Genomic analysis of a pure culture of magnetotactic bacterium

Terasakiella sp. SH-1

DU Haijian^{1,2}, ZHANG Wenyan^{2,3,4,7}, LIN Wei^{5,6,7}, PAN Hongmiao^{2,3,4,7}, XIAO Tian^{2,3,4,7*},
Long-Fei WU^{7,8}

DU et al.: Genomic analysis of *Terasakiella* sp. SH-1

¹ College of life Science, Shandong University, Qingdao 266237, China

² CAS Key Laboratory of Marine Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao 266071, China

³ Laboratory for Marine Ecology and Environmental Science, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, 266237, China

⁴ Center for Ocean Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao 266071, China

⁵ Key Laboratory of Earth and Planetary Physics, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

⁶ Institutions of Earth Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

⁷ France-China Joint Laboratory for Evolution and Development of Magnetotactic Multicellular Organisms, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

⁸ Aix-Marseille University, CNRS, LCB, Marseille, 31 chemin Joseph Aiguier, F-13402, France

Abstract Magnetotactic bacteria (MTB) display magnetotaxis ability because of biomineralization of intracellular nanometer-sized, membrane-bound organelles termed magnetosomes. Despite having been discovered more than half a century, only a few representatives of MTB have been isolated and cultured in the laboratory. In this study, we report the genomic characterization of a novel marine magnetotactic spirillum strain SH-1 belonging to the genus *Terasakiella* that was recently isolated. A gene encoding haloalkane dehalogenase, which is involved in the degradation of chlorocyclohexane, chlorobenzene, chloroalkane and chloroalkene, was identified. SH-1 genome contained *cysCHI* and *soxBZYX* genes, thus potentially capable of assimilatory sulfate reduction to H₂S and using thiosulfate as electron donors and oxidizing it to sulfate. Genome of SH-1 also contained genes encoding periplasmic dissimilatory nitrate reductases (*napAB*), assimilatory nitrate reductase (*nasA*) and assimilatory nitrite

reductases (*nasB*), suggesting that it is capable of gaining energy by converting nitrate to ammonia. The pure culture of *Terasakiella* sp. SH-1 together with its genomic results offers new opportunities to examine biology, physiology and biomineralization mechanisms of MTB.

Keywords: magnetotactic bacteria; magnetotaxis; pure culture; comparative genomic analysis

1 INTRODUCTION

Magnetotactic bacteria (MTB) are prokaryotes that orient and migrate along the geomagnetic field lines, a behavior referred to as magnetotaxis or microbial magnetoreception. MTB share the capacity to synthesize magnetosomes, which are magnetic crystals of magnetite (Fe_3O_4) and/or greigite (Fe_3S_4) and enveloped by a phospholipid bilayer membrane (Blakemore, 1982; Bazylinski et al., 1995; Bazylinski and Frankel, 2004). Many morphotypes of MTB, including coccoid, ovoid, rod, vibrio, spirillum, and multicellular forms have been observed worldwide across from freshwater, brackish and marine waters to waterlogged soils (Maratea and Blakemore, 1981; Arakaki et al., 2002; Hanzlik et al., 2002; Lefèvre et al., 2009; Wenter et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2013; Lin and Pan, 2015; Lin et al., 2017).

All known MTB belong to the domain *Bacteria*, predominantly within the phylum *Proteobacteria* (Simmons et al., 2004; Jogler and Schüller, 2009; Lefèvre and Bazylinski, 2013; Ji et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2018). A diverse group of MTB affiliated with the phylum *Nitrospirae*, the candidate phylum *Omniitrophica*, the candidate phylum *Latescibacteria* and the phylum *Planctomycetes* have been identified (Vali et al., 1987; Lefèvre et al., 2010; Kolinko et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2018). More recently, our knowledge of the phylogenetic diversity of MTB has been dramatically expanded and so far these organisms have been found in up to 16 bacterial phylum-level lineages (Lin et al., 2020; Uzun et al., 2020). Most of the cultured MTB belong to the phylum *Proteobacteria*, and a large number of these are within the *Alphaproteobacteria*, such as freshwater *Magnetospirillum* species MS-1, AMB-1, MSR-1 and XM-1 (Blakemore et al., 1979; Matsunaga et al., 1991; Schleifer et al., 1991; Wang et al., 2016), marine vibrio strains MV-1 and MV-2 (Delong et al., 1993; Bazylinski et al., 2013), marine spirillum strains MMS-1 and QH-2 (Zhu et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2012), and recently isolated marine spirillum *Candidatus Terasakiella magnetica* strain PR-1 belonging to the genus *Terasakiella* (Monteil et al., 2018).

Much genomic data on MTB has now been obtained and analyzed. The whole genomes of some cultured MTB (e.g., AMB-1, MSR-1, QH-2, MC-1, MO-1, XM-1, BW-2 and SS-5) have been obtained (Matsunaga et al., 2005; Richter et al., 2007; Schubbe et al., 2009; Ji et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016; Ji et al., 2017; Uebe et al., 2018; Geurink et al., 2020; Trubitsyn et al., 2021). While many other MTB remain to be draft sequenced. After decades of research on MTB genomes, many interesting features have been revealed. In particular amongst these is the presence of clustered genes that control magnetosome biomineralization and arrangement in MTB cells, which are termed magnetosome gene islands (MAIs) (Grunberg et al., 2001; Murat et al., 2010; Lohße et al., 2011) or magnetosome gene clusters (MGCs) (Lin et al., 2017).

