the focus of many cognitive studies in humans. Infants both lack knowledge of the self as an independent entity (121) and are unable to form or store memories for recall later in life, a phenomenon termed *infantile amnesia* until roughly two years of age (71, 216). Neither is spatial memory mature in infants before 20 months of age (203). In rodents, the onset of hippocampus-dependent spatial memory is delayed with respect to the emergence of place cells as assessed using different behavioral tasks that include the Morris Water Maze (5, 219), spatial alternation (104), Barnes maze, (182) or object location (56). It likely relies on environmental cues (5, 219), the same way place cells from young animals are stabilized by boundary information (196). In addition, grid cells, critical elements for path integration mature later than hippocampal place cells (150), which display distance coding based on self-motion (27). In addition, episodic aversive events elicited in rodents at the beginning of the third postnatal week create a latent trace in the hippocampus (108, 243).

While the emergence of complex forms of episodic memory and self-based navigation may be protracted, some aspects of hippocampus-dependent learning and memory are present early in life in infants. Besides its classic role in episodic memory, the hippocampus was recently proposed, based on human data, to be involved in statistical learning, i.e. the ability to extract regularities from the sensory environment and therefore segment a continuous sensory flow into sequences of cognitive units (117, 226). Statistical learning develops much earlier than episodic memory, as early as at 8 months in infants (220). Therefore, one appealing possibility would be that the first hippocampus-dependent cognitive function is statistical learning. This would culminate, once rodents or infants are able to travel in space, in the formation of place fields (150, 265), i.e. the chunking of a space continuum into segmented units. Statistical learning should inform predictive models and

allow more abstract, generalized and semantic knowledge. In this respect, infantile generalization (136, 216, 218) may be envisaged as a consequence of the early dedication to statistical learning of the hippocampus.

Recent modelling work suggested that the hippocampus supports the computations of both episodic memory and statistical learning via two pathways, the trisynaptic pathway (EC>DG>CA3>CA1) supporting episodic memory with the temporoammonic pathway enabling statistical learning (222). Experimental work in rodents indicates that early network dynamics in CA1 are mainly driven by direct EC inputs(255), while anatomical evidence in macaques (152) and rodents suggests protracted development of CA3, DG and consequently of the trisynaptic pathway (see section above). Both findings therefore give functional and anatomical support to the early development of the temporoammonic pathway (before the trisynaptic circuit) and the possible early commitment of the hippocampus to statistical learning. Given the correspondence of the developmental timelines between rodents and humans, this would start during the third trimester of gestation and certainly extend postnatally. A consequence of statistical learning is predictive abilities. It was also proposed that, in the adult, the predictive ability of the hippocampus and its role in retrieving memories are embedded in separate output pathways (21). Interestingly, in that framework, long-range hippocampal GABAergic neurons could function as an anatomical support to broadcast a predictive error signal (21). These cells are among the earliest to be generated (see previous section), again supporting the idea of an early wiring of intra and extra-hippocampal circuits for learning regularities and predictive coding.

In summary, we would like to propose that the hippocampus performs generalization based on statistical learning from the sensory world before being able to support egocentric episodic memory. This sequence in cognitive abilities nicely mirrors developmental schedules both at cellular and circuit level, with earlier born cells displaying a generalizing function, and the monosynaptic pathway maturing before the trisynaptic circuit. Future work in rodents is needed to confirm the possible implication of the hippocampus in statistical learning and its circuit basis, and in particular, to compare the developmental timelines for cue-based vs. internal hippocampal sequences.

Summary and conclusion

Hippocampal circuits emerge through a long developmental journey with several episodes and milestones. The first phase is neurogenesis and migration. Indeed, despite its fundamental role in learning and memory, the functional organization of the adult hippocampus is not only formed through experience-dependent plasticity, but is partly hardwired at the earliest stages of development, including embryonic neurogenesis. This is reflected in the dynamics of the adult CA1, which operates through a combination of plastic and rigid cells, bound together within segregated functional assemblies that support stable internal dynamics (107, 170). The propensity of individual cells to keep track of experience through intrinsic or synaptic plasticity may be rooted in their temporal origin, as indicated in several recent studies, with early born neurons serving a generalizing function and later born neurons assisting content discrimination. Future studies combining fate-mapping and *in vivo* physiology are needed to bridge the gap between neurogenesis and adult hippocampal function. Whether the final contour of hippocampal assemblies is set early in ontogenesis and stabilized through activity-dependent processes (eg. SPA), as described for cortical columns, remains an open question. Addressing this major issue should contribute to unraveling the topological logic of hippocampal functional maps.

Following neurogenesis and migration, the second phase starts at birth, when hippocampal circuits integrate into a large-scale bottom-up network that processes somatosensory feedback triggered by neonatal movements (Figure 12). This period is dominated by recurring network bursts, in the form of early sharp-waves and/or beta-gamma oscillations. While the exact circuit mechanisms and spatial organization of these bursts remain partly unknown, they certainly mirror the fact that extra-hippocampal inputs develop before intrahippocampal connectivity schemes, including feedforward inhibition. Future work is needed to reconcile the descriptions of early hippocampal dynamics *in vitro* and *in vivo* and in particular to probe the early synaptic function of GABAergic transmission. At present some *in vitro* patterns like SPAs lack an *in vivo* counterpart. Work is also necessary to describe the calcium dynamics associated with early electrophysiological activity patterns. Regardless, we propose that this period, which corresponds roughly to the third trimester of gestation in humans (53, 270), ends with the first postnatal week in rodents followed by a transition period with an emergence of adult activity patterns (theta and gamma oscillations, adult SPWs and ripples) during the second postnatal week. This is a critical period of structural

plasticity that terminates with the emergence of hippocampal recurrent connectivity, including feedback inhibition supporting the segregation of hippocampal assemblies, possibly along the radial axis (Figure 12). This is likely an activity-dependent but experience-independent process through which hippocampal “receptive fields” and local circuits calibrate local inhibition to the statistics of the external world. In other words, this second episode is likely the period when hippocampal circuits start building an internal model based on spontaneous and content-free activity from sensory organs and sensory feedback from myoclonic movements (Figure 12). This would fit the hypothesis that the hippocampus would initially support statistical learning. Future experiments are needed to test this hypothesis, either in rodents performing novel tasks aiming at probing statistical learning or in human babies for example using MEG (117). Addressing this critical question would enable the gap between species to be bridged. However, it requires a closer collaboration between cognitive and systems developmental neuroscience.

Towards the end of that period, both an “internalization” and a “sparsification” of activity are observed, most likely reflecting the emergence of a powerful inhibitory landscape and the consolidation of CA3 inputs. This period of structural plasticity is then followed by a period of internal spontaneous activity within the hippocampal formation supporting the emergence of circuits capable of comparing CA3 and EC inputs prior to active exploration. This period of functional plasticity ends with the emergence of place fields, first unstable (223) and landmark-based (265), followed by sequences integrating internal dynamics and external environmental cues. There are still many open questions, including those regarding the role of early network oscillations in the maturation of specific circuits and their link with adult network patterns or the role and function of specific GABAergic circuits. Nevertheless, we believe that the study of hippocampal development in the context of circuit physiology will pave the way for understanding memory circuits in the brain by watching the assembly of its building blocks.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Possible link between the timing of neurogenesis and the diversity within CA1 pyramidal cells in the adult hippocampus as reflected by their anatomical distribution.

A: schematic cartoon illustrates the distribution of CA1 pyramidal neurons (PN) along the transverse and radial (inset) axes according to their presumed birthdate (from E12.5 to E18.5). Early born PNs (ebPNs) are represented in pink and later-born PNs (lbPNs) in green. **B-D:** Physiology (**B**), Connectivity (**C**), and Function (**D**) segregate along these two axes matching the timing of neurogenesis as illustrated below. **B:** Input resistance (R_{in}) is smaller in deep or distal CA1PNs (pink) than in superficial or proximal ones (green). **C:** Integration within perisomatic GABAergic inputs (red circles) also differentiates between eb and lbPNs, with lbPNs (located superficially or proximally) preferentially connecting onto interneurons and ebPNs preferentially contacted by perisomatic GABAergic inputs. **D:** Distal and deep PNs are more likely to display multiple place fields than proximal or superficial ones. *B* from Ref. (129), with permission from *Journal of Comparative Neurology*; *C* from Ref. (207), with permission from *Hippocampus*; Ref. (158), with permission from *Journal of Neuroscience*; *D* from Ref (119), with permission from *Neuron* and Ref. (97), with permission from *Nature Communications*.

Figure 2. Early born GABAergic neurons (ebGABA) display characteristic physiology, connectivity, and function in the adult CA1. **A:** Fate-mapping experiments demonstrated that ebGABAs (born at E9.5) adapt their firing in response to long current injections (**B**), receive less local GABAergic inputs (lower putative PV contacts) (**C**), and display high functional connectivity (**D**). *B-D* from Ref. (30), with permission from *Nature Communications*.

Figure 3. Developmental timeline of the neurogenesis and migration of CA1 neurons.

CA1 pyramidal neurons (PNs) and GABAergic Interneurons (INs) are born and migrate into their final positions from E10 until postnatal day 5 (P5) with different schedules for early-born (eb, red) versus late-born (lb, green) cells. The timing of neurogenesis is indicated for a few subtypes of INs. SOM: somatostatin, PV: parvalbumin; nNOS: Nitric Oxide, Chand.: chandelier, CR: Calretinin.

Figure 4: Developmental sequences for the maturation of morpho-physiological properties of CA1 neurons. **A:** Schematic description of postnatal changes in the morpho-functional properties of CA1 neurons. **B:** Input resistance and I_h decrease as postnatal age increases. **C:** Spontaneous activity shifts from gap-junction mediated Synchronous Plateau Assemblies (SPA, red) to synapse-driven Giant Depolarizing Potentials (GDP, blue) while the morphophysiological properties of GABAergic cells change from displaying filopodia, adaptive firing, and large miniature events to smooth cell bodies, firing diversity and small amplitude minis. **D.** The expression of KCC2 at the membrane is also developmentally regulated according to age with ebINs displaying membrane KCC2 as early as P3. *B* from Ref. (76), with permission from *Hippocampus*; *C* from Ref. (8), with permission from *Journal of Neuroscience*; *D* from Ref. (259), with permission from *Journal of Comparative Neurology*

Figure 5: Developmental timeline describing the wiring of CA1 hippocampal circuits. Long-range glutamatergic (top) inputs from the entorhinal cortex and septum as well as long-range GABAergic inputs reach CA1 first as early as the late embryonic stages. In turn, CA1 interneurons with a long-range projection to the septum mature before dendritic inhibition while somatic GABAergic inputs develop towards the end of the first postnatal week.

Figure 6: Global timeline for the development of hippocampal network activity patterns.

A, *in vivo* and *B*, in hippocampal slices and intact hippocampus preparation *in vitro*. Green indicates transient immature patterns, orange indicates emerging adult patterns. eSPW, early Sharp Waves; SPW, adult sharp waves; SPA, Synchronous Plateau Assemblies; GDP, Giant Depolarizing Potentials.

Figure 7: Emergence of correlated neuronal activity in the developing hippocampus *in vitro*. **A:** Developmental timeline of expression of hippocampal network activity patterns *in vitro*. **B:** Uncorrelated calcium spikes (black) are observed until birth when they are replaced by gap-junction mediated Synchronous Plateau Assemblies (SPA, red). In turn, SPAs are progressively replaced by Giant Depolarizing Potentials (GDP, blue) as illustrated by the histogram plotting the fraction of cells involved in either pattern as a function of time. These three patterns are associated with characteristic calcium fluorescence transients. **C:** GDPs actively shut down SPAs as shown by the example of a GDP terminating a calcium plateau and the associated

membrane potential oscillations measured in current-clamp mode. **D**: Neurons involved in SPAs are connected by electrical synapses as revealed by the spikelets recorded in current clamp (bottom right trace) and by multiple neuron labelling with neurobiotin. *B and D* from Ref. (55), with permission from Neuron; *C* from Ref. (8), with permission from *Journal of Neuroscience*.