We recently isolated a new marine magnetotactic spirillum (designated *Terasakiella* sp. SH-1) into axenic culture from an intertidal zone in Sanya, China (Du et al., 2019). SH-1 belongs to the genus *Terasakiella* in the *Alphaproteobacteria* and is closely related to *Ca. Terasakiella magnetica* strain PR-1 (Monteil et al., 2018). In the previous study, we have reported a duplication event of magnetosome genes within *mamAB* operon in the genome of SH-1, which suggests that gene duplication event plays a potentially important role in the evolution of magnetotaxis in the *Alphaproteobacteria* (Du et al., 2019). Here, we perform a comparative analysis of the complete genome of SH-1 with representative MTB genomes, which provides novel insights in to metabolic potential and ecosystem function of this newly isolated MTB strains.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Genome analysis

The whole-genome sequencing of the SH-1 was performed as previously described (Du et al., 2019). All genome data used in this study was downloaded from the NCBI site. The gene prediction was performed on the MicroScope platform (Vallenet et al., 2013). The tandem repeats annotation was obtained using the Tandem Repeat Finder (<http://tandem.bu.edu/trf/trf.html>) (Benson, 1999), and the minisatellite DNA and microsatellite DNA were selected based on the number and length of repeat units. Prophage regions were predicted using the PHAge Search Tool Enhanced Release (PHASTER) web server (<http://phaster.ca/>) (David et al., 2016) and CRISPR identification using CRISPRFinder (Ibtissem et al., 2007). The best hit was performed using the

BLAST (Biology, 2012) alignment tool for function annotation. Seven databases including KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) (Minoru et al., 2016), COG (Clusters of Orthologous Groups) (Galperin et al., 2015; Kira et al., 2015), NR (Non-Redundant Protein Database databases), Swiss-Prot (Consortium, 2015), GO (Gene Ontology) (Ashburner et al., 2000), TrEMBL (Rolf et al., 2004), and EggNOG (Jaime et al., 2016) were used for general function annotation.

2.2 Nitrogen metabolism analysis

Protein sequence similarities in relation to nitrogen metabolism were determined using BLAST on the MicroScope (Vallenet et al., 2013) platforms. Proteins in different organisms were defined as orthologs when their alignments met the criteria: E-value < 1e-5, identity > 30%, and query coverage > 50%. The gene markers used to decide whether particular transformation reactions were present were based on a published review (Kuypers et al., 2018) (see Table S1); the markers were for genes involved in 15 reactions involving eight key inorganic nitrogen species having different oxidation states (Fig. 2a, Table S1).

2.3 Pan/core genome analysis

A pan/core genome analysis using the MicroScope (Vallenet et al., 2013) gene families (MICFAM), computed using the SiLiX software (Miele et al., 2011) (threshold: 50% of amino-acid identity and 80% of align. coverage).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Genome overview of SH-1

The genome of SH-1 comprised 3 832 570 bp in a circular chromosome having the average G+C content of 47.5%. The chromosome contained 3 664 predicted coding sequences (CDS), including those encoding 50 tRNAs and three sets of rRNAs (5S, 16S, and 23S), which corresponded to 90.12% of the genome being coding sequences. Compared to freshwater *M. magneticum* AMB-1 and *M. gryphiswaldense* MSR-1, the genome size, G+C content and CDS number of marine magnetospirilla (SH-1, PR-1, MV-1 and QH-2) are smaller (Table 1). The G+C content of SH-1 is smaller than those of MV-1 and QH-2 but higher than that of PR-1 (Table 1). In particular, SH-1 has a higher number of tRNAs and rRNA operons (Table 1). There was no

evidence for the presence of extrachromosomal elements such as plasmids for SH-1. A total of 1 847 CDS (50.8%) could be assigned to putative functions, 1 227 CDS (33.8%) represented conserved hypothetical proteins of unknown function, and the remaining 559 CDS (18.4%) show no sequence similarity to any previously reported sequence. We identified 76 tandem repeats in the genome of SH-1, including 51 minisatellite DNAs and four microsatellite DNAs. No CRISPR sequence was found. In addition, three prophages were identified to be distributed throughout the genome.

Table 1 General features of *Alphaproteobacteria* MTB genomes of SH-1, PR-1, MV-1, QH-2, AMB-1 and MSR-1.

	SH-1	PR-1	MV-1	QH-2 (Plasmid)	AMB-1	MSR-1
Size (bp)	3 832 570	3 687 561	3 638 804	4 021 307 (31 063)	4 967 148	4 365 796
Number of CDS	3 664	3 501	3 529	3 748 (37)	5 105	4 261
G + C content (%)	47.5	46.0	54.3	59.5 (54.8)	65.1	63.3
No. of contigs	1	48	91	1 (1)	1	1
Coding density (%)	90.7	–	–	90.1 (73.3)	91.1	92.4
Average CDS Length (bp)	953.8	–	–	972.5 (623.0)	903.3	954.81
tRNAs	52	45	45	47 (–)	49	50
5/16/23S rRNA operon	3/3/3	1/2/1	1/1/1	2/2/2 (–)	2/2/2	2/2/2

3.2 Carbon metabolism

Genes involved in glycolysis (core module involving three-carbon compounds), the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, the non-oxidative phase of reductive pentose phosphate pathway, phosphoribosyl diphosphate (PRPP) biosynthesis, the ethylmalonyl pathway, and the synthesis of all 20 essential amino acids were identified in SH-1 genome (Fig. 1). Genes involved in the Embden-Meyerhof pathway in glycolysis including hexokinase (EC: 2.7.1.1), glucokinase (EC: 2.7.1.2) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (EC: 1.2.7.6 and EC: 1.2.1.9) were missing. Most of the genes involved in reductive tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle were detected,

except for ATP-citrate lyase (EC: 2.3.3.8), suggesting that SH-1 is not able to use the rTCA cycle for autotrophy.