Figure 8: Giant depolarizing potentials in hippocampal slices in vitro. **A**: Timeline of GDPs. **B**: Example traces of gramicidin perforated patch recordings from a CA3 pyramidal cell, multiple unit activity (MUA) and local field potential (LFP) recordings from the CA3 pyramidal cell layer in a P6 rat hippocampal slice. **C**: Dynamic changes in GABAergic and glutamatergic currents in P5-6 CA3 pyramidal cells during GDPs. Note that GABAergic currents transiently switch their direction from depolarizing to hyperpolarizing at the peak of GDPs. **D**: GDP network model. GDPs are initiated in CA3 recurrent network (1) with support of GABAergic INs (2), and further conveyed to CA1 via Schaffer collaterals and GABAergic projections (3), and to dentate gyrus via GABAergic projections. **E**: Top, neurolucida reconstruction of the hub-IN (axon, red and dendrites, black) on a schematic drawing of the hippocampus. Left, Current-clamp recordings from the stimulated (grey box) hub-IN for six consecutive stimulations (gray). Four out of six trials triggered GDPs. Right, fraction of cells active as a function of time after repetitive hub-INs stimulation and corresponding peristimulus time histogram. **F**: Top, histogram displaying the percentage of active cells (black) during stimulation of an early born IN (ebGABA) that was patched and stimulated by injecting suprathreshold depolarizing current steps (green trace). Bottom, box plots of “Inter GDP intervals” of a representative ebGABA cell (left) **G**: Arch-mediated optogenetic inhibition of MGE-derived INs with a 10 s light stimulus (green) suppresses spontaneous GDPs and generates rebound GDPs in CA1. Left, Recording configuration with focus of yellowgreen light stimulus in CA1 to inhibit MGE-derived interneurons. Right, Example of simultaneous recordings in an MGE-derived IN (gray) and a neighboring PN (black). Activation of the Arch-current greatly reduced the frequency of spontaneous GDPs in both cells, which returned once the light was turned off. *B and C* from Ref. (140), with permission from *Journal of Neuroscience*; *E* from Ref. (33), with permission from *Science*; *F* from Ref. (30), with permission from *Nature Communications*; *G* from Ref. (263), with permission from *Journal of Neuroscience*.

Figure 9. Comparison of early sharp waves (eSPWs) with adult sharp waves (SPWs). **A:** Timeline of eSPWs and SPW/ripples. **B:** Left, Example traces of the forelimb twitch (green circle), population burst in MEC-L3 (blue circle) and eSPWs (red triangle) recorded from the CA1 pyramidal cell layer (pcl), stratum radiatum (sr) and stratum lacunosum-moleculare (slm) in a P5 rat pup. Vertical color bars above traces indicate single unit activity. Middle, corresponding current-source density of eSPWs with the most prominent sinks in *sr* and around the hippocampal fissure. Right, eSPW network model. Note that sequential activation of various structures in this scheme follows the bottom-up information transfer from the spinal cord to hippocampus. **C:** Left, Example traces of adult SPW/ripple in CA1 pcl and sr. Middle, current-source density of SPWs with the most prominent sink in CA1 *sr*. Right, SPW network model. Note that SPWs are internally generated in the hippocampus and support top-down information transfer from the hippocampus to the extrahippocampal targets. **D:** Left, eSPWs are preceded by activation of MEC-L3 units in neonatal rat pups, whereas adult SPWs are associated with activation of neurons only in the hippocampal output deep EC layers. *B* from Ref. (254), with permission from Cerebral Cortex; *C* from Ref. (44), with permission from *Hippocampus* and Ref. (233), with permission from *Journal of Neuroscience*; *D* from Ref. (254), with permission from Cerebral Cortex and Ref. (52), with permission from *Journal of Neuroscience*.

Figure 10: Pharmacogenetic silencing of interneurons inhibits hippocampal activity in P3 mice. **A:** Representative recording for P3 reduction of GABAergic neuron excitability. MUA of spontaneous activity in CA1 hippocampus, along with associated *sr* LFP and thoracic movement detection and electromyography. Activity is dominated by eSPW whose spike density is reduced following subcutaneous SalB (KORD agonist) injection. **B:** Quantification of KORD-induced suppression of GABAergic neuron excitability and control conditions. *A* and *B* from Ref. (200), with permission from *Science Advances*.

Figure 11. Developmental timeline for the emergence of cognitive sequences in the CA1 region of the hippocampus (A). **B:** Sharp-Wave-associated Ripples (SPW-Ripples) start being observed at P12 and their power progressively increases until the end of the third postnatal week. **C:** At P15, the first internal representations are observed in CA1 in the form of unstable place cells as illustrated by the heatmaps of the firing of three place cells across three recording

sessions (S1, S2, S3). After P17, *preplay* and SWRs replaying single locations start being observed as illustrated by the heatmaps showing time-by-position probability using Bayesian decoding of position, based on event spiking. A few days later, the trajectories depicted within *replay* and *preplay* progressively represent longer trajectories and occur with similar incidence. **D**: After P24, experience-dependent sequences can be observed in the form of theta sequences and replay. Right plot shows a probability posterior derived from a single RUN session, where the x axis shows the proportion of time elapsed during the theta cycle and the y axis shows position on the track relative to the current location of the rat. The horizontal white line shows current rat location, and the vertical white lines demarcate one theta cycle. Hot colors show high decode probabilities. Numbers above the plots show theta sequence score, defined as the circular-linear weighted correlation of the probability posterior. *B* from Ref. (38), with permission from *Neuroscience*; *C* from Ref. (223), with permission from *Hippocampus*, Ref. (197), with permission from *Current Biology* and Ref. (91), with permission from *Science*. *D* from Ref. (197), with permission from *Current Biology*.

Figure 12. The main steps in the development of CA1 internal dynamics

Schematic representation of the three main phases leading to the development of CA1 internal dynamics. Phases 1 and 2 are periods when activity is generated spontaneously, first in a bottom-up fashion by spontaneous sensorimotor activity conveyed to CA1 by inputs from the entorhinal cortex (EC). At this time, local circuits are likely connected by gap-junctions and produce SPAs. EC inputs are more likely to innervate early born pyramidal neurons (ebPNs, pink) as well as eb Interneurons (Ins). This period terminates around the end of the first postnatal week (week#1) with the emergence of perisomatic inhibition (putatively originating from ebINs and contacting ebPNs which are decoupled from their electrical synapses) and CA3 collateral inputs. After this time, CA1 is also driven by spontaneous activity generated within the hippocampus (internally-driven and local), most likely through CA3 inputs. These are more likely to target late-born (lb) PN (green) and lbINs (green), which may still be connected through electrical synapses. This period ends around the end of the second postnatal week (week#2) with the emergence of landmark-based internal representations in the form of place cells. This opens a period of about two weeks (weeks 3&4) during which the internal CA1 model is calibrated to sensory inputs through experience-dependent plasticity.

1290 This period ends with the emergence of internal sequences (stage 4) integrating experience
1291 into internal dynamics.
1292

References

1. **Ackman JB, Burbridge TJ, Crair MC.** Retinal waves coordinate patterned activity throughout the developing visual system. *Nature* 490: 219–225, 2012.
2. **Aghajan ZM, Acharya L, Moore JJ, Cushman JD, Vuong C, Mehta MR.** Impaired spatial selectivity and intact phase precession in two-dimensional virtual reality. *Nat Neurosci* 18: 121–128, 2015.
3. **Ahlbeck J, Song L, Chini M, Bitzenhofer SH, Hanganu-Opatz IL.** Glutamatergic drive along the septo-temporal axis of hippocampus boosts prelimbic oscillations in the neonatal mouse. *eLife* 7: 257, 2018.
4. **Aivar P, Valero M, Bellistri E, Menendez de la Prida L.** Extracellular calcium controls the expression of two different forms of ripple-like hippocampal oscillations. *J Neurosci* 34: 2989–3004, 2014.
5. **Akers KG, Hamilton DA.** Comparison of developmental trajectories for place and cued navigation in the Morris water task. *Dev Psychobiol* 49: 553–564, 2007.
6. **Akhmetshina D, Nasretudinov A, Zakharov A, Valeeva G, Khazipov R.** The Nature of the Sensory Input to the Neonatal Rat Barrel Cortex. *J Neurosci* 36: 9922–9932, 2016.
7. **Allene C, Cattani A, Ackman JB, Bonifazi P, Aniksztejn L, Ben-Ari Y, Cossart R.** Sequential Generation of Two Distinct Synapse-Driven Network Patterns in Developing Neocortex. *Journal of Neuroscience* 28: 12851–12863, 2008.
8. **Allene C, Picardo MA, Becq H, Miyoshi G, Fishell G, Cossart R.** Dynamic Changes in Interneuron Morphophysiological Properties Mark the Maturation of Hippocampal Network Activity. *Journal of Neuroscience* 32: 6688–6698, 2012.
9. **Altman J, Bayer SA.** Migration and distribution of two populations of hippocampal granule cell precursors during the perinatal and postnatal periods. *J Comp Neurol* 301: 365–381, 1990.
10. **Altman J, Bayer SA.** Mosaic organization of the hippocampal neuroepithelium and the multiple germinal sources of dentate granule cells. *J Comp Neurol* 301: 325–342, 1990.
11. **Andreae LC, Ben Fredj N, Burrone J.** Independent Vesicle Pools Underlie Different Modes of Release during Neuronal Development. *Journal of Neuroscience* 32: 1867–1874, 2012.
12. **Angevine JB.** Time of neuron origin in the hippocampal region. An autoradiographic study in the mouse. *Exp Neurol Suppl.*

- 1330 13. **Anstötz M, Huang H, Marchionni I, Haumann I, Maccaferri G, Lübke JHR.**
 1331 Developmental Profile, Morphology, and Synaptic Connectivity of Cajal–Retzius
 1332 Cells in the Postnatal Mouse Hippocampus. *Cerebral Cortex* 18: bhv271–18,
 1333 2015.
- 1334 14. **Anstötz M, Lee SK, Neblett TI, Rune GM, Maccaferri G.** Experience-Dependent
 1335 Regulation of Cajal–Retzius Cell Networks in the Developing and Adult Mouse
 1336 Hippocampus. *Cereb Cortex* 28: 672–687, 2017.
- 1337 15. **Aronov D, Nevers R, Tank DW.** Mapping of a non-spatial dimension by the
 1338 hippocampal–entorhinal circuit. *Nature* 543: 719–722, 2017.
- 1339 16. **Asgarian Z, Magno L, Ktena N, Harris KD, Kessaris N.** Hippocampal CA1
 1340 Somatostatin Interneurons Originate in the Embryonic MGE/POA. *Stem Cell*
 1341 *Reports* 13: 793–802, 2019.
- 1342 17. **Avitan L, Goodhill GJ.** Code Under Construction: Neural Coding Over
 1343 Development. *Trends in Neurosciences* 41: 599–609, 2018.
- 1344 18. **Azahara O, Antonio F-R, Felix L, Steven SA.** Hippocampal CA2 ripples recruit
 1345 social replay and promote social memory. 91: 1342–44, 2020.
- 1346 19. **Babola TA, Li S, Gribizis A, Lee BJ, Issa JB, Wang HC, Crair MC, Bergles DE.**
 1347 Homeostatic Control of Spontaneous Activity in the Developing Auditory
 1348 System. *Neuron* 99: 511–524.e5, 2018.
- 1349 20. **Balind SR, Magó Á, Ahmadi M, Kis N, Varga-Németh Z, Lőrincz A, Makara JK.**
 1350 Diverse synaptic and dendritic mechanisms of complex spike burst generation in
 1351 hippocampal CA3 pyramidal cells. *Nature Communications* 10: 1–15, 2019.
- 1352 21. **Barron HC, Auksztulewicz R, Friston K.** Prediction and memory: A predictive
 1353 coding account. *Progress in Neurobiology* 192: 101821, 2020.
- 1354 22. **Bayer SA.** Development of the Hippocampal Region in the Rat I. Neurogenesis
 1355 Examined With 3H-Thymidine Autoradiograph
 1356 . *J Comp Neurol* 190: 87–114, 1980.
- 1357 23. **Behrens CJ, van den Boom LP, de Hoz L, Friedman A, Heinemann U.** Induction
 1358 of sharp wave-ripple complexes in vitro and reorganization of hippocampal
 1359 networks. *Nat Neurosci* 8: 1560–1567, 2005.
- 1360 24. **Ben-Ari Y, Cherubini E, Corradetti R, Gaiarsa JL.** Giant synaptic potentials in
 1361 immature rat CA3 hippocampal neurones. *The Journal of Physiology* 416: 303–
 1362 325, 1989.
- 1363 25. **Bender RA, Brewster A, Santoro B, Ludwig A, Hofmann F, Biel M, Baram TZ.**
 1364 Differential and age-dependent expression of hyperpolarization-activated, cyclic
 1365 nucleotide-gated cation channel isoforms 1–4 suggests evolving roles in the
 1366 developing rat hippocampus. *NSC* 106: 689–698, 2001.