Fig. 1 Reconstruction of metabolic pathways in SH-1.

The reconstructed glycolysis, TCA cycle, amino acid biosynthesis, nitrogen metabolism and sulfur metabolism pathways of SH-1 are shown. Most of genes involved in the reductive tricarboxylic acid cycle (rTCA) were also present, except for ATP citrate lyase. The presence of haloalkane dehalogenase suggests the potential for SH-1 to catalyze the degradation of chlorocyclohexane, chlorobenzene and chloroalkane (red).

Protein encoded by gene SH1_v1_2542 was found to be 67.1% identical to a fragment (170 aa/310 aa) of the gene *dhlA* encoding haloalkane dehalogenase (EC: 3.8.1.5), which was previously identified and characterized in *Xanthobacter autotrophicus* involved in the degradation of chlorocyclohexane, chlorobenzene, chloroalkane and chloroalkene (Fig. 1). Organisms having haloalkane dehalogenase are able to degrade hexachlorocyclohexane to 2,3,4,5,6-pentachlorocyclohexanol and 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-1,4-cyclohexanol, and to degrade 1,2-dichloroethane to glyoxylate (Janssen et al., 1989). Similar genes have not been detected in the genomes of other MTB or other organisms belonging to genus *Terasakiella*. Its presence in SH-1 could be a result of horizontal gene transfer (HGT). It should be noted that active-site residues His₂₈₉ and Asp₂₆₀ of DHLA are not present in SH1_v1_2542, thus its function awaits further detailed characterization (Fig. S1) (Verschuere et al., 1993). Furthermore, additional studies are

needed to assess whether SH-1 has the ability to degrade chlorocyclohexane, chlorobenzene, chloroalkane, and chloroalkene, and to investigate the broader complexity of carbon metabolism by SH-1.

3.3 Sulfur metabolism

Unlike AMB-1, MSR-1 and QH-2, no evidence was found in the genome of SH-1 for the presence of any of the genes for dissimilatory sulfate reduction, including *dsr* genes or the Apr system. However, *cysN* and *cysD* were present in the genome of SH-1, which encode enzymes that activate sulfate and catalyze the synthesis of adenosine-5'-phosphosulfate (APS) in the assimilatory sulfate reduction pathway (Fig. 1). Genes of *cysC* (APS kinase; produces 3'-phosphoadenosine-5'-phosphosulfate: PAPS), *cysH* (PAPS reductase; catalyzes the conversion of PAPS to sulfite) and *cysI* (sulfite reductase) were also identified. Therefore, SH-1 may be capable of assimilatory sulfate reduction to H₂S (Pinto and Microbiology, 2004). SH-1 also contained *soxBZYX* gene cluster involved in thiosulfate oxidation (Fig. 1). The *soxCD* genes encoding sulfur dehydrogenase were also found (Fig. 1). These findings suggest that SH-1 could use thiosulfate as an electron donor and oxidize it to sulfate (Hensen et al., 2010).

3.4 Nitrogen metabolism

Both SH-1 and PR-1 contained genes encoding periplasmic dissimilatory nitrate reductases (*napAB*), assimilatory nitrate reductase (*nasAB*), hydroxylamine reductase (*hcp*) and assimilatory nitrite reductases (*nasB*) (Fig. 1), suggesting that they can gain energy by converting nitrate to ammonia (Kuypers et al., 2018). *nirB* is identified in genomes of SH-1 and PR-1, but *nirD* is not present. Whether NirB alone could carry out the function needs more studies. *napAB* are present in the genomes of *Terasakiella pusilla* but *nasAB* or *hcp* are not identified (Fig. 2c & d). However, *T. pusilla* contains genes encoding cytochrome c-dependent nitric oxide (NO) reductases (*cNOR* and *cnorB*), and nitrous oxide reductases (*nosZ*) (Fig. 2c), which are not present in SH-1 and PR-1. This may reflect differences in the metabolism of MTB compared with other bacteria belonging to the same genus. Although genes *fixS*, *fixG* and *fixI* were identified, the *nif* gene cluster responsible for nitrogen fixation was not present in the genomes of genus *Terasakiella*. Whether the genus *Terasakiella* can fix nitrogen needs further investigation.

We further compared the microbial transformations of nitrogen among 14 representative species of MTB (*Terasakiella* sp. SH-1, *Candidatus Terasakiella magnetica* strain PR-1, *Magnetospira* sp. strain QH-2, *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* strain MSR-1, *Magnetospirillum magneticum* strain AMB-1, *Magnetococcus marinus* MC-1, Magneto-ovoid bacterium MO-1, *Ectothiorhodospiraceae* bacterium BW-2, Gammaproteobacteria magnetotactic strain SS-5, *Desulfovibrio magneticus* RS-1, *Candidatus Magnetomorum* sp. HK-1, *Candidatus Magnetoglobus multicellularis* str. Araruama, *Candidatus Magnetobacterium bavaricum* and *Candidatus Magnetooovum chiemensis*) (Fig. 2a & b). Seven reactions have been found in one or more genomes of the tested microorganisms (Fig. 2b), including nitrate reductase (*nasA*, *narGH* and *napA*), heme-containing nitrite reductases (*nirS*), nitric oxide reductase (*hcp*, *cnorB* and *norVW*), nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*), assimilatory (*nasB* and *nirBD*) and dissimilatory nitrite reductase (*nrfAH* and OTR), nitrogenases (*nifHDK*), cyanase (*cynS*) and urease (*ureABC*).

Fig. 2 Microbial transformations of nitrogen compounds (modified from (Kuypers et al., 2018)).

a: Microbial enzymes involved in 15 reactions for eight key inorganic nitrogen species having different oxidation states. Red arrow refers to reduction reaction, blue arrow refers to oxidation reaction and green arrow refers to disproportionation or comproportionation reaction. b: The reactions found in MTB. The heatmap shows the rate of reactions. c: The reactions found in *Terasakiella pusilla* (blue). d: The reactions found in SH-1 (blue).

Among these enzymes, genes encoding for nitrate reductases, nitrite reductase and nitric oxide reductases were most commonly found. These genes occur widely in genomes of *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Etaproteobacteria*. Our results show that MTB commonly act as denitrifiers and nitrogen-fixers in nitrogen cycle processes. Previous studies have shown the potential link between denitrification and magnetosome formation in freshwater *Magnetospirillum* spp. (Bazylnski and Blakemore, 1983; Matsunaga et al., 1991; Matsunaga and Tsujimura, 1993; Yang et al., 2001; Li et al., 2012). Therefore, denitrification should play an important role in redox control for magnetosome formation. However, their role in magnetosome formation has not yet been fully clarified and needs further experimental investigation.

3.5 Prophage

Two predicted prophage regions were found in the genome of SH-1, having lengths of 11.0–22.6 kb and G+C contents of 46.1–48.4 % (Table S2 and Fig. S2). Among these, SH1_v1_1640–1665 comprised 23 CDS containing three predicted phage tail collar domain proteins, two plasmid maintenance system killer proteins (HigB), and seven transposases, one sulfotransferase and seven hypothetical proteins (Fig.S2 a & b1), while SH1_v1_2382 to SH1_v1_2398 comprised 16 CDS, including three putative tail-related proteins, one putative endolysin, one DNA maturase beta subunit, one putative major capsid protein, and one putative GcrA-like cell cycle regulator (Fig.S2 a & b2). No genes encoding lysine and holin, representing the lysis module, were found.

We searched genomes of 12 bacteria (*Terasakiella* sp. SH-1, *Candidatus Terasakiella magnetica* strain PR-1, *Magnetospira* sp. strain QH-2, *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* strain MSR-1, *Magnetospirillum magneticum* strain AMB-1, *Magnetococcus marinus* MC-1, Magneto-ovoid bacterium MO-1, *Desulfovibrio magneticus* RS-1, *Candidatus Magnetomorum* sp. HK-1, *Candidatus Magnetoglobus multicellularis* str. Araruama, *Candidatus Magnetobacterium bavaricum* and *Candidatus Desulfamplus magnetomortis* BW-1) using PHASTER (Table S3). Most MTB genomes (11 out of 12 genomes) were found to contain predicted prophage regions, with HK-1 being the only exception. Temperate phage genes have been identified in 40–50% of microbial genomes (Canchaya et al., 2003; Casjens, 2003; Fouts, 2006; Paul, 2008; Touchon et al., 2016), and almost 50% of bacterial genomes contain at least one prophage (Touchon et al., 2016).

Our results show that prophages may exist in many genomes of MTB. Through lysogenic conversion or transduction, bacteriophages and archaeal viruses contribute to the horizontal transfer of genetic material among microbial genomes (Touchon et al., 2016; Touchon et al., 2017). Previous studies have shown that HGT plays an important role in the evolution of magnetotaxis in bacteria (Rioux et al., 2010; Monteil et al., 2018). Alternatively, being infected by phage may contribute to this process.

3.6 Comparative gene content analysis of three *Terasakiella* strains using reciprocal best matches

We further perform pangenome analysis of SH-1, PR-1 and *T. pusilla*. As shown in Figure 3, 1 948 gene families were shared among the three species, representing approximately 50% of the proteins in each strain. A total of 428 families were shared by SH-1 and PR-1, but not *T. pusilla*. The larger overlap between SH-1 and PR-1 suggests that they are more closely related to each other than to *T. pusilla*. These are mainly involved in signal transduction mechanisms (29.8%), inorganic ion transport and metabolism (23.5%), intracellular trafficking, secretion and vesicular transport (18.2%) and cell motility (17.1%) (according to Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) automatic classification of Microscope). These genes may also be associated with magnetosome biosynthesis.

Fig. 3 Venn diagram of pan/core gene analysis in the genus *Terasakiella*. PR-1 (blue), *Terasakiella pusilla* (green), and SH-1 (orange). The numbers indicate the number of gene families.

Interestingly, genes related to iron metabolism have been found in the genome of *T. pusilla* but not in SH-1 and PR-1 genomes. These genes included *fecR* (Anti-FecI sigma factor), *fbpC* (Fe³⁺ ion import ATP-binding protein) and *bfr* (bacterioferritin, iron storage and detoxification protein). Furthermore, we found 41 copies of histidine kinase in the genome of *T. pusilla*, fewer than that in SH-1 (74) and PR-1 (70). However, more copies of methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins (MCPs) were found in *T. pusilla* (69) than in SH-1 (59) and PR-1 (57), consistent with previous report that high numbers of MCPs are not a common feature of MTB (Ji et al., 2014).