- 1367 26. **Bitzenhofer SH, Hanganu-Opatz IL.** Oscillatory coupling within neonatal
1368 prefrontal-hippocampal networks is independent of selective removal of
1369 GABAergic neurons in the hippocampus. *Neuropharmacology* 77: 57–67, 2014.
- 1370 27. **Bjerknes TL, Dagslott NC, Moser EI, Moser M-B.** Path integration in place cells
1371 of developing rats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 115: E1637–E1646, 2018.
- 1372 28. **Blankenship AG, Feller MB.** Mechanisms underlying spontaneous patterned
1373 activity in developing neural circuits. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11: 18–29, 2009.
- 1374 29. **Blanquie O, Yang J-W, Kilb W, Sharopov S, Sinning A, Luhmann HJ.** Electrical
1375 activity controls area-specific expression of neuronal apoptosis in the mouse
1376 developing cerebral cortex. *eLife* 6: 2551, 2017.
- 1377 30. **Bocchio M, Gouny C, Angulo-Garcia D, Toulat T, Tressard T, Quiroli E, Baude A,
1378 Cossart R.** Hippocampal hub neurons maintain distinct connectivity throughout
1379 their lifetime. *Nature Communications* 11: 1–19, 2020.
- 1380 31. **Bohm C, Peng Y, Maier N, Winterer J, Poulet JFA, Geiger JRP, Schmitz D.**
1381 Functional Diversity of Subicular Principal Cells during Hippocampal Ripples.
1382 *Journal of Neuroscience* 35: 13608–13618, 2015.
- 1383 32. **Bolea S.** Initiation and Propagation of Neuronal Coactivation in the Developing
1384 Hippocampus. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 95: 552–561, 2005.
- 1385 33. **Bonifazi P, Goldin M, Picardo MA, Jorquera I, Cattani A, Bianconi G, Represa A,
1386 Ben-Ari Y, Cossart R.** GABAergic Hub Neurons Orchestrate Synchrony in
1387 Developing Hippocampal Networks. *Science* 326: 1419–1424, 2009.
- 1388 34. **Bortone D, Polleux F.** KCC2 Expression Promotes the Termination of Cortical
1389 Interneuron Migration in a Voltage-Sensitive Calcium-Dependent Manner.
1390 *Neuron* 62: 53–71, 2009.
- 1391 35. **Bouwmeester H, Wolterink G, van Ree JM.** Neonatal development of
1392 projections from the basolateral amygdala to prefrontal, striatal, and thalamic
1393 structures in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 442: 239–249, 2002.
- 1394 36. **Brockmann MD, Pöschel B, Cichon N, Hanganu-Opatz IL.** Coupled oscillations
1395 mediate directed interactions between prefrontal cortex and hippocampus of
1396 the neonatal rat. *Neuron* 71: 332–347, 2011.
- 1397 37. **Bruel-Jungerman E, Lucassen PJ, Francis F.** Cholinergic influences on cortical
1398 development and adult neurogenesis. *Behavioural Brain Research* 221: 379–388,
1399 2011.
- 1400 38. **Buhl DL, Buzsaki G.** Developmental emergence of hippocampal fast-field
1401 “ripple” oscillations in the behaving rat pups. *Neuroscience* 134: 1423–1430,
1402 2005.

- 1403 39. **Burwell RD, Amaral DG.** Cortical afferents of the perirhinal, postrhinal, and
1404 entorhinal cortices of the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 398: 179–205, 1998.
- 1405 40. **Bush D, Bisby JA, Bird CM, Gollwitzer S, Rodionov R, Diehl B, McEvoy AW,**
1406 **Walker MC, Burgess N.** Human hippocampal theta power indicates movement
1407 onset and distance travelled. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114: 12297–12302, 2017.
- 1408 41. **Bush D, Burgess N.** Neural Oscillations: Phase Coding in the Absence
1409 of Rhythmicity. *Curr Biol* 29: R55–R57, 2019.
- 1410 42. **Buzsáki G, Moser EI.** Memory, navigation and theta rhythm in the hippocampal-
1411 entorhinal system. *Nat Neurosci* 16: 130–138, 2013.
- 1412 43. **Buzsáki G, Tingley D.** Space and Time: The Hippocampus as a Sequence
1413 Generator. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 22: 853–869, 2018.
- 1414 44. **Buzsáki G.** Hippocampal sharp wave-ripple: A cognitive biomarker for episodic
1415 memory and planning. *Hippocampus* 25: 1073–1188, 2015.
- 1416 45. **Causeret F, Coppola E, Pierani A.** Cortical developmental death: selected to
1417 survive or fated to die. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 53: 35–42, 2018.
- 1418 46. **Caviness VS.** Time of neuron origin in the hippocampus and dentate gyrus of
1419 normal and reeler mutant mice: an autoradiographic analysis. *J Comp Neurol*
1420 151: 113–120, 1973.
- 1421 47. **Cembrowski MS, Bachman JL, Wang L, Sugino K, Shields BC, Spruston N.** Spatial
1422 Gene-Expression Gradients Underlie Prominent Heterogeneity of CA1 Pyramidal
1423 Neurons. *Neuron* 89: 351–368, 2016.
- 1424 48. **Ceranik K, Deng J, Heimrich B, Lübke J, Zhao S, Förster E, Frotscher M.**
1425 Hippocampal Cajal-Retzius cells project to the entorhinal cortex: retrograde
1426 tracing and intracellular labelling studies. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 11:
1427 4278–4290, 1999.
- 1428 49. **Chen G, King JA, Lu Y, Cacucci F, Burgess N.** Spatial cell firing during virtual
1429 navigation of open arenas by head-restrained mice. *eLife* 7, 2018.
- 1430 50. **Chenani A, Sabariego M, Schlesiger MI, Leutgeb JK, Leutgeb S, Leibold C.**
1431 Hippocampal CA1 replay becomes less prominent but more rigid without inputs
1432 from medial entorhinal cortex. *Nature Communications* 10: 1–13, 2019.
- 1433 51. **Chittajallu R, Craig MT, McFarland A, Yuan X, Gerfen S, Tricoire L, Erkkila B,**
1434 **Barron SC, Lopez CM, Liang BJ, Jeffries BW, Pelkey KA, McBain CJ.** Dual origins
1435 of functionally distinct O-LM interneurons revealed by differential 5-HT(3A)R
1436 expression. *Nat Neurosci* 16: 1598–1607, 2013.
- 1437 52. **Chrobak JJ, Buzsaki G.** Selective activation of deep layer (V-VI)
1438 retrohippocampal cortical neurons during hippocampal sharp waves in the
1439 behaving rat. *Journal of Neuroscience* 14: 6160–6170, 1994.

- 1440 53. **Clancy B, Darlington RB, Finlay BL.** Translating developmental time across
1441 mammalian species. *NSC* 105: 7–17, 2001.
- 1442 54. **Colonnese MT, Kaminska A, Minlebaev M, Milh M, Bloem B, Lescure S,**
1443 **Moriette G, Chiron C, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R.** A conserved switch in sensory
1444 processing prepares developing neocortex for vision. *Neuron* 67: 480–498, 2010.
- 1445 55. **Crépel V, Aronov D, Jorquera I, Represa A, Ben-Ari Y, Cossart R.** A Parturition-
1446 Associated Nonsynaptic Coherent Activity Pattern in the Developing
1447 Hippocampus. *Neuron* 54: 105–120, 2007.
- 1448 56. **Cruz-Sanchez A, Dematagoda S, Ahmed R, Mohanathaas S, Odenwald N,**
1449 **Arruda-Carvalho M.** Developmental onset distinguishes three types of
1450 spontaneous recognition memory in mice. *Sci Rep* 10: 10612, 2020.
- 1451 57. **Csicsvari J, Hirase H, Mamiya A, Buzsaki G.** Ensemble patterns of hippocampal
1452 CA3-CA1 neurons during sharp wave-associated population events. *Neuron* 28:
1453 585–594, 2000.
- 1454 58. **Danglot L, Triller A, Marty S.** The development of hippocampal interneurons in
1455 rodents. *Hippocampus* 16: 1032–1060, 2006.
- 1456 59. **Danielson NB, Zaremba JD, Kaifosh P, Bowler J, Ladow M, Losonczy A.**
1457 Sublayer-Specific Coding Dynamics during Spatial Navigation and Learning in
1458 Hippocampal Area CA1. *Neuron* 91: 652–665, 2016.
- 1459 60. **Daselaar SM, Fleck MS, Cabeza R.** Triple dissociation in the medial temporal
1460 lobes: recollection, familiarity, and novelty. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 96:
1461 1902–1911, 2006.
- 1462 61. **Daw MI, Ashby MC, Isaac JTR.** Coordinated developmental recruitment of latent
1463 fast spiking interneurons in layer IV barrel cortex. *Nat Neurosci* (March 11,
1464 2007). doi: 10.1038/nn1866.
- 1465 62. **Dawitz J.** Distinct Synchronous Network Activity during the Second Postnatal
1466 Week of Medial Entorhinal Cortex Development. *fncel-14-00091tex* 14: 1–14,
1467 2020.
- 1468 63. **Deguchi Y, Donato F, Galimberti I, Cabuy E, Caroni P.** Temporally matched
1469 subpopulations of selectively interconnected principal neurons in the
1470 hippocampus. *Nature Publishing Group* (February 27, 2011). doi:
1471 10.1038/nn.2768.
- 1472 64. **Dehorter N, Michel FJ, Marissal T, Rotrou Y, Matrot B, Lopez C, Humphries MD,**
1473 **Hammond C.** Onset of Pup Locomotion Coincides with Loss of NR2C/D-
1474 Mediated Cortico-Striatal EPSCs and Dampening of Striatal Network Immature
1475 Activity. *Front Cell Neurosci* 5: 24, 2011.

- 1476 65. **Del Rio-Bermudez C, Kim J, Sokoloff G, Blumberg MS.** Theta Oscillations during
1477 Active Sleep Synchronize the Developing Rubro-Hippocampal Sensorimotor
1478 Network. *Curr Biol* 27: 1413–1424.e4, 2017.
- 1479 66. **Del Rio-Bermudez C, Kim J, Sokoloff G, Blumberg MS.** Active Sleep Promotes
1480 Coherent Oscillatory Activity in the Cortico-Hippocampal System of Infant Rats.
1481 *Cereb Cortex* 30: 2070–2082, 2020.
- 1482 67. **Demarque M, Represa A, Becq H, Khalilov I, Ben-Ari Y, Aniksztejn L.** Paracrine
1483 intercellular communication by a Ca²⁺- and SNARE-independent release of
1484 GABA and glutamate prior to synapse formation. *Neuron* 36: 1051–1061, 2002.
- 1485 68. **Deng J-B, Yu D-M, Wu P, Li M-S.** The tracing study of developing entorhino-
1486 hippocampal pathway. *Int J Dev Neurosci* 25: 251–258, 2007.
- 1487 69. **Ding L, Chen H, Diamantaki M, Coletta S, Preston-Ferrer P, Burgalossi A.**
1488 Structural Correlates of CA2 and CA3 Pyramidal Cell Activity in Freely-Moving
1489 Mice. *Journal of Neuroscience* 40: 5797–5806, 2020.
- 1490 70. **Doischer D, Aurel Hosp J, Yanagawa Y, Obata K, Jonas P, Vida I, Bartos M.**
1491 Postnatal Differentiation of Basket Cells from Slow to Fast Signaling Devices.
1492 *Journal of Neuroscience* 28: 12956–12968, 2008.
- 1493 71. **Donato F, Alberini CM, Amso D, Dragoi G, Dranovsky A, Newcombe NS.** The
1494 Ontogeny of Hippocampus-Dependent Memories. *J Neurosci* 41: 920–926, 2021.
- 1495 72. **Donato F, Chowdhury A, Lahr M, Caroni P.** Early- and Late-Born Parvalbumin
1496 Basket Cell Subpopulations Exhibiting Distinct Regulation and Roles in Learning.
1497 *Neuron* 85: 770–786, 2015.
- 1498 73. **Donato F, Jacobsen RI, Moser M-B, Moser EI.** Stellate cells drive maturation of
1499 the entorhinal-hippocampal circuit. *Science* (February 2, 2017). doi:
1500 10.1126/science.aai8178.
- 1501 74. **Dong H-W, Swanson LW, Chen L, Fanselow MS, Toga AW.** Genomic-anatomic
1502 evidence for distinct functional domains in hippocampal field CA1. *Proc Natl*
1503 *Acad Sci USA* 106: 11794–11799, 2009.
- 1504 75. **Dougherty KA, Islam T, Johnston D.** Intrinsic excitability of CA1 pyramidal
1505 neurones from the rat dorsal and ventral hippocampus. *The Journal of*
1506 *Physiology* 590: 5707–5722, 2012.
- 1507 76. **Dougherty KA.** Differential developmental refinement of the intrinsic
1508 electrophysiological properties of CA1 pyramidal neurons from the rat dorsal
1509 and ventral hippocampus. *Hippocampus* 30: 233–249, 2019.
- 1510 77. **Dragoi G, Tonegawa S.** Preplay of future place cell sequences by hippocampal
1511 cellular assemblies. *Nature* 469: 397–401, 2011.