4 CONCLUSION

Comparative genomic analysis of SH-1 performed in the present study revealed that genes involved in glycolysis, the TCA cycle, the non-oxidative phase of the reductive pentose phosphate pathway, PRPP biosynthesis, the ethylmalonyl pathway and the synthesis of all 20 essential amino acids were identified. A fragment of gene encoding haloalkane dehalogenase was also identified. The *soxBZYX* gene cluster, *soxCD* genes, and *cysCHI* genes were present, indicating that SH-1 is capable of assimilatory sulfate reduction to H₂S, and using thiosulfate as electron donor and oxidizing it to sulfate. SH-1 also contained *napAB*, *nasA* and *nasB*, suggesting that it can gain energy by converting nitrate to ammonia. Two predicted prophage regions were found in SH-1, and our results suggest that prophage may be common in MTB. Pangenome analysis showed that differences between *T. pusilla* and both SH-1 and PR-1 may be related to signal transduction mechanisms, inorganic ion transport and metabolism, intracellular trafficking, secretion, vesicular transport and cell motility.

5 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Jianhong Xu and Weijia Zhang for assistance in sampling. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China - Shandong Joint Fund (U1706208), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 41776131 and 41776130).

References

- Altschul S F. 2012. Basic local alignment search tool (BLAST). *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **215**(3): 403-410. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2).
- Arakaki A, Matsunaga T, Sakaguchi T. 2002. *Desulfovibrio magneticus* sp. nov., a novel sulfate-reducing bacterium that produces intracellular single-domain-sized magnetite particles. *International Journal of Systematic & Evolutionary Microbiology*, **52**(1): 215-221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2006.12.003>.
- Ashburner M, Ball C, Blake J, et al. 2000. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat Genet*, **25**, 25–29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/75556>.
- Bazylinski D A, Frankel R B, Heywood B R, et al. 1995. Controlled Biomineralization of Magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and Greigite (Fe₃S₄) in a Magnetotactic Bacterium. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, **61**: 3 232-3 239. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.61.9.3232-3239.1995>.
- Bazylinski D A, Blakemore R P. 1983. Denitrification and Assimilatory Nitrate Reduction in *Aquaspirillum magnetotacticum*. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, **46**(5): 1 118-1 124. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2728\(84\)90100-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2728(84)90100-2).
- Bazylinski D A, Frankel R B. 2004. Magnetosome formation in prokaryotes. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, **2**(3): 217-230. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro842>.
- Bazylinski D A, Williams T J, Lefèvre C T, et al. 2013. *Magnetovibrio blakemorei* gen. nov., sp. nov., a magnetotactic bacterium (*Alphaproteobacteria: Rhodospirillaceae*) isolated from a salt marsh. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*, **63**(Pt 5): 1 824-1 833. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijms.0.044453-0>.
- Benson G. 1999. Tandem Repeats Finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic acids research*, **27**: 573-580. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.2.573>.

Blakemore R P. 1982. Magnetotactic Bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 1982 **36**(1): 217-238. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.mi.36.100182.001245>.

Blakemore R P, Maratea D, Wolfe R S. 1979. Isolation and pure culture of a freshwater magnetic spirillum in chemically defined medium. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **140**(2): 720-729. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1979.tb00863.x>.

Canchaya C, Proux C, Fournous G, et al. 2003. Prophage Genomics. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, **67**(2): 238-276. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.67.2.238-276.2003>.

Casjens S. 2003. Casjens S. Prophages and bacterial genomic: what have we learned so far? *Molecular Microbiology*, **49**(2): 277-300. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03580.x>.

Consortium U P. 2015. UniProt: a hub for protein information. *Nucleic Acids Research*, (D1): 204-212. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku989>.

David A, Grant J R, Ana M, et al. 2016. PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. *Nucleic Acids Research*, (W1): W16-W21. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw387>.

Delong E F, Frankel R B, Bazyliniski D A. 1993. Multiple Evolutionary Origins of Magnetotaxis in Bacteria. *Science*, **259**(5096): 803-806. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.259.5096.803>.

Du H, Zhang W, Zhang W, et al. 2019. Magnetosome Gene Duplication as an Important Driver in the Evolution of Magnetotaxis in the Alphaproteobacteria. *mSystems*, **4**(5): e00315-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00315-19>.

Dziuba M, Koziaeva V, Grouzdev D, et al. 2016. *Magnetospirillum caucaseum* sp. nov., *Magnetospirillum marisnigri* sp. nov. and *Magnetospirillum moscoviense* sp. nov., freshwater magnetotactic bacteria isolated from three distinct geographical locations in European Russia. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*, **66**(5): 2 069-2 077. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000994>.

Fouts D E. 2006. Phage_Finder: Automated identification and classification of prophage regions in complete bacterial genome sequences. *Nuclc Acids Research*, **34**(20): 5 839-5 851. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl732>.

Galperin M Y, Makarova K S, Wolf Y I, et al. 2015. Expanded microbial genome coverage and improved protein family annotation in the COG database. *Nucleic Acids*

Research, **43**(D1): D261-D269. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1223>.

Geurink C, Lefèvre C T, Monteil C L, et al. 2020. Complete genomes sequence of strain BW-2, a magnetotactic gammaproteobacterium in the family Ectothiorhodospiraceae, isolated from a brackish spring in Death Valley, California. *Microbiol Resour Announc*, 9:e01144-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01144-19>.

Grunberg K, Wawer C, Tebo B M, et al. 2001. A large gene cluster encoding several magnetosome proteins is conserved in different species of magnetotactic bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **67**(10): 4 573-4 582. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.67.10.4573-4582.2001>.