- 1512 78. **Dragoi G.** Cell assemblies, sequences and temporal coding in the hippocampus.
1513 *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 64: 111–118, 2020.
- 1514 79. **Drieu C, Todorova R, Zugaro M.** Nested sequences of hippocampal assemblies
1515 during behavior support subsequent sleep replay. *Science* 362: 675–679, 2018.
- 1516 80. **Drieu C, Zugaro M.** Hippocampal Sequences During Exploration: Mechanisms
1517 and Functions. *Front Cell Neurosci* 13: 121–22, 2019.
- 1518 81. **Duan ZRS, Che A, Chu P, Mòdol L, Bollmann Y, Babij R, Fetcho RN, Otsuka T,**
1519 **Fuccillo MV, Liston C, Pisapia DJ, Cossart R, De Marco García NV.** GABAergic
1520 Restriction of Network Dynamics Regulates Interneuron Survival in the
1521 Developing Cortex. *Neuron* 105: 75–92.e5, 2020.
- 1522 82. **Dupont E, Hanganu IL, Kilb W, Hirsch S, Luhmann HJ.** Rapid developmental
1523 switch in the mechanisms driving early cortical columnar networks. *Nature* 439:
1524 79–83, 2005.
- 1525 83. **Dupuy ST, Houser CR.** Prominent expression of two forms of glutamate
1526 decarboxylase in the embryonic and early postnatal rat hippocampal formation.
1527 *Journal of Neuroscience* 16: 6919–6932, 1996.
- 1528 84. **Durand GM, Kovalchuk Y, Konnerth A.** Long-term potentiation and functional
1529 synapse induction in developing hippocampus. *Nature* 381: 71–75, 1996.
- 1530 85. **Dzhala VI, Talos DM, Sdrulla DA, Brumback AC, Mathews GC, Benke TA, Delpire**
1531 **E, Jensen FE, Staley KJ.** NKCC1 transporter facilitates seizures in the developing
1532 brain. *Nat Med* 11: 1205–1213, 2005.
- 1533 86. **Eliav T, Geva-Sagiv M, Yartsev MM, Finkelstein A, Rubin A, Las L, Ulanovsky N.**
1534 Nonoscillatory Phase Coding and Synchronization in the Bat Hippocampal
1535 Formation. *Cell* 175: 1119–1130.e15, 2018.
- 1536 87. **English DF, McKenzie S, Evans T, Kim K, Yoon E, Buzsáki G.** Pyramidal Cell-
1537 Interneuron Circuit Architecture and Dynamics in Hippocampal Networks.
1538 *Neuron* 96: 505–520.e7, 2017.
- 1539 88. **English DF, Peyrache A, Stark E, Roux L, Vallentin D, Long MA, Buzsáki G.**
1540 Excitation and inhibition compete to control spiking during hippocampal ripples:
1541 intracellular study in behaving mice. *J Neurosci* 34: 16509–16517, 2014.
- 1542 89. **Epsztein J, Brecht M, Lee AK.** Intracellular Determinants of Hippocampal CA1
1543 Place and Silent Cell Activity in a Novel Environment. *Neuron* 70: 109–120, 2011.
- 1544 90. **Eyo UB, Miner SA, Weiner JA, Dailey ME.** Developmental changes in microglial
1545 mobilization are independent of apoptosis in the neonatal mouse hippocampus.
1546 *Brain Behav Immun* 55: 49–59, 2016.
- 1547 91. **Farooq U, Dragoi G.** Emergence of preconfigured and plastic time-compressed
1548 sequences in early postnatal development. *Science* 363: 168–173, 2019.

- 1549 92. **Fattahi M, Sharif F, Geiller T, Royer S.** Differential Representation of Landmark
1550 and Self-Motion Information along the CA1 Radial Axis: Self-Motion Generated
1551 Place Fields Shift toward Landmarks during Septal Inactivation. *J Neurosci* 38:
1552 6766–6778, 2018.
- 1553 93. **Fernandez-Lamo I, Gomez-Dominguez D, Sanchez-Aguilera A, Oliva A, Morales**
1554 **AV, Valero M, Cid E, Berényi A, la Prida de LM.** Proximodistal Organization of
1555 the CA2 Hippocampal Area. *CellReports* 26: 1734–1746.e6, 2019.
- 1556 94. **Ferrer I, Serrano T, Soriano E.** Naturally occurring cell death in the subicular
1557 complex and hippocampus in the rat during development. *Neurosci Res* 8: 60–
1558 66, 1990.
- 1559 95. **Flossmann T, Kaas T, Rahmati V, Kiebel SJ, Witte OW, Holthoff K, Kirmse K.**
1560 Somatostatin Interneurons Promote Neuronal Synchrony in the Neonatal
1561 Hippocampus. *CellReports* 26: 3173–3182.e5, 2019.
- 1562 96. **Garaschuk O, Hanse E, Konnerth A.** Developmental profile and synaptic origin of
1563 early network oscillations in the CA1 region of rat neonatal hippocampus. *The*
1564 *Journal of Physiology* 507 (Pt 1): 219–236, 1998.
- 1565 97. **Geiller T, Fattahi M, Choi J-S, Royer SEB.** Place cells are more strongly tied to
1566 landmarks in deep than in superficial CA1. *Nature Communications* 8: 1–11,
1567 2017.
- 1568 98. **Godbole G, Shetty AS, Roy A, D'Souza L, Chen B, Miyoshi G, Fishell G, Tole S.**
1569 Hierarchical genetic interactions between FOXG1 and LHX2 regulate the
1570 formation of the cortical hem in the developing telencephalon. *Development*
1571 145: dev154583–17, 2018.
- 1572 99. **Gomez-Di Cesare CM, Smith KL, Rice FL, Swann JW.** Axonal remodeling during
1573 postnatal maturation of CA3 hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *J Comp Neurol*
1574 384: 165–180, 1997.
- 1575 100. **Gouty-Colomer L-A, Michel FJ, Baude A, Lopez-Pauchet C, Dufour A, Cossart R,**
1576 **Hammond C.** Mouse subthalamic nucleus neurons with local axon collaterals. *J*
1577 *Comp Neurol* 526: 275–284, 2017.
- 1578 101. **Goyal A, Miller J, Qasim SE, Watrous AJ, Zhang H, Stein JM, Inman CS, Gross**
1579 **RE, Willie JT, Lega B, Lin J-J, Sharan A, Wu C, Sperling MR, Sheth SA, McKhann**
1580 **GM, Smith EH, Schevon C, Jacobs J.** Functionally distinct high and low theta
1581 oscillations in the human hippocampus. *Nature Communications* 11: 2469, 2020.
- 1582 102. **Graf J, Zhang C, Marguet SL, Herrmann T, Flossmann T, Hinsch R, Rahmati V,**
1583 **Guenther M, Frahm C, Urbach A, Neves RM, Witte OW, Kiebel SJ, Isbrandt D,**
1584 **Hübner CA, Holthoff K, Kirmse K.** A limited role of NKCC1 in telencephalic
1585 glutamatergic neurons for developing hippocampal network dynamics and
1586 behavior. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 118, 2021.

- 1587 103. **Graves AR, Moore SJ, Bloss EB, Mensh BD, Kath WL, Spruston N.** Hippocampal
1588 Pyramidal Neurons Comprise Two Distinct Cell Types that Are
1589 Countermodulated by Metabotropic Receptors. *Neuron* 76: 776–789, 2012.
- 1590 104. **Green RJ, Stanton ME.** Differential ontogeny of working memory and reference
1591 memory in the rat. *Behav Neurosci* 103: 98–105, 1989.
- 1592 105. **Gretenkord S, Kostka JK, Hartung H, Watznauer K, Fleck D, Minier-Toribio A,
1593 Spehr M, Hanganu-Opatz IL.** Coordinated electrical activity in the olfactory bulb
1594 gates the oscillatory entrainment of entorhinal networks in neonatal mice. *PLoS*
1595 *Biol* 17: e2006994, 2019.
- 1596 106. **Gribizis A, Ge X, Daigle TL, Ackman JB, Zeng H, Lee D, Crair MC.** Visual Cortex
1597 Gains Independence from Peripheral Drive before Eye Opening. *Neuron* 104:
1598 711–723.e3, 2019.
- 1599 107. **Grosmark AD, Buzsáki G.** Diversity in neural firing dynamics supports both rigid
1600 and learned hippocampal sequences. *Science* 351: 1440–1443, 2016.
- 1601 108. **Guskjolen A, Kenney JW, la Parra de J, Yeung B-RA, Josselyn SA, Frankland PW.**
1602 Recovery of “Lost” Infant Memories in Mice. *Current Biology* 28: 2283–
1603 2290.e3, 2018.
- 1604 109. **Haimerl C, Angulo-Garcia D, Villette V, Reichinnek S, Torcini A, Cossart R,
1605 Malvache A.** Internal representation of hippocampal neuronal population spans
1606 a time-distance continuum. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 116: 7477–7482, 2019.
- 1607 110. **Hanganu IL, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R.** Retinal Waves Trigger Spindle Bursts in the
1608 Neonatal Rat Visual Cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience* 26: 6728–6736, 2006.
- 1609 111. **Harris KM, Teyler TJ.** Evidence for late development of inhibition in area CA1 of
1610 the rat hippocampus. *Brain Res* 268: 339–343, 1983.
- 1611 112. **Hartung H, Brockmann MD, Pöschel B, De Feo V, Hanganu-Opatz IL.** Thalamic
1612 and Entorhinal Network Activity Differently Modulates the Functional
1613 Development of Prefrontal-Hippocampal Interactions. *J Neurosci* 36: 3676–3690,
1614 2016.
- 1615 113. **Hartzell AL, Burke SN, Hoang LT, Lister JP, Rodriguez CN, Barnes CA.**
1616 Transcription of the Immediate-Early Gene Arc in CA1 of the Hippocampus
1617 Reveals Activity Differences along the Proximodistal Axis That Are Attenuated by
1618 Advanced Age. *Journal of Neuroscience* 33: 3424–3433, 2013.
- 1619 114. **Hayashi K, Kubo K-I, Kitazawa A, Nakajima K.** Cellular dynamics of neuronal
1620 migration in the hippocampus. *Front Neurosci* 9: 1–11, 2015.
- 1621 115. **Háros N, Ellender TJ, Zemankovics R, Mann EO, Exley R, Cragg SJ, Freund TF,
1622 Paulsen O.** Maintaining network activity in submerged hippocampal slices:

- 1623 importance of oxygen supply. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 29: 319–327,
1624 2009.
- 1625 116. **Helton TD, Zhao M, Farris S, Dudek SM.** Diversity of dendritic morphology and
1626 entorhinal cortex synaptic effectiveness in mouse CA2 pyramidal neurons.
1627 *Hippocampus* 29: 78–92, 2018.
- 1628 117. **Henin S, Turk-Browne NB, Friedman D, Liu A, Dugan P, Flinker A, Doyle W,**
1629 **Devinsky O, Melloni L.** Learning hierarchical sequence representations across
1630 human cortex and hippocampus. *Sci Adv* 7, 2021.
- 1631 118. **Hennou S, Khalilov I, Diabira D, Ben-Ari Y, Gozlan H.** Early sequential formation
1632 of functional GABA A and glutamatergic synapses on CA1 interneurons of the rat
1633 foetal hippocampus. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 16: 197–208, 2002.
- 1634 119. **Henriksen EJ, Colgin LL, Barnes CA, Witter MP, Moser M-B, Moser EI.** Spatial
1635 Representation along the Proximodistal Axis of CA1. *Neuron* 68: 127–137, 2010.
- 1636 120. **Holderith N, Németh B, Papp OI, Veres JM, Nagy GA, Hájos N.** Cannabinoids
1637 attenuate hippocampal γ oscillations by suppressing excitatory synaptic input
1638 onto CA3 pyramidal neurons and fast spiking basket cells. *The Journal of*
1639 *Physiology* 589: 4921–4934, 2011.
- 1640 121. **Howe ML, Courage ML.** On resolving the enigma of infantile amnesia. *Psychol*
1641 *Bull* 113: 305–326, 1993.
- 1642 122. **Hönigsperger C, Marosi M, Murphy R, Storm JF.** Dorsoventral differences in
1643 Kv7/M-current and its impact on resonance, temporal summation and
1644 excitability in rat hippocampal pyramidal cells. *The Journal of Physiology* 593:
1645 1551–1580, 2015.
- 1646 123. **Huber R, Born J.** Sleep, synaptic connectivity, and hippocampal memory during
1647 early development. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 18: 141–152, 2014.
- 1648 124. **Ikonomidou C, Bittigau P, Ishimaru MJ, Wozniak DF, Koch C, Genz K, Price MT,**
1649 **Stefovska V, Hörster F, Tenkova T, Dikranian K, Olney JW.** Ethanol-induced
1650 apoptotic neurodegeneration and fetal alcohol syndrome. *Science* 287: 1056–
1651 1060, 2000.
- 1652 125. **Inácio AR, Nasretudinov A, Lebedeva J, Khazipov R.** Sensory feedback
1653 synchronizes motor and sensory neuronal networks in the neonatal rat spinal
1654 cord. *Nature Communications* 7: 13060, 2016.
- 1655 126. **Ishino Y, Yetman MJ, Sossi SM, Steinecke A, Hayano Y, Taniguchi H.** Regional
1656 Cellular Environment Shapes Phenotypic Variations of Hippocampal and
1657 Neocortical Chandelier Cells. *J Neurosci* 37: 9901–9916, 2017.

- 1658 127. **Itskov V, Curto C, Pastalkova E, Buzsaki G.** Cell Assembly Sequences Arising
1659 from Spike Threshold Adaptation Keep Track of Time in the Hippocampus.
1660 *Journal of Neuroscience* 31: 2828–2834, 2011.
- 1661 128. **Jacobs J, Kahana MJ, Ekstrom AD, Fried I.** Brain oscillations control timing of
1662 single-neuron activity in humans. *J Neurosci* 27: 3839–3844, 2007.
- 1663 129. **Jarsky T, Mady R, Kennedy B, Spruston N.** Distribution of bursting neurons in
1664 the CA1 region and the subiculum of the rat hippocampus. *J Comp Neurol* 506:
1665 535–547, 2007.
- 1666 130. **Jiang M, Oliva AA, Lam T, Swann JW.** GABAergic neurons that pioneer
1667 hippocampal area CA1 of the mouse: morphologic features and multiple fates. *J*
1668 *Comp Neurol* 439: 176–192, 2001.
- 1669 131. **Karlsson KA, Blumberg MS.** Hippocampal theta in the newborn rat is revealed
1670 under conditions that promote REM sleep. *J Neurosci* 23: 1114–1118, 2003.
- 1671 132. **Karlsson KAE, Mohs EJ, di Prisco GV, Blumberg MS.** On the co-occurrence of
1672 startles and hippocampal sharp waves in newborn rats. *Hippocampus* 16: 959–
1673 965, 2006.
- 1674 133. **Kasyanov AM, Safiulina VF, Voronin LL, Cherubini E.** GABA-mediated giant
1675 depolarizing potentials as coincidence detectors for enhancing synaptic efficacy
1676 in the developing hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 3967–3972, 2004.
- 1677 134. **Katz LC, Shatz CJ.** Synaptic activity and the construction of cortical circuits.
1678 *Science* 274: 1133–1138, 1996.
- 1679 135. **Keller GB, Mrsic-Flogel TD.** Predictive Processing: A Canonical Cortical
1680 Computation. *Neuron* 100: 424–435, 2018.
- 1681 136. **Keresztes A, Ngo CT, Lindenberger U, Werkle-Bergner M, Newcombe NS.**
1682 Hippocampal Maturation Drives Memory from Generalization to Specificity.
1683 *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 22: 676–686, 2018.
- 1684 137. **Khalilov I, Dzhala V, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R.** Dual role of GABA in the neonatal
1685 rat hippocampus. *Dev Neurosci* 21: 310–319, 1999.
- 1686 138. **Khalilov I, Esclapez M, Medina I, Aggoun D, Lamsa K, Leinekugel X, Khazipov R,**
1687 **Ben-Ari Y.** A novel in vitro preparation: the intact hippocampal formation.
1688 *Neuron* 19: 743–749, 1997.
- 1689 139. **Khalilov I, Minlebaev M, Mukhtarov M, Juzekaeva E, Khazipov R.** Postsynaptic
1690 GABA(B) Receptors Contribute to the Termination of Giant Depolarizing
1691 Potentials in CA3 Neonatal Rat Hippocampus. *Front Cell Neurosci* 11: 179, 2017.
- 1692 140. **Khalilov I, Minlebaev M, Mukhtarov M, Khazipov R.** Dynamic Changes from
1693 Depolarizing to Hyperpolarizing GABAergic Actions during Giant Depolarizing
1694 Potentials in the Neonatal Rat Hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 35: 12635–12642, 2015.

- 1695 141. **Khazipov R, Esclapez M, Caillard O, Bernard C, Khalilov I, Tyzio R, Hirsch J, Dzhala V, Berger B, Ben-Ari Y.** Early development of neuronal activity in the primate hippocampus in utero. *J Neurosci* 21: 9770–9781, 2001.
- 1696
- 1697
- 1698 142. **Khazipov R, Khalilov I, Tyzio R, Morozova E, Ben-Ari Y, Holmes GL.** Developmental changes in GABAergic actions and seizure susceptibility in the rat hippocampus. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 19: 590–600, 2004.
- 1699
- 1700
- 1701 143. **Khazipov R, Sirota A, Leinekugel X, Holmes GL, Ben-Ari Y, Buzsáki G.** Early motor activity drives spindle bursts in the developing somatosensory cortex. *Nature* 432: 758–761, 2004.
- 1702
- 1703
- 1704 144. **Kitazawa A, Kubo K-I, Hayashi K, Matsunaga Y, Ishii K, Nakajima K.** Hippocampal pyramidal neurons switch from a multipolar migration mode to a novel “climbing” migration mode during development. *J Neurosci* 34: 1115–1126, 2014.
- 1705
- 1706
- 1707
- 1708 145. **Kohara K, Pignatelli M, Rivest AJ, Jung H-Y, Kitamura T, Suh J, Frank D, Kajikawa K, Mise N, Obata Y, Wickersham IR, Tonegawa S.** Cell type-specific genetic and optogenetic tools reveal hippocampal CA2 circuits. *Nat Neurosci* 17: 269–279, 2014.
- 1709
- 1710
- 1711
- 1712 146. **Komuro H, Rakic P.** Intracellular Ca²⁺ fluctuations modulate the rate of neuronal migration. *Neuron* 17: 275–285, 1996.
- 1713
- 1714 147. **Kraus BJ, Brandon MP, Robinson RJ II, Connerney MA, Hasselmo ME, Eichenbaum H.** During Running in Place, Grid Cells Integrate Elapsed Time and Distance Run. *Neuron* 88: 578–589, 2015.
- 1715
- 1716
- 1717 148. **Kraus BJ, Robinson RJ II, White JA, Eichenbaum H, Hasselmo ME.** Hippocampal “Time Cells”: Time versus Path Integration. *Neuron* 78: 1090–1101, 2013.
- 1718
- 1719 149. **Lahtinen H, Palva JM, Sumanen S, Voipio J, Kaila K, Taira T.** Postnatal development of rat hippocampal gamma rhythm in vivo. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 88: 1469–1474, 2002.
- 1720
- 1721
- 1722 150. **Langston RF, Ainge JA, Couey JJ, Canto CB, Bjerknes TL, Witter MP, Moser EI, Moser MB.** Development of the Spatial Representation System in the Rat. *Science* 328: 1576–1580, 2010.
- 1723
- 1724
- 1725 151. **Larimer P, Ben W Strowbridge.** Representing information in cell assemblies: persistent activity mediated by semilunar granule cells. *Nat Neurosci* 13: 213–222, 2009.
- 1726
- 1727
- 1728 152. **Lavenex P, Banta Lavenex P.** Building hippocampal circuits to learn and remember: insights into the development of human memory. *Behavioural Brain Research* 254: 8–21, 2013.
- 1729
- 1730

- 1731 153. **Le Magueresse C, Safiulina V, Changeux J-P, Cherubini E.** Nicotinic modulation
1732 of network and synaptic transmission in the immature hippocampus
1733 investigated with genetically modified mice. *The Journal of Physiology* 576: 533–
1734 546, 2006.
- 1735 154. **Leblanc MO, Bland BH.** Developmental aspects of hippocampal electrical
1736 activity and motor behavior in the rat. *Experimental Neurology* 66: 220–237,
1737 1979.
- 1738 155. **Lee A-R, Kim J-H, Cho E, Kim M, Park M.** Dorsal and Ventral Hippocampus
1739 Differentiate in Functional Pathways and Differentially Associate with
1740 Neurological Disease-Related Genes during Postnatal Development. *Front Mol*
1741 *Neurosci* 10: 331, 2017.
- 1742 156. **Lee AK, Wilson MA.** Memory of sequential experience in the hippocampus
1743 during slow wave sleep. *Neuron* 36: 1183–1194, 2002.
- 1744 157. **Lee H, Wang C, Deshmukh SS, Knierim JJ.** Neural Population Evidence of
1745 Functional Heterogeneity along the CA3 Transverse Axis: Pattern Completion
1746 versus Pattern Separation. *Neuron* 87: 1093–1105, 2015.
- 1747 158. **Lee S-H, Marchionni I, Bezaire M, Varga C, Danielson N, Lovett-Barron M,**
1748 **Losonczy A, Soltesz I.** Parvalbumin-Positive Basket Cells Differentiate among
1749 Hippocampal Pyramidal Cells. *Neuron* 82: 1129–1144, 2014.
- 1750 159. **Leibold C.** A model for navigation in unknown environments based on a
1751 reservoir of hippocampal sequences. *Neural Networks* 124: 328–342, 2020.
- 1752 160. **Leinekugel X, Khalilov I, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R.** Giant depolarizing potentials:
1753 the septal pole of the hippocampus paces the activity of the developing intact
1754 septohippocampal complex in vitro. *Journal of Neuroscience* 18: 6349–6357,
1755 1998.
- 1756 161. **Leinekugel X, Khazipov R, Cannon R, Hirase H, Ben-Ari Y, Buzsáki G.** Correlated
1757 bursts of activity in the neonatal hippocampus in vivo. *Science* 296: 2049–2052,
1758 2002.
- 1759 162. **Leinekugel X, Medina I, Khalilov I, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R.** Ca²⁺ oscillations
1760 mediated by the synergistic excitatory actions of GABA(A) and NMDA receptors
1761 in the neonatal hippocampus. *Neuron* 18: 243–255, 1997.
- 1762 163. **Li Y, Xu J, Liu Y, Zhu J, Liu N, Zeng W, Huang N, Rasch MJ, Jiang H, Gu X, Li X,**
1763 **Luo M, Li C, Teng J, Chen J, Zeng S, Lin L, Zhang X.** A distinct entorhinal cortex to
1764 hippocampal CA1 direct circuit for olfactory associative learning. *Nat Neurosci*
1765 20: 559–570, 2017.
- 1766 164. **Li Y, Xu J, Liu Y, Zhu J, Liu N, Zeng W, Huang N, Rasch MJ, Jiang H, Gu X, Li X,**
1767 **Luo M, Li C, Teng J, Chen J, Zeng S, Lin L, Zhang X.** A distinct entorhinal cortex to

1768 hippocampal CA1 direct circuit for olfactory associative learning. *Nat Neurosci*
1769 20: 559–570, 2017.