Hanzlik M, Winklhofer M, Petersen N, et al. 2002. Pulsed-field-remnance measurements on individual magnetotactic bacteria. *Journal of Magnetism & Magnetic Materials*, **248**(2): 258-267. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-8853\(02\)00353-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-8853(02)00353-0).

Hensen D, Sperling D, Trüper H G, et al. 2010. Thiosulphate oxidation in the phototrophic sulphur bacterium *Allochromatium vinosum*. *Molecular Microbiology*, **62**(3): 794-810. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05408.x>.

Ibtissem G, Gilles V, Christine P. 2007. CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **35**: W52–W57. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm360>.

Jaime H C, Damian S, Kristoffer F, et al. 2016. eggNOG 4.5: a hierarchical orthology framework with improved functional annotations for eukaryotic, prokaryotic and viral sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **44**: D286-D293. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1248>.

Janssen D B, Pries F, van der Ploeg J, et al. 1989. Cloning of 1,2-dichloroethane degradation genes of *Xanthobacter autotrophicus* GJ10 and expression and sequencing of the *dhlA* gene. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **171**: 6 791-6 799. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.171.12.6791-6799.1989>.

Ji B, Zhang S D, Arnoux P, et al. 2014. Comparative genomic analysis provides insights into the evolution and niche adaptation of marine *Magnetospira* sp. QH-2 strain. *Environ Microbiol*, **16**(2): 525-544. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12180>.

Ji B, Zhang S D, Zhang W J, et al. 2017. The chimeric nature of the genomes of marine magnetotactic coccoid-ovoid bacteria defines a novel group of Proteobacteria. *Environ*

Microbiol, **19**(3): 1 103-1 119. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13637>.

Jogler C, Schüler D. 2009. Genomics, genetics, and cell biology of magnetosome formation. *Annu Rev Microbiol*, **63**: 501-521. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.162908>.

Kolinko S, Jogler C, Katzmann E, et al. 2012. Single-cell analysis reveals a novel uncultivated magnetotactic bacterium within the candidate division OP3. *Environmental Microbiology*, **14**(7): 1 709-1 721. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02609.x>.

Kuypers M M M, Marchant H K, Kartal B. 2018. The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, **16**(5): 263-276. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9>.

Lefèvre C T, Abreu F, Schmidt M L, et al. 2010. Moderately thermophilic magnetotactic bacteria from hot springs in Nevada. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, **76**(11): 3 740-3 743. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03018-09>.

Lefèvre C T, Bazylinski D A. 2013. Ecology, diversity, and evolution of magnetotactic bacteria. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*, **77**(3): 497-526. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00021-13>.

Lefèvre C T, Bernadac A, Yu-Zhang K, et al. 2009. Isolation and characterization of a magnetotactic bacterial culture from the Mediterranean Sea. *Environ Microbiol*, **11**(7): 1 646-1 657. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01887.x>.

Li Y, Katzmann E, Borg S, et al. 2012. The periplasmic nitrate reductase nap is required for anaerobic growth and involved in redox control of magnetite biomineralization in *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense*. *J Bacteriol*, **194**(18): 4 847-4 856. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00903-12>.

Lin W, Pan Y. 2015. A putative greigite-type magnetosome gene cluster from the candidate phylum *Latescibacteria*. *Environ Microbiol Rep*, **7**(2): 237-242. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12234>.

Lin W, Pan Y, Bazylinski D A. 2017. Diversity and ecology of and biomineralization by magnetotactic bacteria. *Environ Microbiol Rep*, **9**(4): 345-356. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12550>.

Lin W, Paterson G A, Zhu Q, et al. 2017. Origin of microbial biomineralization and magnetotaxis during the Archean. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **114**(9): 2 171-2 176. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1614654114>.

Lin W, Zhang W, Paterson G A, et al. 2020. Expanding magnetic organelle biogenesis in the domain Bacteria. *Microbiome*, **8**(1): 152. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00931-9>.

Lin W, Zhang W, Zhao X, et al. 2018. Genomic expansion of magnetotactic bacteria reveals an early common origin of magnetotaxis with lineage-specific evolution. *ISME J*, **12**(6): 1 508-1 519. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0098-9>.

Lohße A, Ullrich S, Katzmann E, et al. 2011. Functional analysis of the magnetosome island in *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense*: the *mamAB* operon is sufficient for magnetite biomineralization. *PLoS One*, **6**(10): e25561. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025561>.

Makarova K, Wolf Y, Koonin E. 2015. Archaeal clusters of orthologous genes (arcogs): an update and application for analysis of shared features between thermococcales, methanococcales, and methanobacteriales. *Life*, **5**(1): 818-840. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life5010818>.

Maratea D, Blakemore R P. 1981. *Aquaspirillum magnetotacticum* sp. nov., a Magnetic Spirillum. *Int.j.syst.bacteriol*, **31**(4): 452-455. <https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-31-4-452>.

Matsunaga T, Okamura Y, Fukuda Y, et al. 2005. Complete genome sequence of the facultative anaerobic magnetotactic bacterium *Magnetospirillum* sp. strain AMB-1. *DNA Res*, **12**(3): 157-166. <https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsi002>.

Matsunaga T, Sakaguchi T, Tadakoro F. 1991. Magnetite formation by a magnetic bacterium capable of growing aerobically. *Applied Microbiology & Biotechnology*, **35**(5): 651-655. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00169632>.