1770 165. **Lombardi A, Jedlicka P, Luhmann HJ, Kilb W.** Giant Depolarizing Potentials
1771 Trigger Transient Changes in the Intracellular Cl⁻ Concentration in CA3 Pyramidal
1772 Neurons of the Immature Mouse Hippocampus. *fnce/12-00420tex* 12: 1–15,
1773 2018.

1774 166. **Lombardi A, Jedlicka P, Luhmann HJ, Kilb W.** Coincident glutamatergic
1775 depolarizations enhance GABAA receptor-dependent Cl⁻ influx in mature and
1776 suppress Cl⁻ efflux in immature neurons. *PLoS Comput Biol* 17: e1008573, 2021.

1777 167. **Lotfullina N, Khazipov R.** Ethanol and the Developing Brain: Inhibition of
1778 Neuronal Activity and Neuroapoptosis. *Neuroscientist* 24: 130–141, 2018.

1779 168. **MacDonald CJ, Lepage KQ, Eden UT, Eichenbaum H.** Hippocampal “Time Cells”
1780 Bridge the Gap in Memory for Discontiguous Events. *Neuron* 71: 737–749, 2011.

1781 169. **Maier N, Nimmrich V, Draguhn A.** Cellular and network mechanisms underlying
1782 spontaneous sharp wave-ripple complexes in mouse hippocampal slices. *The*
1783 *Journal of Physiology* 550: 873–887, 2003.

1784 170. **Malvache A, Reichinnek S, Villette V, Haimerl C, Cossart R.** Awake hippocampal
1785 reactivations project onto orthogonal neuronal assemblies. *Science* 353: 1280–
1786 1283, 2016.

1787 171. **Manent JB, Demarque M, Jorquera I, Pellegrino C, Ben-Ari Y, Ben, Aniksztejn L,**
1788 **Represa A.** A Noncanonical Release of GABA and Glutamate Modulates
1789 Neuronal Migration. *Journal of Neuroscience* 25: 4755–4765, 2005.

1790 172. **Manent JB, Jorquera I, Ben-Ari Y, Aniksztejn L, Represa A.** Glutamate Acting on
1791 AMPA But Not NMDA Receptors Modulates the Migration of Hippocampal
1792 Interneurons. *Journal of Neuroscience* 26: 5901–5909, 2006.

1793 173. **Mangale VS, Hirokawa KE, Satyaki PRV, Gokulchandran N, Chikbire S,**
1794 **Subramanian L, Shetty AS, Martynoga B, Paul J, Mai MV, Li Y, Flanagan LA, Tole**
1795 **S, Monuki ES.** Lhx2 selector activity specifies cortical identity and suppresses
1796 hippocampal organizer fate. *Science* 319: 304–309, 2008.

1797 174. **Marguet SL, Le-Schulte VTQ, Merseburg A, Neu A, Eichler R, Jakovcevski I,**
1798 **Ivanov A, Hanganu-Opatz IL, Bernard C, Morellini F, Isbrandt D.** Treatment
1799 during a vulnerable developmental period rescues a genetic epilepsy. *Nat Med*
1800 21: 1436–1444, 2015.

1801 175. **Marissal T, Bonifazi P, Picardo MAE, Nardou R, Petit LF, Baude AES, Fishell GJ,**
1802 **Ben-Ari Y, Cossart R.** Pioneer glutamatergic cells develop into a morpho-
1803 functionally distinct population in the juvenile CA3 hippocampus. *Nature*
1804 *Communications* 3: 1316–12, 1AD.

- 1805 176. **Maroso M, Szabo GG, Kim HK, Alexander A, Bui AD, Lee S-H, Lutz B, Soltesz I.** Cannabinoid Control of Learning and Memory through HCN Channels. *Neuron* 89: 1059–1073, 2016.
- 1806
- 1807
- 1808 177. **Marques-Smith A, Lyngholm D, Kaufmann A-K, Stacey JA, Hoerder-Suabedissen A, Becker EBE, Wilson MC, Molnár Z, Butt SJB.** A Transient Translaminar GABAergic Interneuron Circuit Connects Thalamocortical Recipient Layers in Neonatal Somatosensory Cortex. *Neuron* 89: 536–549, 2016.
- 1809
- 1810
- 1811
- 1812 178. **Marrone DF, Satvat E, Odintsova IV, Gheidi A.** Dissociation of spatial representations within hippocampal region CA3. *Hippocampus* 24: 1417–1420, 2014.
- 1813
- 1814
- 1815 179. **Marty S, Wehrle R, Alvarez-Leefmans FJ, Gasnier B, Sotelo C.** Postnatal maturation of Na⁺, K⁺, 2Cl⁻ cotransporter expression and inhibitory synaptogenesis in the rat hippocampus: an immunocytochemical analysis. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 15: 233–245, 2002.
- 1816
- 1817
- 1818
- 1819 180. **Masurkar AV, Srinivas KV, Brann DH, Warren R, Lowes DC, Siegelbaum SA.** Medial and Lateral Entorhinal Cortex Differentially Excite Deep versus Superficial CA1 Pyramidal Neurons. *CellReports* 18: 148–160, 2017.
- 1820
- 1821
- 1822 181. **Masurkar AV, Tian C, Warren R, Reyes I, Lowes DC, Brann DH, Siegelbaum SA.** Postsynaptic integrative properties of dorsal CA1 pyramidal neuron subpopulations. *J Neurophysiol* 123: 980–992, 2020.
- 1823
- 1824
- 1825 182. **McHail DG, Valibeigi N, Dumas TC.** A Barnes maze for juvenile rats delineates the emergence of spatial navigation ability. *Learn Mem* 25: 138–146, 2018.
- 1826
- 1827 183. **McLean HA, Caillard O, Khazipov R, Ben-Ari Y, Gaiarsa JL.** Spontaneous release of GABA activates GABAB receptors and controls network activity in the neonatal rat hippocampus. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 76: 1036–1046, 1996.
- 1828
- 1829
- 1830 184. **McVea DA, Mohajerani MH, Murphy TH.** Voltage-sensitive dye imaging reveals dynamic spatiotemporal properties of cortical activity after spontaneous muscle twitches in the newborn rat. *J Neurosci* 32: 10982–10994, 2012.
- 1831
- 1832
- 1833 185. **Menendez de la Prida L, Bolea S, Sanchez-Andres JV.** Origin of the synchronized network activity in the rabbit developing hippocampus. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 10: 899–906, 1998.
- 1834
- 1835
- 1836 186. **Meng X, Mukherjee D, Kao JPY, Kanold PO.** Early peripheral activity alters nascent subplate circuits in the auditory cortex. *Sci Adv* 7, 2021.
- 1837
- 1838 187. **Minlebaev M, Colonnese M, Tsintsadze T, Sirota A, Khazipov R.** Early γ oscillations synchronize developing thalamus and cortex. *Science* 334: 226–229, 2011.
- 1839
- 1840

- 1841 188. **Mizuno H, Ikezoe K, Nakazawa S, Sato T, Kitamura K, Iwasato T.** Patchwork-
1842 Type Spontaneous Activity in Neonatal Barrel Cortex Layer 4 Transmitted via
1843 Thalamocortical Projections. *CellReports* 22: 123–135, 2018.
- 1844 189. **Mizuseki K, Diba K, Pastalkova E, Buzsáki G.** Hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells
1845 form functionally distinct sublayers. *Nature Publishing Group* 14: 1174–1181,
1846 2011.
- 1847 190. **Mohajerani MH, Sivakumaran S, Zacchi P, Aguilera P, Cherubini E.** Correlated
1848 network activity enhances synaptic efficacy via BDNF and the ERK pathway at
1849 immature CA3 CA1 connections in the hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*
1850 104: 13176–13181, 2007.
- 1851 191. **Mohns EJ, Blumberg MS.** Synchronous Bursts of Neuronal Activity in the
1852 Developing Hippocampus: Modulation by Active Sleep and Association with
1853 Emerging Gamma and Theta Rhythms. *Journal of Neuroscience* 28: 10134–
1854 10144, 2008.
- 1855 192. **Mohns EJ, Blumberg MS.** Neocortical Activation of the Hippocampus during
1856 Sleep in Infant Rats. *Journal of Neuroscience* 30: 3438–3449, 2010.
- 1857 193. **Morozov YM, Freund TF.** Postnatal development and migration of
1858 cholecystinin-immunoreactive interneurons in rat hippocampus. *Neuroscience*
1859 120: 923–939, 2003.
- 1860 194. **Moudy AM, Kunkel DD, Schwartzkroin PA.** Development of dopamine-beta-
1861 hydroxylase-positive fiber innervation of the rat hippocampus. *Synapse* 15: 307–
1862 318, 1993.
- 1863 195. **Mòdol L, Bollmann Y, Tressard T, Baude A, Che A, Duan ZRS, Babij R, De Marco**
1864 **García NV, Cossart R.** Assemblies of Perisomatic GABAergic Neurons in the
1865 Developing Barrel Cortex. *Neuron* (November 2019). doi:
1866 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.10.007.
- 1867 196. **Muessig L, Hauser J, Wills TJ, Cacucci F.** A Developmental Switch in Place Cell
1868 Accuracy Coincides with Grid Cell Maturation. *Neuron* 86: 1167–1173, 2015.
- 1869 197. **Muessig L, Lasek M, Varsavsky I, Cacucci F, Wills TJ.** Coordinated Emergence of
1870 Hippocampal Replay and Theta Sequences during Post-natal Development.
1871 *Current Biology* 29: 834–840.e4, 2019.
- 1872 198. **Murase S, Owens DF, McKay RD.** In the newborn hippocampus, neurotrophin-
1873 dependent survival requires spontaneous activity and integrin signaling. *J*
1874 *Neurosci* 31: 7791–7800, 2011.
- 1875 199. **Murase S.** A new model for developmental neuronal death and
1876 excitatory/inhibitory balance in hippocampus. *Mol Neurobiol* 49: 316–325, 2014.

- 1877 200. **Murata Y, Colonnese MT.** GABAergic interneurons excite neonatal hippocampus
1878 in vivo. *Sci Adv* 6: eaba1430, 2020.
- 1879 201. **Namiki S, Norimoto H, Kobayashi C, Nakatani K, Matsuki N, Ikegaya Y.** Layer III
1880 Neurons Control Synchronized Waves in the Immature Cerebral Cortex. *Journal*
1881 *of Neuroscience* 33: 987–1001, 2013.
- 1882 202. **Nasrallah K, Therreau L, Robert V, Huang AJY, McHugh TJ, Piskorowski RA,**
1883 **Chevalleyre V.** Routing Hippocampal Information Flow through Parvalbumin
1884 Interneuron Plasticity in Area CA2. *CellReports* 27: 86–98.e3, 2019.
- 1885 203. **Newcombe NS, Balcomb F, Ferrara K, Hansen M, Koski J.** Two rooms, two
1886 representations? Episodic-like memory in toddlers and preschoolers. *Dev Sci* 17:
1887 743–756, 2014.
- 1888 204. **Nicola W, Clopath C.** A diversity of interneurons and Hebbian plasticity facilitate
1889 rapid compressible learning in the hippocampus. *Nat Neurosci* 22: 1–20, 2019.
- 1890 205. **Nowakowski RS, Rakic P.** The site of origin and route and rate of migration of
1891 neurons to the hippocampal region of the rhesus monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 196:
1892 129–154, 1981.
- 1893 206. **O'Keefe J, Nadel L.** *The Hippocampus as a Cognitive Map.* [no date].
- 1894 207. **Oliva A, Fernández-Ruiz A, Buzsáki G, Berényi A.** Spatial coding and
1895 physiological properties of hippocampal neurons in the Cornu Ammonis
1896 subregions. *Hippocampus* 26: 1593–1607, 2016.
- 1897 208. **Pastalkova E, Itskov V, Amarasingham A, Buzsaki G.** Internally Generated Cell
1898 Assembly Sequences in the Rat Hippocampus. *Science* 321: 1322–1327, 2008.
- 1899 209. **Patel J, Schomburg EW, Berényi A, Fujisawa S, Buzsáki G.** Local generation and
1900 propagation of ripples along the septotemporal axis of the hippocampus. *J*
1901 *Neurosci* 33: 17029–17041, 2013.
- 1902 210. **Pelkey KA, Chittajallu R, Craig MT, Tricoire L, Wester JC, McBain CJ.**
1903 Hippocampal GABAergic Inhibitory Interneurons. *Physiological Reviews* 97:
1904 1619–1747, 2017.
- 1905 211. **Picardo MA, Guigue P, Allene C, Fishell G.** Pioneer GABA cells comprise a
1906 subpopulation of hub neurons in the developing hippocampus. *Neuron* 71: 695–
1907 709, 2011.
- 1908 212. **Pleasure SJ, Anderson S, Hevner R, Bagri A, Marin O, Lowenstein DH,**
1909 **Rubenstein JL.** Cell migration from the ganglionic eminences is required for the
1910 development of hippocampal GABAergic interneurons. *Neuron* 28: 727–740,
1911 2000.

- 1912 213. **Priya R, Paredes MF, Karayannis T, Yusuf N, Liu X, Jaglin X, Graef I, Alvarez-Buylla A, Fishell G.** Activity Regulates Cell Death within Cortical Interneurons through a Calcineurin-Dependent Mechanism. *CellReports* 22: 1695–1709, 2018.
- 1913
- 1914
- 1915 214. **Rakic P.** Prenatal genesis of connections subserving ocular dominance in the rhesus monkey. *Nature* 261: 467–471, 1976.
- 1916
- 1917 215. **Rakic P.** Prenatal development of the visual system in rhesus monkey. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 278: 245–260, 1977.
- 1918
- 1919
- 1920 216. **Ramsaran AI, Schlichting ML, Frankland PW.** The ontogeny of memory persistence and specificity. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* 36: 100591, 2019.
- 1921
- 1922 217. **Ravassard P, Kees A, Willers B, Ho D, Aharoni DA, Cushman J, Aghajan ZM, Mehta MR.** Multisensory control of hippocampal spatiotemporal selectivity. *Science* 340: 1342–1346, 2013.
- 1923
- 1924
- 1925 218. **Rudy JW, Pugh CR.** A comparison of contextual and generalized auditory-cue fear conditioning: evidence for similar memory processes. *Behav Neurosci* 110: 1299–1308, 1996.
- 1926
- 1927
- 1928 219. **Rudy JW, Stadler-Morris S, Albert P.** Ontogeny of spatial navigation behaviors in the rat: dissociation of "proximal"- and "distal"-cue-based behaviors. *Behav Neurosci* 101: 62–73, 1987.
- 1929
- 1930
- 1931 220. **Saffran JR, Aslin RN, Newport EL.** Statistical learning by 8-month-old infants. *Science* 274: 1926–1928, 1996.
- 1932
- 1933 221. **Save L, Baude A, Cossart R.** Temporal Embryonic Origin Critically Determines Cellular Physiology in the Dentate Gyrus. *Cereb Cortex* 32: 6688, 2018.
- 1934
- 1935 222. **Schapiro AC, Turk-Browne NB, Botvinick MM, Norman KA.** Complementary learning systems within the hippocampus: a neural network modelling approach to reconciling episodic memory with statistical learning. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 372, 2017.
- 1936
- 1937
- 1938
- 1939 223. **Scott RC, Richard GR, Holmes GL, Lenck-Santini P-P.** Maturational dynamics of hippocampal place cells in immature rats. *Hippocampus* 21: 347–353, 2011.
- 1940
- 1941 224. **Scoville WB, Milner B.** Loss of recent memory after bilateral hippocampal lesions. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 20: 11–21, 1957.
- 1942
- 1943 225. **Sharif F, Tayebi B, Buzsáki G, Royer S, Fernández-Ruiz A.** Subcircuits of Deep and Superficial CA1 Place Cells Support Efficient Spatial Coding across Heterogeneous Environments. *Neuron* (November 14, 2020). doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2020.10.034.
- 1944
- 1945
- 1946

- 1947 226. **Sherman BE, Graves KN, Turk-Browne NB.** ScienceDirect The prevalence and
1948 importance of statistical learning in human cognition and behavior. *COBEHA* 32:
1949 15–20, 2020.
- 1950 227. **Sheroziya MG, Bohlen und Halbach von O, Unsicker K, Egorov AV.** Spontaneous
1951 Bursting Activity in the Developing Entorhinal Cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*
1952 29: 12131–12144, 2009.
- 1953 228. **Sipilä ST, Huttu K, Soltesz I, Voipio J, Kaila K.** Depolarizing GABA acts on
1954 intrinsically bursting pyramidal neurons to drive giant depolarizing potentials in
1955 the immature hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 25: 5280–5289, 2005.
- 1956 229. **Sipilä ST, Huttu K, Voipio J, Kaila K.** Intrinsic bursting of immature CA3
1957 pyramidal neurons and consequent giant depolarizing potentials are driven by a
1958 persistent Na⁺ current and terminated by a slow Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ current.
1959 *European Journal of Neuroscience* 23: 2330–2338, 2006.
- 1960 230. **Skaggs WE, McNaughton BL.** Replay of neuronal firing sequences in rat
1961 hippocampus during sleep following spatial experience. *Science* 271: 1870–
1962 1873, 1996.
- 1963 231. **Slomianka L, Amrein I, Knuesel I, Sørensen JC, Wolfer DP.** Hippocampal
1964 pyramidal cells: the reemergence of cortical lamination. *Brain Struct Funct* 216:
1965 301–317, 2011.
- 1966 232. **Southwell DG, Paredes MF, Galvao RP, Jones DL, Froemke RC, Sebe JY, Alfaro-
1967 Cervello C, Tang Y, Garcia-Verdugo JM, Rubenstein JL, Baraban SC, Alvarez-
1968 Buylla A.** Intrinsically determined cell death of developing cortical interneurons.
1969 *Nature* 491: 109–113, 2012.
- 1970 233. **Sullivan D, Csicsvari J, Mizuseki K, Montgomery S, Diba K, Buzsáki G.**
1971 Relationships between hippocampal sharp waves, ripples, and fast gamma
1972 oscillation: influence of dentate and entorhinal cortical activity. *J Neurosci* 31:
1973 8605–8616, 2011.
- 1974 234. **Sun Q, Sotayo A, Cazzulino AS, Snyder AM, Denny CA, Siegelbaum SA.**
1975 Proximodistal Heterogeneity of Hippocampal CA3 Pyramidal Neuron Intrinsic
1976 Properties, Connectivity, and Reactivation during Memory Recall. *Neuron* 95:
1977 656–672.e3, 2017.
- 1978 235. **Supèr H, Martínez A, Del Río JA, Soriano E.** Involvement of distinct pioneer
1979 neurons in the formation of layer-specific connections in the hippocampus.
1980 *Journal of Neuroscience* 18: 4616–4626, 1998.
- 1981 236. **Supèr H, Soriano E.** The organization of the embryonic and early postnatal
1982 murine hippocampus. II. Development of entorhinal, commissural, and septal
1983 connections studied with the lipophilic tracer Dil. *J Comp Neurol* 344: 101–120,
1984 1994.

- 1985 237. **Surges R, Brewster AL, Bender RA, Beck H, Feuerstein TJ, Baram TZ.** Regulated
1986 expression of HCN channels and cAMP levels shape the properties of the h
1987 current in developing rat hippocampus. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 24:
1988 94–104, 2006.
- 1989 238. **Swann JW, Brady RJ, Martin DL.** Postnatal development of GABA-mediated
1990 synaptic inhibition in rat hippocampus. *NSC* 28: 551–561, 1989.
- 1991 239. **Szőnyi A, Sos KE, Nyilas R, Schlingloff D, Domonkos A, Takács VT, Pósfai B,**
1992 **Hegedüs P, Priestley JB, Gundlach AL, Gulyás AI, Varga V, Losonczy A, Freund**
1993 **TF, Nyiri G.** Brainstem nucleus incertus controls contextual memory formation.
1994 *Science* 364: eaaw0445–15, 2019.
- 1995 240. **Tan HM, Wills TJ, Cacucci F.** The development of spatial and memory circuits in
1996 the rat. *WIREs Cogn Sci* 8: e1424–16, 2016.
- 1997 241. **Tanaka KZ, He H, Tomar A, Niisato K, Huang AJY, McHugh TJ.** The hippocampal
1998 engram maps experience but not place. *Science* 361: 392–397, 2018.
- 1999 242. **Telley L, Govindan S, Prados J, Stevant I, Nef S, Dermitzakis E, Dayer A,**
2000 **Jabaudon D.** Sequential transcriptional waves direct the differentiation of
2001 newborn neurons in the mouse neocortex. *Science* 351: 1443–1446, 2016.
- 2002 243. **Travaglia A, Bisaz R, Sweet ES, Blitzler RD, Alberini CM.** Infantile amnesia
2003 reflects a developmental critical period for hippocampal learning. *Nat Neurosci*
2004 19: 1225–1233, 2016.
- 2005 244. **Tricoire L, Pelkey KA, Daw MI, Sousa VH, Miyoshi G, Jeffries B, Cauli B, Fishell**
2006 **G, McBain CJ.** Common Origins of Hippocampal Ivy and Nitric Oxide Synthase
2007 Expressing Neurogliaform Cells. *Journal of Neuroscience* 30: 2165–2176, 2010.
- 2008 245. **Tricoire L, Pelkey KA, Erkkila BE, Jeffries BW, Yuan X, McBain CJ.** A Blueprint for
2009 the Spatiotemporal Origins of Mouse Hippocampal Interneuron Diversity.
2010 *Journal of Neuroscience* 31: 10948–10970, 2011.
- 2011 246. **Tricoire L, Pelkey KA, Erkkila BE, Jeffries BW, Yuan X, McBain CJ.** A Blueprint for
2012 the Spatiotemporal Origins of Mouse Hippocampal Interneuron Diversity.
2013 *Journal of Neuroscience* 31: 10948–10970, 2011.
- 2014 247. **Tritsch NX, Yi E, Gale JE, Glowatzki E, Bergles DE.** The origin of spontaneous
2015 activity in the developing auditory system. *Nature* 450: 50–55, 2007.
- 2016 248. **Tsintsadze V, Minlebaev M, Suchkov D, Cunningham MO, Khazipov R.**
2017 Ontogeny of kainate-induced gamma oscillations in the rat CA3 hippocampus in
2018 vitro. *Front Cell Neurosci* 9: 195, 2015.
- 2019 249. **Tuncdemir SN, Wamsley B, Stam FJ, Osakada F, Goulding M, Callaway EM,**
2020 **Rudy B, Fishell G.** Early Somatostatin Interneuron Connectivity Mediates the
2021 Maturation of Deep Layer Cortical Circuits. *Neuron* 89: 521–535, 2016.

- 2022 250. **Tyzio R, Holmes GL, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R.** Timing of the developmental switch
2023 in GABA(A) mediated signaling from excitation to inhibition in CA3 rat
2024 hippocampus using gramicidin perforated patch and extracellular recordings.
2025 *Epilepsia* 48 Suppl 5: 96–105, 2007.
- 2026 251. **Tyzio R, Represa A, Jorquera I, Ben-Ari Y, Gozlan H, Aniksztejn L.** The
2027 establishment of GABAergic and glutamatergic synapses on CA1 pyramidal
2028 neurons is sequential and correlates with the development of the apical
2029 dendrite. *Journal of Neuroscience* 19: 10372–10382, 1999.
- 2030 252. **Unichenko P, Yang J-W, Luhmann HJ, Kirischuk S.** Glutamatergic system
2031 controls synchronization of spontaneous neuronal activity in the murine
2032 neonatal entorhinal cortex. *Pflugers Arch - Eur J Physiol* 467: 1565–1575, 2014.
- 2033 253. **Valeeva G, Abdullin A, Tyzio R, Skorinkin A, Nikolski E, Ben-Ari Y, Khazipov R.**
2034 Temporal coding at the immature depolarizing GABAergic synapse. *Front Cell*
2035 *Neurosci* 4, 2010.
- 2036 254. **Valeeva G, Janackova S, Nasretdinov A, Rychkova V, Makarov R, Holmes GL,**
2037 **Khazipov R, Lenck-Santini P-P.** Emergence of Coordinated Activity in the
2038 Developing Entorhinal-Hippocampal Network. *Cereb Cortex* 29: 906–920, 2019.
- 2039 255. **Valeeva G, Nasretdinov A, Rychkova V, Khazipov R.** Bilateral Synchronization of
2040 Hippocampal Early Sharp Waves in Neonatal Rats. *Front Cell Neurosci* 13: 29,
2041 2019.
- 2042 256. **Valeeva G, Rychkova V, Vinokurova D, Nasretdinov A, Khazipov R.** Early Sharp
2043 Wave Synchronization along the Septo-Temporal Axis of the Neonatal Rat
2044 Hippocampus. *Neurosci Behav Physiol* 50: 1195–1202, 2020.
- 2045 257. **Valero M, Cid E, Averkin RG, Aguilar J, Sanchez-Aguilera A, Viney TJ, Gomez-**
2046 **Dominguez D, Bellistri E, la Prida de LM.** Determinants of different deep and
2047 superficial CA1 pyramidal cell dynamics during sharp-wave ripples. *Nat Neurosci*
2048 18: 1281–1290, 2015.
- 2049 258. **Varela C, Kumar S, Yang JY, Wilson MA.** Anatomical substrates for direct
2050 interactions between hippocampus, medial prefrontal cortex, and the thalamic
2051 nucleus reuniens. *Brain Struct Funct* 219: 911–929, 2013.
- 2052 259. **Villette V, Guigue P, Picardo MA, Sousa VH, Leprince E, Lachamp P, Malvache**
2053 **A, Tressard T, Cossart R, Baude A.** Development of early-born γ -Aminobutyric
2054 acid hub neurons in mouse hippocampus from embryogenesis to adulthood. *J*
2055 *Comp Neurol* 524: 2440–2461, 2016.
- 2056 260. **Villette V, Malvache A, Tressard T, Dupuy N, Cossart R.** Internally Recurring
2057 Hippocampal Sequences as a Population Template of Spatiotemporal
2058 Information. *Neuron* 88: 357–366, 2015.