Matsunaga T, Tsujimura N. 1993. Respiratory inhibitors of a magnetic bacterium *Magnetospirillum* sp. AMB-1 capable of growing aerobically. *Applied Microbiology & Biotechnology*, **39**(3): 368-371. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00192094>.

Meyer-Cifuentes I, Martinez-Lavanchy P M, Marin-Cevada V, et al. 2017. Isolation and characterization of *Magnetospirillum* sp. strain 15-1 as a representative anaerobic toluene-degrader from a constructed wetland model. *PLoS One*, **12**(4): e0174750. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174750>.

Miele V, Penel S, Duret L. 2011. Ultra-fast sequence clustering from similarity networks with SiLiX. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **12**(1): 116. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-116>.

Minoru K, Yoko S, Masayuki K, et al. 2016. KEGG as a reference resource for gene and

protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research*, (D1): D457-D462.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1070>.

Monteil C L, Perriere G, Menguy N, et al. 2018. Genomic study of a novel magnetotactic Alphaproteobacteria uncovers the multiple ancestry of magnetotaxis. *Environ Microbiol*, **20**(12): 4 415-4 430. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14364>.

Murat D, Quinlan A, Vali H, et al. 2010. Comprehensive genetic dissection of the magnetosome gene island reveals the step-wise assembly of a prokaryotic organelle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **107**(12): 5 593–5 598. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914439107>.

Paul J H. 2008. Prophages in marine bacteria: dangerous molecular time bombs or the key to survival in the seas? *Isme Journal*, **2**(6): 579-589.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.35>.

Pinto R, Tang Q, Britton W, et al. 2004. The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* *cysD* and *cysNC* genes form a stress-induced operon that encodes a tri-functional sulfate-activating complex. *Microbiology*, **150**(6): 1 681-1 686. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.26894-0>.

Rolf A, Amos B, Wu C H, et al. 2004. UniProt: the Universal Protein knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **32**(Database issue): D115-D119. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh131>.

Richter M, Kube M, Bazylinski D A, et al. 2007. Comparative genome analysis of four magnetotactic bacteria reveals a complex set of group-specific genes implicated in magnetosome biomineralization and function. *J Bacteriol*, **189**(13): 4 899-4 910.
<https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00119-07>.

Rioux J B, Philippe N, Pereira S, et al. 2010. A second actin-like MamK protein in *Magnetospirillum magneticum* AMB-1 encoded outside the genomic magnetosome island. *PLoS One*, **5**(2): 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009151>.

Schleifer K H, Schüler D, Spring S, et al. 1991. The Genus *Magnetospirillum* gen. nov. Description of *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* sp. nov. and Transfer of *Aquaspirillum magnetotacticum* to *Magnetospirillum magnetotacticum* comb. Nov. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, **14**(4): 379-385. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0723-2020\(11\)80313-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0723-2020(11)80313-9).

Schubbe S, Williams T J, Xie G, et al. 2009. Complete genome sequence of the chemolithoautotrophic marine magnetotactic coccus strain MC-1. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, **75**(14): 4 835-4 852. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02874-08>.

Simmons S L, Sievert S M, Frankel R B, et al. 2004. Spatiotemporal distribution of marine magnetotactic bacteria in a seasonally stratified coastal salt pond. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, **70**(10): 6 230-6 239. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.10.6230-6239.2004>.

Touchon M, Bernheim A, Rocha E P. 2016. Genetic and life-history traits associated with the distribution of prophages in bacteria. *Isme Journal*, **10**(11): 2 744–2 754. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.47>.

Touchon M, Moura de Sousa J A, Rocha E P. 2017. Embracing the enemy: the diversification of microbial gene repertoires by phage-mediated horizontal gene transfer. *Curr Opin Microbiol*, **38**: 66-73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2017.04.010>.

Trubitsyn D, Monteil C L, Geurink C, et al. 2021. Complete genome sequence of strain SS-5, a Magnetotactic Gammaproteobacterium isolated from the Salton Sea, a Shallow, Saline, Endorheic Rift Lake located on the San Andreas Fault in California. *Microbiol Resour Announc*, **10**(1). <https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00928-20>.

Uebe R, Schüler D, Jogler C, et al. 2018. Reevaluation of the complete genome sequence of *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* MSR-1 with single-molecule real-time sequencing data. *Genome Announcements*, **6**(17): e00309-18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00309-18>.

Uzun M, Alekseeva L, Krutkina M, et al. 2020. Unravelling the diversity of magnetotactic bacteria through analysis of open genomic databases. *Scientific Data*, **7**(1): 252. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00593-0>.

Vali H, F Rster O, Amarantidis G, et al. 1987. Magnetotactic bacteria and their magnetofossils in sediments. *Earth & Planetary Science Letters*, **86**(2-4): 389-400. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X\(87\)90235-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(87)90235-4).

Vallenet D, Belda E, Calteau A, et al. 2013. MicroScope--an integrated microbial resource for the curation and comparative analysis of genomic and metabolic data. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **41**(Database issue): D636-647. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1194>.

Verschueren K H G, Franken S M, Rozeboom H, et al. 1993. Refined X-ray structures of haloalkane dehalogenase at pH 6.2 and pH 8.2 and implications for the reaction mechanism. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **232**(3): 856-872. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1993.1436>.

Wang Y, Zhang T, Lin W, et al. 2016. Complete genome sequence of *Magnetospirillum* sp. strain XM-1, isolated from the Xi'an City Moat, China. *Genome Announc*, **4**(5): e01171-16.

<https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01171-16>.