- 2059 261. **Wang HC, Lin C-C, Cheung R, Zhang-Hooks Y, Agarwal A, Ellis-Davies G, Rock J,**
2060 **Bergles DE.** Spontaneous Activity of Cochlear Hair Cells Triggered by Fluid
2061 Secretion Mechanism in Adjacent Support Cells. *Cell* 163: 1348–1359, 2015.
- 2062 262. **Wang Y, Romani S, Lustig B, Leonardo A, Pastalkova E.** Theta sequences are
2063 essential for internally generated hippocampal firing fields. *Nat Neurosci* 18:
2064 282–288, 2015.
- 2065 263. **Wester JC, McBain CJ.** Interneurons Differentially Contribute to Spontaneous
2066 Network Activity in the Developing Hippocampus Dependent on Their
2067 Embryonic Lineage. *J Neurosci* 36: 2646–2662, 2016.
- 2068 264. **White LD, Barone S.** Qualitative and quantitative estimates of apoptosis from
2069 birth to senescence in the rat brain. *Cell Death Differ* 8: 345–356, 2001.
- 2070 265. **Wills TJ, Cacucci F, Burgess N, O'Keefe J.** Development of the Hippocampal
2071 Cognitive Map in Prewanling Rats. *Science* 328: 1573–1576, 2010.
- 2072 266. **Wilson MA, McNaughton BL.** Reactivation of hippocampal ensemble memories
2073 during sleep. *Science* 265: 676–679, 1994.
- 2074 267. **Witter MP, Groenewegen HJ, Lopes da Silva FH, Lohman AH.** Functional
2075 organization of the extrinsic and intrinsic circuitry of the parahippocampal
2076 region. *Progress in Neurobiology* 33: 161–253, 1989.
- 2077 268. **Wong FK, Bercsenyi K, Sreenivasan V, Portalés A, Fernández-Otero M, Marín O.**
2078 Pyramidal cell regulation of interneuron survival sculpts cortical networks.
2079 *Nature* 557: 668–673, 2018.
- 2080 269. **Wong FK, Marín O.** Developmental Cell Death in the Cerebral Cortex. *Annu Rev*
2081 *Cell Dev Biol* 35: 523–542, 2019.
- 2082 270. **Workman AD, Charvet CJ, Clancy B, Darlington RB, Finlay BL.** Modeling
2083 Transformations of Neurodevelopmental Sequences across Mammalian Species.
2084 *Journal of Neuroscience* 33: 7368–7383, 2013.
- 2085 271. **Xu H-T, Han Z, Gao P, He S, Li Z, Shi W, Kodish O, Shao W, Brown KN, Huang K,**
2086 **Shi S-H.** Distinct Lineage-Dependent Structural and Functional Organization of
2087 the Hippocampus. *Cell* 157: 1552–1564, 2014.
- 2088 272. **Yang JW, An S, Sun JJ, Reyes-Puerta V, Kindler J, Berger T, Kilb W, Luhmann HJ.**
2089 Thalamic Network Oscillations Synchronize Ontogenetic Columns in the
2090 Newborn Rat Barrel Cortex. *Cerebral Cortex* 23: 1299–1316, 2013.
- 2091 273. **Yang JW, Hanganu-Opatz IL, Sun JJ, Luhmann HJ.** Three Patterns of Oscillatory
2092 Activity Differentially Synchronize Developing Neocortical Networks In Vivo.
2093 *Journal of Neuroscience* 29: 9011–9025, 2009.

- 2094 274. **Ylinen A, Bragin A, Nádasdy Z, Jandó G, Szabó I, Sik A, Buzsáki G.** Sharp wave-
2095 associated high-frequency oscillation (200 Hz) in the intact hippocampus:
2096 network and intracellular mechanisms. *Journal of Neuroscience* 15: 30–46, 1995.
- 2097 275. **Yu Y-C, He S, Chen S, Fu Y, Brown KN, Yao X-H, Ma J, Gao KP, Sosinsky GE,**
2098 **Huang K, Shi S-H.** Preferential electrical coupling regulates neocortical lineage-
2099 dependent microcircuit assembly. *Nature* (May 2, 2012). doi:
2100 10.1038/nature10958.
- 2101 276. **Zhang G-W, Sun W-J, Zingg B, Shen L, He J, Xiong Y, Tao HW, Zhang LI.** A Non-
2102 canonical Reticular-Limbic Central Auditory Pathway via Medial Septum
2103 Contributes to Fear Conditioning. *Neuron* 97: 406–417.e4, 2018.
- 2104 277. **Zhong S, Ding W, Le Sun, Lu Y, Dong H, Fan X, Liu Z, Chen R, Zhang S, Ma Q,**
2105 **Tang F, Wu Q, Wang X.** Decoding the development of the human hippocampus.
2106 *Nature* 577: 1–22, 2020.
- 2107 278. **Zugaro MB, Monconduit L, Buzsáki G.** Spike phase precession persists after
2108 transient intrahippocampal perturbation. *Nat Neurosci* 8: 67–71, 2004.

D

Membranar KCC2

B

C

A E16 E17 E18 Birth P1 P2 P3 P4 P5 P6 P7 P8 P9 P10

A

postnatal

B

C

D

E

F

G

		early	late	References
Physiology	Rm			Masurkar et al. (181), Graves (103), Jarsky et al. (129), Sun et al. (234)
	lh			Masurkar et al. (181), Maroso et al. (176), Li et al. (164)
	I/O			Cembrowski et al. (47), Mizuseki et al. (189), Oliva et al. (207)
	burstiness			Misuzeki et al. (189)
Connectivity	Early born afferents (eg. LEC, CA2)			Bayer (22), Masurkar et al. (180), Kohara et al. (145), Valero et al. (257), Nasrallah et al. (202), Sun et al. (234).
	Early born targets			Altman and Bayer (10), Deguchi et al. (63)
	Perisomatic inhibitory input			Lee et al. (158), Donato et al. (73), English et al. (87), Valero et al. (257), Oliva et al. (207), Sun et al. (234).
	Output on inhibitory cells			Lee et al. (158), Donato et al., English et al., Valero et al. (257), Oliva et al. (207)
Function	Fraction of place cells			Misuzeki et al. (189), Danielson et al. (59), Sharif et al. (225), Fattahi et al. (92)
	Idiothetic coding			Fattahi et al. (92), Sharif et al. (225)
	Spatial coding specificity			Henriksen et al. (119), Hartzell et al. (113), Danielson et al. (59), Oliva et al. (207)
	Place field stability			Grosmark et al. (107), Kohara et al. (145), Danielson et al. (59), Misuzeki et al. (189), Geiller et al. (97)
	SWR recruitment			Valero et al. (257), English et al. (87), Böhm et al. (31)
	Content discrimination			Geiller et al. (97), Li et al. (164), Marrone et al. (178), Lee et al. (157)

Table 1. Diversity in physiology, connectivity and function among principal cells in the adult hippocampus reflects developmental origin. Different parameters characterizing the diversity of hippocampal principal cells in physiology, connectivity, and function were analyzed and compared. The table summarizes data from several studies (listed in the references column). Measurements were classified as depicting putatively early- versus late- born neurons depending on the soma position within the main anatomical axes of the hippocampus. Boxes were filled in light or dark gray if the parameter mentioned on the left column was found significantly lower or higher, respectively. Rm: membrane

resistance, I_h : h-current, I/O: Input/output relationship between injected current (input) and evoked action-potential firing (output); burstiness: probability to produce bursts of spikes. LEC: Lateral Entorhinal Cortex, SWR: Sharp Wave- associated Ripple.

Pattern	Age	Presumable Mechanism	<i>In vivo</i>	<i>In vitro</i>
Calcium spikes	E16-P6	Uncorrelated neuronal activation in absence of electrical and chemical connections	Not reported	Crepel et al. (55) <i>Neocortex:</i> Komuro & Rakic (146), Allene et al. (7), Bortone & Polleux (34)
SPA (Spontaneous Plateau Assemblies)	E18-P6	Spontaneous plateau depolarizations in sparse groups of neurons synchronized via gap-junctions	Not reported	Crepel et al. (55), Allene et al. 2012 (8) <i>Neocortex:</i> Allene et al. (7), Dupont et al. (82)) <i>Striatum:</i> Dehorter et al. (64)
GDPs (Giant Depolarizing Potentials)	P0-P13	Population CA3 bursts synchronized by synergistic excitation of principal neurons and interneurons via glutamatergic and depolarizing GABAergic synapses, conveyed to CA1 via Schaffer collaterals	Not reported in isolated form. CA3 activation is reflected in Schaffer collateral – mediated Sink 1 of eSPWs in stratum radiatum Marguet et al. (174), Valeeva et al. (254, 255, 256)	Ben-Ari et al. (24), Leinekugel et al. (160), Khazipov et al. (142), Crepel et al. (55), Khalilov et al. (140) <u>Synonyms:</u> <i>Unison-firing pattern</i> Harris & Teyler (111) <i>Synchronous calcium oscillations</i> Leinekugel et al. (162) <i>Early network oscillations</i> Garaschuk et al. (96)
eSPWs (Early Sharp Waves)	P1-P10?	Externally driven L2/3 EC population bursts conducted to hippocampus via temporoammonic and perforant pathways, and	Leinekugel et al. (161), Karlsson et al. (132), Marguet et al. (174), Ahlbeck et al. (3), Valeeva et al. (254, 255, 256), Murata & Colonnese (200), Graf et al.	Not reported in neonatal hippocampal slices; L2/3 EC population bursts are present in vitro but they do not propagate to hippocampus

		supported by CA3 network	(102)	Sheroziya et al. (227), Unichenko et al. (252), Namiki et al. (201), Dawitz et al. (62)
Adult SPW-Ripples	Onset at P12	Internally generated CA2/3 population bursts, conveyed to CA1 via Schaffer collaterals and associated with high-frequency ripple oscillations	Leinekugel et al. (161) Buhl & Buzsaki (38)	Maier et al. (169), Behrens et al. (23), Hajos et al. (115), Holderith et al. (120), Aivar et al. (4) See Table 2 in Buzsaki (44) for the full bibliographic coverage
Hippocampal network oscillations (theta-bursts, beta/gamma oscillations)	P7-P14	CA3 - L2/3 EC driven and shaped by inhibition oscillations, likely precursors of adult theta/gamma oscillations	LeBlanc & Bland (154) Lahtinen et al. (149) Mohs & Blumberg (191)(192) Brockmann et al. (36) Marguet et al. (174) Ahlbeck et al. (3) Del Rio-Bermudez et al. (65); (66)	Hajos et al. (115) Holderith et al. (120) Tsintsadze et al. (248)

Table 2. Early activity patterns in the developing rodent hippocampus *in vivo* and *in vitro*