Wenter R, Wanner G, Schüler D, et al. 2009. Ultrastructure, tactic behaviour and potential for sulfate reduction of a novel multicellular magnetotactic prokaryote from North Sea sediments. *Environ Microbiol*, **11**(6): 1 493-1 505. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01877.x>.

Williams T J, Lefèvre C T, Zhao W, et al. 2012. *Magnetospira thiophila* gen. nov., sp. nov., a marine magnetotactic bacterium that represents a novel lineage within the *Rhodospirillaceae* (Alphaproteobacteria). *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*, **62**(Pt 10): 2 443-2 450. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ij.s.0.037697-0>.

Yang C D, Takeyama H, Tanaka T, et al. 2001. Effects of growth medium composition, iron sources and atmospheric oxygen concentrations on production of luciferase-bacterial magnetic particle complex by a recombinant *Magnetospirillum magneticum* AMB-1. *Enzyme & Microbial Technology*, **29**(1): 13-19. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229\(01\)00343-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(01)00343-X).

Zhou K, Zhang W Y, Pan H M, et al. 2013. Adaptation of spherical multicellular magnetotactic prokaryotes to the geochemically variable habitat of an intertidal zone. *Environ Microbiol*, **15**(5): 1 595-1 605. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12057>.

Zhu K, Pan H, Li J, et al. 2010. Isolation and characterization of a marine magnetotactic spirillum axenic culture QH-2 from an intertidal zone of the China Sea. *Res Microbiol*, **161**(4): 276-283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2010.02.003>.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table S1 The 15 reactions involving 8 key inorganic nitrogen species having different oxidation states in MTB.

"+": the genes were detected in the genome of MTB. Proteins in different organisms were defined as orthologs when their alignments had an E-value < 1e-5, identity > 30%, and query coverage > 50%.
(see Table S1)

SH1_v1_2542	2	MIDALRTPDEYFENLPGYDFKPNYIDDLLEGYGLRLHYLDEGDPNATEIFLCLHGEPWS	61
DHLA of <i>Xanthobacter autotrophicus</i>	1	MI+A+RTPD+ F NL Y F PNY+DDL GY GLR HYLDEG+ +A ++FLCLHGEP+WS	60
SH1_v1_2542	62	YLVRKMI PVFVENGIRVIAPDLLGPGKSDKPIDEDVYTFPHRNYLMRLIERLDLQNITL	121
DHLA of <i>Xanthobacter autotrophicus</i>	61	YLVRKMI PVF E+G RVIAPD GPGKSDKP+DE+ YTF PHRN+L+ LIERLDL+NITL	120
SH1_v1_2542	122	VQDVGGLLGLTIPQDMSEFSLIMNTALNIGGVNDAF	162
DHLA of <i>Xanthobacter autotrophicus</i>	121	V VQDVGGLGLTLEMAPDSRFKRLIMNACLMTDPVTQPAF	161

Fig. S1 Alignments of SH1_v1_2542 and DHLA of *Xanthobacter autotrophicus*.

Fig. S2 The two putative prophage regions in the genome of SH-1.

a: The locations of the two regions. b1 and b2: Details of the two regions.

Table S2 The two potable prophage related regions found in the SH-1 genome using PHASTER.

Region Length	Completeness	Score	Total Proteins	Region Position	Most Common Phage	GC %
22.6Kb	Intact	120	21	1772700-1795355	PHAGE_Mannhe_vB _MhS_1152AP2_NC_ 028956(2)	46.05%
11Kb	Incomplete	60	16	2544321-2555407	PHAGE_Punice_HM O_2011_NC_021864(6)	48.40%

Table S3 The predicted prophage regions found in 12 genomes of MTB using PHASTER.

	Region number	Length (kb)	Average length (kb)	Total protein number	Average protein number	Criteria for scoring prophage regions		
						Intact	Incomplete	Questionable
SH-1	2	11-22.6	16.8	16-21	18.5	1	1	0
PR-1	3	8.8-9.4	9.3	8-14	10.3	0	3	0
MSR-1	8	8-35.7	19.025	8-38	19.625	0	7	1
AMB-1	10	11.5-48	24.89	12-48	23.9	3	5	2
QH-2	2	10.3-23.7	17	6-12	9	0	2	0
MC-1	10	16.1-44.8	29.41	19-48	29.7	3	3	4
MO-1	5	16.2-36.5	25.72	18-39	24.8	0	3	2
RS-1	4	7.7-11.6	10.025	9-12	10.5	0	4	0
<i>Candidatus</i> Magnetobacterium bavaricum	2	4.9-7.6	6.25	10	10	0	2	0
<i>Candidatus</i> Magnetoglobus multicellularis Araruama	1	11.5	11.5	20	20	0	1	0
HK-1	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BW-1	2	8.3-9.1	8.7	10-11	10.5	0	2	0

non de e	NADH- dependent cytochrome P ₄₅₀ nitric oxide reductases <i>p₄₅₀ nor</i>	7	Hydroxylamin e oxidoreductas e <i>haoA</i>	Hydroxylamin e oxidase <i>hox</i>	8	Nitrous oxide reductase <i>nosZ</i>	9	Nitric oxide dismutase <i>norZ</i>	10				11	Nitrogenases <i>nifHDK</i>	12	Hydrazine dehydrogena se <i>hdh</i>	13	14		15																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
									Assimilatory nitrite reductase <i>nasB</i>	Dissimilatory nitrite reductase <i>nrfAH</i>	ONR	OTR						Ammonia monooxygena se <i>amoCAB</i>	Particulate methane monooxygena se <i>pmoCAB</i>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																					